# Impact Of Weather Variability On Productivity In Learning Among Secondary School Students In Cross River State

Dr. Julius I. Ushie

Dr. Joseph Ibu Upla

Cross River State College Of Education, Akamkpa, Cross River State

Abstract: Weather event have pervading influence on human activities in all spheres. Human activity carried out with disregard to weather incidence have often failed. The essence of recourse to weather incidents prior to programms of event inform the sole essence of weather forecasts for purposes of creating awareness or providing "early warning" signals. With particular reference to educational programms, they is direct necessity of understanding of weather trends. In the development world regions of United State and other First World much attention is given to the essence of a clearly understanding of weather events. In Nigeria like other developing world regions, there is grave apathy in consideration of weather lends in educational policy implementation. This apathy provides the gap on which this research attempt to close. Our objective in this research focus on an understanding of weather patterns across the two broad ecological zones. There is also attention paid to weather incidence on temporal scales. Our investigation is carried along this line by formulation of two hypotheses focused on spatial/ temporal variation in weather events. While hypothesis two looks at these trends on learning activities in the area. Considering the disparate patterns of weather event in the intra and inter-zonal regimes of weathers multivariate analysis using the one way analysis of variance was employed in testing the hypothesis. Hypothesis I direct attention to the spatial and temporal variation in weather regimes. The results from the findings revealed that there was significant variation in the overall weather regime. Spatially and temporally. This findings was further corroborated by the results of hypothesis two which focused on analysis of impact of weather trends in affecting productivity in learning. Deriving from the convergent findings from our investigation, it was concluded that weather variability has both direct and indirect impact on productivity in learning in the area under investigation. This has significant implication for policy framework in education planning and development. Such implications have been outlined as recommendations.

#### I. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND OF STUDY

Weather variability is the broad view conception of the variable requires of atmospheric cycles overtime and phases. It is considered based on the analysis of oscillation of different weather parameters of weather using the indices of different elements such as temperature, rainfall, insolation (sun shine) clouds, pressure, wind and relative humidity among others. (Oguntoyimbo 2006). Climatologcal analysis recognize the overriding significance of the impact of three of these elements namely; Temperature, rainfall, and insolation.

Inter-Governmental Panel on climate change (IPCC 2007) working Group I & II have designated different impact scenarious following climate change studies. Cross River

State is located in the sub-equatorial/tropical climatic zone with high rainfall/high insolation incidents. These locations have conferred on it some peculiarities of weather impact scenarios using surrogate indices of frequent occurrence of flood in the Southern Calabar area and high receipt of ultraviolet radiation in central Ikom area to the Northern Ojodabelt. implicit in this recognition is the fact that the impact dimension across the different zones will be variegated. weather/climate impact studies is receiving fresh currents of attention due to its significance in human activities domain.

Nigerian Meteorological Agency (NIMET Abuja 2012) has explored different impact scenarios using based on sectoral review. This review covered such sectors as agriculture, health, aviation, hydrology, and education. With regards to Education the report state interalia that flood disrupted academic activities in most schools leading to relocation and evacuation of pupils and students in primary and secondary schools respectively.

Specific cases cited were such as Bayelsa where schools were closed due to rising water levels caused by floods. Following the report, the Niger Delta University NDU, in Amassoma, Bayelsa State was submerged by flood. Accordingly, the report was also given about Lagos State where torrential down poor resulting in flood led to the rescheduling of NECO Examination from Wednesday 20<sup>th</sup> of June to Thursday 28<sup>th</sup> of 2012. Report such as above provided a little glimpse of the impact of weather phenomena on human activities with particular reference to Education.

Solar fluxes are also a major source of concern for the overall analysis of weather effect on human productivity. The tropical location of Cross River State generally confers on it high receipt of urer as stated earlier in this text. Gurou (1976) in his evaluation of the effect of tropical environment on development maintained that the incidence of excessive radiation characteristics of this region produced enervating effect on human productivity. This clearly substantiates why consideration must be given to school-hour duration in the planning of school Calendars.

Wind effect is another source of concern in evaluation of weather phenomena on learning productivity. Overtime and in different locations in the state, windstorm devastation has caused the destruction of school plants and facilities leading to disruption of school activities in short and long term. These considerations among others spurred the concern for the research study.

# STATEMENT OF THE RESEARCH PROBLEM

Variability in weather events is an index of climate change, climate change has altered the general cycle of weather events in recent times (UNEP 2000). The general meridional flux of weather events have been significantly altered by the climate change trend. This has altered the macro weather patterns leading the creation of Mosaics of microclimates over regions. A pronounced element of the micro climate scenario over this areas is the Urban Heat Island phenomena (UHI) which refers to the differences in the temperature of the urban and rural areas. UHI studies was carried in Calabar metropolis with corroboratory result of the heat trend being higher in the Calabar inner area than the suburbia.

Unpredictability of weather events is also a variant of weather variability in temporal scale which also is a significant evidence of climate change. Considering the allpervasive influence of climatic trends in human activities generally, attention needs to be paid to an understanding of how climate adaption or mitigation can be achieved in planning. Educational planning requires a clear knowledge of weather/climatic trends in order to achieve maximum productivity in learning. Overtime, most school programmes have been disrupted by unfavourable weather conditions due to little regard to the essence of weather knowledge. In Cross River State, the two broad ecological zones have clearly distant weather patterns due to the marked influence of marine environment in the South and the incidence of continentalityin the Northern Savanna ecotone. So far there is paucity of literature to sub-stantiate the extent to which weather events at different locations and different times. This research is therefore instigated by the need to acquire the true essence of these uncertainties.

# AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH

# AIMS OF THE RESEARCH

The overall aim of this study is to investigate the extent to which weather events are affecting learning activities in secondary schools in Cross River State.

# **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

The following specific objective have been derived from the above aim

- $\checkmark$  To investigate the spatial and temporal variation in weather phenomena in the study area
- ✓ To investigate the impact of weather variability on learning productivity in the study area
- ✓ To make recommendations for policy implementations

# RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

In line with the objectives of this research the following hypotheses have been formulated:

*Hypothesis One:* Ho – There is no significant variation in the weather pattern spatially and temporally in the study area

*Hi:* There is significant variation in the weather pattern spatially and temporally in the study area.

*Hypothesis Two:* Ho – There is no significant variation in the impart of weather variability on learning productivity in the study area.

*Hi:* There is significant variation in the impact of weather variability on learning productivity in the study area.

# SIGNIFICANCE/JUSTIFICATION OF THE STUDY

Weather/climatic events have overriding influence on all human activities. Meteorecological information is vital in the general planning of day-to-day activities in all sectors of human society right from antiquity, the all-importance of weather knowledge in human undertakings have been sufficiently recognized.

Educational significance of meteorecologcal information is guite overriding. It is helpful in the choice of location of schools in order to avert catastrophies arising from such hazards as flood, windstorm disasters and terrain evaluation. School plant design and maintenance can equally benefit from findings garnered from research of this nature.

The findings of this research will aid significantly in decisions bordering on school calendrics, school-hour duration, daily timetable for lesson delivery and other related activities. Curriculum design and planning will equally depend on information about the nature of weather prevailing in an

area. In all, no activity domain can effectively succeed without prior consideration of the weather regime in an area. This calls for the dire necessity of this research endeavor.

# SCOPE OF THE STUDY

This study covers Cross River State as geopolitical entity. The State comprises 18 local government areas which are demarcated unto four Educational zones namely Calabar, Ugep, Ikom and Ogoja zone. The study focused mainly on investigating effect of weather phenomena on learning productivity in secondary schools in the area. Both public and private owned secondary schools were included in the population of this study.

Information elicited involved data collection for investigation of pattern of weather variability in the area and consequently impact of such variation on learning-activities in the sampled schools across the zones.

#### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

#### THEMATIC CONCEPTUALIZATION

Here, we are focused on clarifying the overall paraphernalia of the term "Weather variability." From our point of view and the views of other authorities in the field, the explanation is quite variegated.

In our views weather variability explains the general diversity in weather patterns and events in particular local areas or regions. This borders on the dynamic flux of atmospheric regimes over place and time. Predicated on the preceding argument, weather variability can be assessed on two scales:-Spartial and temporal variabilities (Upla and Ibiang 2012).

Spatially, this relates to variation in weather phenomena over a region. In the case of Cross River State, Nigeria, this trend is well marked due to the prevalence of different ecological niches. Inherently, two broad zones are well recognized, i.e. the coastal forest weather pattern and the Savanna Hinterland Econtone.

Temporally, the variability incidence can be viewed based on hourly, daily, weekly, monthly or seasonal scales. These trends are well recognized and provide impetus for this inquiry.

Using the premises of other authors, evidences are scant in the direction of this term. Akpoaliogaga, Po (2010) in his submission on "General overview of climate charge and climate variability." Provided insight on this point of view.

A clear distinction was made by the above author between climate change and what he called "Climate fluctuation or climate variability." He substantiated that climate variability or fluctuation operates on either monthly, seasonal, annual, decadal or periodic scales.

Nigerian Meteorological Agency's (NIMETS) Climate Review (2012) reports evidences of climate/weather variability over Nigeria as indices of climate change. The Review featured important elements of weather such as rainfall, temperature, sunshine, winds and storms, and pressure systems. The reports highlights the significant features of weather regimes by clear identification of weather anomalies in the elements so explored.

Cross River State falls within two broad ecological zones, namely, the forest per humid south ecological zones and the Savanna and ecological zone to the hinterland. Within these zones, there are mosaics of variation according to land cover configuration, hydrological regimes and landscape/ topographical peculiarities. Hence, variability is explained on these unique images of the micro environments over place and time. Intense solar radiation, extreme temperature events, thunderstorms and line squads, severe pressure systems, drought and excessive rainfall in some areas all characterize the weather events that constitute the basis of variability.

Spatially and temporally, these events produce marked impact on human society in their different activity domains.

# CLIMATE IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN ACTIVITIES DOMAINS

Extremes of weather events have been co connected with current climate change and global warming scenarios. NIMET (2012), has included a number weather anomalies as surrogates of climate change. The review stated intercolia, that the climate of Nigeria has shown considerable signals of a changing climate through the careful study of meteorological data. Analysis of long term trends of meteorological parameters such as rainfall in terms of onset and cessation of rainy season, hail frequency and average maximum temperature, during the hot season, (February – April) Land Support to this deduction."

This view is corroborated by the findings of Trendbarth, Miller, Means and Rhode (2000) in their review of "Effects of changing climate on weather and human activities. They held that "As climate changes the frequency of different weather events, particularly extremes will be changed." They further likened such trends to hourly or daily periodicity in the weather regime."

Bordering on impact assessment, NIMETS (2012) weather review, reported the incidents on sectoral basis including education.

The report (NIMET 2012) stated that flood incidents disrupted academic activities in most of the schools of the impacted states.

The report categorically mentioned that some state governments such as Bayelsa and Adamawa ordered the closure of schools in their states dues to the rising water levels. Following this report the Niger Delta University (NDU) in Amasoma, Bayelsa State was said to have been submerged in the flood water.

Along similar lines, Ekpoh, Devalsan and Okpiliya (2014) have reported on Episodes flooding incidents in Calabar which caused the displacements of many residents as well as the disruption of other activities in the area. Besides flood which is used above as surrogate index of weather effect, sporadic torrential rainfall, thunderstorm/linesqual activities have significantly caused the destruction of school buildings, destruction of bridges/culverts leading to school sites. Field data reports by the researchers as garnered from defacto interview of school. Principal/heads in school revealed that storm incidents have been the most devastating weather incident that caused low productivity in learning activities (Ushie and Upla, 2017).

# EVENTS HUMAN PRODUCTIVITY

Another pernicious impact of weather events on human productivity generally and on mental equinanimity is the urban heat discomfort index (Balogun and Balogun, 2014). Using the model of the Thorms Thermodynamic index, these scholars explored the heat susceptibility parameters across the population spectrum in the urban locale based on their findings in the assessment of the Bio-climatological conduction in Akure, Nigeria. From their report (Balogun and Balogun. 2014) it was revealed that heat susceptibility/resilience varies between age, sex and body morphology.

Evidence have profusely been provided in the literature regarding the human effect of urban heat as an element of weather event. A study carried out by National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, 1995) showed that women are better at heat acclimation than men because they perspire less and loose less of salt than men.

Following the same report, over 8000 deaths reported from incidents of heat waves and solar radiation in the United states. Also, in the same report, over 300 persons lost their lives in Saint Louis in 1966 due to incidents of heat waves. Working on "The influence of weather on students academic performance in college of Education, Maiduguri, Nigeria." Manauno, Jimme and Manauno (2017) provided useful insights on the interconnection between weather effects on learning productivity. Their investigations revealed that extreme temperatures whether hot or cold have implications for both teaching and learning outcomes. Citing Dunn and Dunn in Pitel (2006), they reported further that severe cold or hot room temperatures affect pupils learning because the brain will be constantly reminding the body to respond appropriately until the required temperature is achieved. Accordingly, they concluded that these reminders are likely to affect learning and learning outcomes. It was inferred based on the above conclusion that memory required attention and so thermal stress on the individual will may result in poor memory using Pilmans (2001) experiment on the effect of temperature on learning, it was further corroborated that extremes of temperature have adverse effects on productivity in learning.

Another study carried out by United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA, 2010) revealed that within school settings, poor management of indoor temperature and humidity have adverse effects on not only learners performances but also those of the teachers. Following this, it was recommended by USEPA that extreme temperature be avoided to enhance teaching and in schools. Another recommendation cited from the conference of the National Union of teachers in U.K directs that the maximum indoor air temperature should not exceed 26% for optimum learning of pupils.

Manauno, Jimme and Manauno (2017) in their conclusion based on their findings from the study earlier cited, maintained that there is an existing relationship between seasonal temperature variation in Maiduguri and school achievement of students in Keshim Ibrahim College of Education, Maiduguri. They further reported that the relationship between seasonal variation and school achievement is strongest among science students and least for students in Arts and Humanities disciplines.

# WEATHER EVENTS AND MENTAL HEALTH

National Sleep Foundation (2018) produced three reasons that the sun makes you tired. Following the above authority "there is no doubt that weather affect our mental health as any one mood can be affected by the weather". Accordingly, it was stated that "summer weather affects our mental heat by wearing down your body. Spending time in the sun can make you feel tired." Deriving the source on "How weather can cause sleeplessness, lethargy, lack of appetite and dehydration, all of which can lead to aggress behaviour and anxiety. It was also further reported by Ryo (2017) that warmer can also attribute to high crime and suicide rates. Hence, that warm weather and sunlight can also be dangerous for those using photo tropic medication. In other words heat can affect psychiatric patients."

Cunha (2018) the combination of certain medications and sunlight can cause photo sensitivity, an inflammation of the skin, similar to sun burn. Heat stroke and Heat related diseases are common incidents of the use of this medicaments.

Carolyn (2017) reported on "ways that weather can affect your health and wellbeing." The report highlights on a particular ailment called Seasonal Affective Disorder (SAD). This disorder is reported to occur more in colder climates with dark winters and that sufferers usually feel better when exposed to light, especially in the morning. In this report, it was further highlighted that in winter there is less crime and lower suicide rates, but that many people feel tired and isolated from staying indoors.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Service Administration (2017) reported on the "Effects of Severe Weather on behavioural Health. The report held that those in high risk areas such as coastal cities or Tornado Alleys are susceptible to mental and physical stress due to heightened states of alert. It further stated that the aftermath of a storm can cause depression Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PSTD) anxiety substance abuse, violence and suicide." Further on, it states that rebuilding after a storm can be exhausting and that the stress and sleeplessness have lead to the misuse of drugs and alcohol. Prodigious literature abounds on explanation of the relationship between weather events and mental health.

Blair (2018) presented an argument premised on Maclaren position that it is not completely logical to rush to a conclusion on how we feel in particular weather situations. He said mood swing could derive from how we interpret weather trends. In the same report, it was concluded after a careful assessment of the issues that extremes of can be very stressful and stress can trigger mental illness. It was further maintained that stress would exacerbate a whole host existing. Mental health condition including depression, anxiety and obsessive compulsive disorder. Seasonal Affective Disorder (SAD) is clearly associated with changing weather condition caused by seasonal changes. This condition worsens during cold months. Other ailments identified alongside SAD are (1) Bipolar disorder which is characterized by two major alternative mood patterns: Manic Episodes occur when a person diagnosed Bipolar disorder has elevated mood, insomnia, impulsivity.

It is also stated that anxiety like Bipolar Disorder, can also fluctuate with the weather. Several ailments associated with weather conditions have been recognized. Weather phobia or Astraphobia (feet of thunder and lightning are, do exist policy frameworks/strategies for average impact of weather incidents:

# ADAPTION/MITIGATION OF WEATHER EFFECTS

A whole range of measures abound as policy dimensions for mitigating or averting weather/climatic effects in learning environment or school setting. All programmes of climatic mitigation or adaptation require the model of situation specific strategy ( $S^3$  Model). This approach requires the evaluation of scenarios and appropriately attending to them accordingly.

While mitigation requires a proactive/preventing approach to climate impact incidents, adaptation involves post incidence adjustments to climatic events.

Fusel & Klien (2002) in their seminar paper on "Assessing Vulnerability and Adaptation to climate change, "have provided insights on several approaches to be employed.

Amanchukwu, Ali-Amadi and Olobube (2015), in their works "Climate change Education in Nigeria: The Role of Curriculum Review" have stressed on the importance of awareness and education in mitigating climate change and extreme weather events in Nigeria.

They draw inference from an existing climate change initiative, "Building Nigeria's Response to Climate Change (BNRCC) which is an offshoot of an earlier initiative called the Canadian-Nigeria Climate Change Capacity Development Project (C-NCCCDP) funded by the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA) between 2001-2004 and the Nigerian Study Action Team (NEST). This initiative was said to have facilitated awareness programmes as well as mobilize multi-sector assessment of climate change impacts. Following the report, the scope of the BNRCC programme of activities was for reaching."

The second advocacy of this payer is on the emphasis of education of the citizenry as a sure way to inculcating adequate knowledge of climate/weather effects on human society.

Grossly, adaptation/mitigation measure may take a variety of approaches such green development programmes, carefully designed architectural structures to avert weather effects, improvement in school facilities and learning environments. Well planned school calendars and time tables to suit to ameliorable temperature or seasonal cycles, federation in school hour duration and distance travelled to school among others.

#### III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### **RESEARCH DESIGN**

This research design is a field survey design aimed at collecting data using bio physical and socio-cultural instruments. Biophysical explanations will focus on areal mapping to designate ecological domains in line with the natural environment of areas. Socio-culturally, the instrument used involved the questionnaire which is designed to elicit information on climate impact perception by students and teachers in the area under investigation.

#### AREA OF STUDY

The study area is Cross River State of Nigeria which is one of the thirty six geopolitical entities/states in Nigeria. With its headquarters at Calabar, it has 18 Local Government Areas. The eighteen local government areas are categorized into four Educational zones e.g. Calabar, Ugep, Ikom and Ogoja. It spans over two ecological biomes which are the coasted forest ecological biome and the Northern Savanna ecological biome.

Human activities in agriculture, lumbering, hunting and domestic energy requirements have caused the depletion of the enormous mangrove, rainforest and the Savanna woodlands in the area. These interventions have caused marked alteration in the ecosystemic niches across the area. Within the two broad zones, there is marked disparity in the rainfall, temperature and vegetation distribution across the area. These disparities underscore the sheer prevalence of weather variability in the region.

#### POPULATION OF THE STUDY

The study population comprises secondary school students and staff personnel in the secondary schools in the area. Staff personnel form part of this study population due principally to the recognition that they are more enduring in appreciating the changing regimes of weather in the area.

#### SAMPLING TECHNIQUE

Multi stage sampling was employed in the data collection process. First, purposive-cluster sampling was adopted by selecting Educational Zones based on ecological distinctions. Next was stratified sampling based on selection of private and public schools using the ratio of the comparative population of the schools. Finally, random sampling was employed based on selection of representative population.

# INSTRUMENTS FOR DATA COLLECTION

Biophysical data was captured by the use of digital cameral, geographical positioning system and voice recorders. The questionnaire was employed in eliciting information from respondents to investigate effect of weather variability.

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF RESEARCH VARIABLES

This section is focused on the results of data analysis, interpretation and discussion. The research work was aimed at assessing the impact of weather variability on learning activities across educational zones in Cross River State. The major independent variable of the study was impact of weather variability. Ten (10) sub-groups were identified from this variable and these are:

- ✓ Effect of rainfall incidence
- ✓ Effect of thunder storm incidence
- ✓ Annual flooding events
- ✓ Effects of nights hours duration
- ✓ Early morning rainfall incidence
- ✓ Seasonal disease incidence
- ✓ Temperature extreme effects
- ✓ Gender dimension of weather effects extreme cold weather events
- ✓ Seasonal mortality rate

The dependent variable of the study was effect on learning activities of students across the educational zones in Cross River State. Table 1 shows the mean scores and standard deviations of all the dimension of the study variables.

S/NO	VARIABLES	Ν	$\overline{X}$	SD
1.	Effects of rain fall incidence	1285	15.08	2.15
	on school activities			
2.	Effect of thunder storm	1285	14.46	2.28
	incidence			
3.	Annual flooding events	1285	15.73	2.25
4.	Effects of Night hours	1285	14.53	2.28
	duration			
5.	Extremely cold weather	1285	14.43	2.24
	events			
6.	Early morning Rainfall	1285	15.08	2.15
	incidence			
7.	Seasonal Disease incidence	1285	14.46	2.25
8.	Seasonal mortality rate	1285	14.73	2.25
9.	Temperature Extreme effects	1285	14.53	2.28
10.	Gender dimension of weather	1285	14.46	2.28
	effect			

Table 1: Mean scores and standard deviation of the study variables

#### HYPOTHESIS ONE (1)

There is no significant variation in the impact of climatic variable on learning activities across the educational zones in the study area.

The hypothesis was tested using one-way analysis of variance test statistic and the results analysis are presented in table II.

As presented on tables, the result of data analysis show that the calculated f-value for the variation in the impact of climate variable on students learning activities (7.325) is greater than the critical f-ratio of 2.99 at 0.05 level of significance with 2 and 1282 degrees of freedom. This means that variation in the impact of climatic variable has a significant influence on the overall learning activities of the students. Further examination of the table shows that variation in impact of climatic variable has significant influence on each dimension of the learning activities of the students.

	nsion of the learnin	¥			
S/	VARIABLES	Impact of	Ν	$\overline{X}$	SD
NO		Weather			
		Variability on			
		learning			
		Activities			
1.	Effects of	High	278	15.72	1.66
	Rainfall	Medium	493	14.85	2.30
	incidence on	Low	521	14.86	2.23
	school	Total	1285	15.08	2.15
	Activities				
2.	Effect of thunder	High	271	14.61	1.74
	Storm incidence	Medium	493	14.57	2.38
		Low	521	14.27	2.51
		Total	1285	14.46	2.28
3.	Annual Flooding	High	271	15.06	1.79
	Events	Medium	493	14.47	2.34
		Low	521	14.50	2.42
		Total	1285	15.73	2.25
4.	Effects of Night	High	271	14.68	2.37
4.	hours Duration	Medium	493	14.66	1.75
	nours Duration	Low	493 521	14.00	2.49
		Total	1285	14.20 14.53	2.49
_					
5.	Extremely cold	High	271	14.35	2.20
~	Weather event	Medium	493	14.30	1.71
		Low	521	14.25	2.35
		Total	1285	14.43	2.24
6.	Early morning	High	271	15.38	2.17
X	Rainfall	Medium	493	15.00	2.53
	incidence	Low	521	14.96	1.95
,		Total	1285	15.08	2.15
7.	Seasonal disease	High	271	14.65	2.23
	Incidence	Medium	493	14.42	2.31
		Low	521	14.35	2.28
		Total	1285	14.46	2.28
8.	Seasonal	High	271	15.97	2.24
	mortality	Medium	493	14.65	2.18
	•	Low	521	14.64	2.41
		Total	1285	14.73	2.25
9.	Temperature	High	271	14.73	2.23
~•	Extreme effect	Medium	493	14.47	2.23
		Low	521	14.41	2.20
		Total	1285	14.53	2.28
10.	Condor		271	15.08	2.15
10.	Gender dimension of	High Medium	271 493	15.08 14.65	2.15
	Weather effect	Low	493 521	14.63	2.25
	weather effect	Total	1285	14.42 <b>14.46</b>	
		10181	1203	14.40	2.2

S/ NO	VARIABLES	Source of Variation	SS	Df	MS	F
1.	Effects of	Between	202.475	2	101.238	$22.352^{*}$
	Rainfall	Within	6052.885	1282		
	incidence on school Activities	Total	6255.360	1284		
2.	Effect of	Between	32.609	2	16.304	$3.135^{*}$
	thunder	Within	7005.185	1282	5.201	
	Storm incidence	Total	7037.793	1284		
3.	Annual	Between	65.749	2	32.875	$6.548^{*}$
	Flooding Events	Within <b>Total</b>	6762.251 <b>6828.000</b>	1282 1284	5.020	

4.	Effects of	Between	45.932	2	22.966	$4.446^{*}$	Annual	High	15.06*	0.324 <sup>b</sup>	0.56
	Night Hours	Within	6958.712	1282	5.966		Flooding	Medium	2.02*	14.74	0.24
	Duration	Total		1284			Events	Low	3.5.*	1.69	14.50
						*			(MSW=5.020)		
5.	Extremely	Between	44.322	2	22.161	$4.806^{*}$					
	cold	Within	6211.038	1282	4.611		Effects of	High	14.68*	$0.02^{b}$	0.42
	Weather event	Total	6255.360	1284			Night Hours	Medium	0.12	14.66	0.40
		_				*	Duration	Low	2.16*	2.78*	14.26
6.	Early morning	Between	16.688	2	8.344	3.601*			(MSW=5.166)		
	Rainfall	Within	2021.105	1282	5.212				(1115)) 01100)		
	incidence	Total		1284			Extremely cold	High	14.30	$0.04^{b}$	0.36
-	C 1	D (	07 (10	2	12 000	3.735*	Weather event	Medium	0.25	14.25	0.38
7.	Seasonal	Between	27.619	2 1282	13.809	3.735	weather event	Low	3.12*	2.09	14.35
	disease	Within	6800.381		5.049			LOW	(MSW=5.005)	2.07	14.55
	Incidence	Total	6828.00	1284					(1013 W = 5.005)		
8.	Seasonal	Between	19.909	2	9.955	1.920	Early morning	High	15.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.38 <sup>b</sup>	0.42
	mortality	Within	6984.734	1282	5.185		Rainfall	Medium	$2.28^{*c}$	15.00	0.04
	-	Total	7004.644	1284			incidence	Low	3.09*	0.25	14.35
									(MSW=4.611)		
9.	Temperature	Between	41.782	2	20.891	$3.079^{*}$			· · · · · ·		
	Extreme	Within	9008.806	1282	16.879		Seasonal	High	$15.87^{a}$	$0.65^{b}$	1.21
	effect	Total	9049.588	1284			disease	Medium	3.99 <sup>*c</sup>	15.22	0.55
							Incidence	Low	8.13*	4.13	14.96
10.	Gender	Between	46.802	2	25.911	$3.19^{*}$	mendence	Low	(MSW=4.425)		11.20
	dimension of	Within	9802.611	1282	20.102				(1115 (1 = 4.425)		
	Weather	Total	9949.704	1284			Seasonal	High	15.30 <sup>a</sup>	0.65 <sup>b</sup>	1.21
	effect					*	Mortality rate	Medium	4.61 <sup>*c</sup>	15.22	0.55
	Total impact	Between	57.901	2		$7.325^{*}$	wonanty fate	Low	7.58*	4.13	0.33 14.67
	of Climatic	Within	70951.502	1282				LOW		4.13	14.07
	effect on	Total	82506.209	1284					(MSW=4.611)		
	Learning										
	activities						Temperature	High	$17.70^{a}$	$0.06^{b}$	1.30
P < P	05: Critical F	- 2 99					Extreme	Medium	$6.23^{*c}$	14.63	0.24

*P*<.05; *Critical F* = 2.99

Table II: OneOway analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the impact of weather variability on learning activities across the educational zones

Since the results were significant, a pos-hoc comparison of the means was carried out to find out the mean pair difference(s) responsible for the variance. Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) was used and the analysis showed significant pair-wise influence on all the dimensions of climatic variable.

However, the analysis in table II indicated greater variation and impact of climatic variable on learning activities of the students during early morning rainfall incidience (tvalue of 3.09) extremely cold weather effect (t-value of 3.12) and temperature extreme effects (t-value of 8.37).

As presented in table III. The result of the LSD analysis show significant pair-wise influence of the impact of weather variability on learning activities of students across the educational zones of Cross River State.

VARIABLES	Variation of impact of climatic variable on learning activities	High (n=2710)	Medium (n=493)	Low (n=521)
Rainfall	High	15.72*	0.876	0.87
incidence	Medium	5.86* <sup>c</sup>	14.85	0.00
Effect on	Low	5.99*	0.00	14.85
learning		(MSW=4.494)		
Activities				
Effect of	High	14.61*	$0.04^{b}$	0.34
thunder	Medium	0.25	14.57	0.30
Storm	Low	2.11*	2.08	14.27
incidence		(MSW- 5.201)		

P < 0.05•

effects

Gender

dimension of

Weather effect

Group means are along the principal diagonals. а.

b. Differences among means are above the principal diagonals.

8.37\*

(MSW=4.820)

15.32<sup>a</sup>

4.33<sup>\*c</sup>

7.20

(MSW=5.010)

1.73\*

 $0.75^{b}$ 

14.57

2.75\*

14.40

1.14

0.39

14.17

*T-values* are below the principal diagonals. С

Low

High

Medium

Low

Table III: Fisher's lease Significant Difference (LSD) analysis of the influence of variation in impact of climatic variable on learning activities of students

#### HYPOTHESIS TWO (2)

There is no significant variation in the impact of climatic variable on learning activities within the different ecological belts in the study area.

The hypothesis was tested using one-way analysis of variance test statistic and the results of the analysis are presented in table IV. As presented in tables, the result of the data analysis show that the calculated F-value for the variation in the impact of climatic variable on learning activities of students within the different ecological belts in the study area (17.323) is greater than the critical F-value of 2.99 at 0.05 level of significance with 2 and 1282 degrees of freedom. This means that there is significant variation in the impact of climatic variable on learning activities of students within the ecological belts in the study area.

Further examination of the table shows that there is significant variation in the impact of the climatic variable on each dimension of the variables on the overall learning activities of students within the study area.

Since the results were significant, a post-hoc comparison was carried out to find out the mean pair difference(s) responsible for the variance. The method used for the post-hoc was fisher's least significant difference (LSD) (Table V).

Also as presented in table V, the result of LSD analysis show significant variation in the impact of weather, variability within the ecological zones in the study area; especially in the following sub-variables.

- ✓ Rainfall incidence effect (t = 5.86; P < 0.05)
- $\checkmark$  Extremely cold weather effect (t = 5.005: P < 0.05)
- ✓ Early morning rainfall incidence (t = 4.611; P < 0.05)
- ✓ Temperature extreme effect (t = 8.37; P < 0.05).

VARIABLES	Impact of	$\frac{(l-0.5)}{n}$	$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}}$	SD
VARIADLES	weather	п	Α	50
	Variability			
	on learning			
	Ac.			
Effects of Rainfall	High	240	15.28	1.93
incidence on school	Medium	518	15.10	2.11
Activities	Low	572	14.28	2.20
	Total	1285	15.11	2.10
Effect of thunder	High	240	25.26	1.97
Storm incidence	Medium	518	14.62	2.42
	Low	527	14.37	2.29
	Total	1285	14.70	2.49
Annual Flooding	High	240	15.64	1.97
Events	Medium	518	14.71	2.59
	Low	527	14.78	2.42
	Total	1285	14.99	2.46
Efforts of Night	High	240	15.69	2.01
Effects of Night Hours Duration	High Medium	240 518	13.69	2.61
Hours Duration	Low	518		2.50
	Total	1285	14.61 <b>14.93</b>	2.30 2.47
	Total	1205	14.95	2.47
Extremely cold	High	240	15.21	2.18
Weather event	Medium	518	15.22	2.14
	Low	527	15.01	2.04
	Total	1285	15.11	2.10
Early morning	High	240	15.30	2.07
Rainfall incidence	Medium	518	14.66	2.37
	Low	527	14.53	2.27
	Total	1285	14.70	2.29
Seasonal disease	High	240	15.60	2.30
Incidence	Medium	518	14.98	2.30
meldence	Low	527	14.47	2.39
	Total	1285	14.99	2.40
<b>S</b> 1	II: -1-	240	15 50	2.24
Seasonal mortality	High	240	15.58	2.34
rate	Medium	518	14.94	2.44
	Low <b>Total</b>	527 1285	14.36 <b>14.93</b>	2.50 <b>2.47</b>
	rotai	1205	14.93	2 <b>.</b> 4/
Temperature	High	240	15.15	1.99
Extreme effect	Medium	518	15.15	2.16
	Low	527	15.05	2.10
Gender dimension of	High	240	14.89	2.27
Weather effect	Medium	518	14.63	2.25
	Low	527	14.53	2.33
	Total	1285	14.70	2.29

Bit of         Find there is a strain of the second se	S/NO	VARIABLES	Source of	SS	Df	MS	F
Rainfall incidence on school Activities       Within Total $5915.441$ $5934.60$ $1282$ $4.392$ 2.       Effect of storm storm       Between Total $168.173$ $7059.073$ $2$ $1284$ $84.087$ $16.437°$ $16.437°$ 3.       Annual nicidence       Between Within Total $214.481$ $7059.073$ $2$ $1284$ $107.241$ $18.786°$ $18.786°$ 4.       Effects of Night Hours Duration       Between Total $214.481$ $7200.770$ $2$ $1282       141.9375.880 24.138°         5.       Extremelyevents       BetweenTotal       23.6947204.793 1284 24.396 6.8414.396 1.558         6.       Earlyevent       BetweenTotal       20.9467093.704 21282       6.8414.251°       1.558         7.       Seasonaldiseaseeffect       BetweenTotal       205.137093.704 21284       10.25777.17.948°       17.948°         8.       Seasonaldiseaseeffect       BetweenTotal       205.137093.704       21284       119.2741284       17.948°         9.       Temperatureeffect       BetweenTotal $	5/110	VIIII IDEED		55	DI	1015	1
incidence on school Activities       Total       5934.60       1284         2.       Effect of between Within 6890.900       1282       5.16         3.       Annual Events       Total       7059.073       1284         3.       Annual Events       Total       709.022       1282       5.16         4.       Effects of Events       Between       214.481       2       107.241       18.786*         7093.222       1284       703.222       1284       5.708       24.138*         5.       Extremely Events       Between       23.874       2       141.937       24.138*         5.       Extremely Between Total       7903.221       1284       5.880       1.558         cold Within 5920.946       1282       4.396       1.558         weather cold Within 7059.073       1284       1.558       5.208       4.251*         6.       Early Between 205.13       2       102.577       17.948*         7.       Seasonal Between 205.13       2       102.577       17.948*         9.       Temperature Between 205.13       2       119.274       17.948*         9.       Temperature Greet Total 7093.704       1284       5.914       1.558*	1.						2.186
school Activitiesstress activitiesstress activitiesstress 						4.392	
Activities       Activities       Effect of bunder within 6890.900       1282 $5.16$ $16.437^{*}$ Storm incidence       Total       7059.073       1284 $5.16$ $16.437^{*}$ 3.       Annual Events       Between $214.481$ $2$ $107.241$ $18.786^{*}$ 4.       Effects of Night Hours Duration       Between $283.874$ $2$ $141.937$ $24.138^{*}$ 5.       Extremely cold       Between $13.694$ $2$ $6.841$ $1.558$ 6.       Early weather       Total $5934.640$ $1284$ $2222$ $21.39$ $4.251^{*}$ 6.       Early weather       Between $44.272$ $2$ $22.139$ $4.251^{*}$ 7.       Seasonal Between $205.13$ $2$ $102.577$ $17.948^{*}$ 7.       Seasonal Between Mithin $7698.551$ $1282$ $5.914$ $17.948^{*}$ 9.       Temperature Extreme Within       Between $205.13$ $2$ $11.9274$ $17.948^{*}$ 9.       Temperature effect       Between $2.338$ $2$ $1.169$ $1.558^{*}$ 9.			Total	5934.60	1284		
2.       Effect of thunder Within 6890.900       1282       5.16       16.437*         Storm Total       7059.073       1284       5.16       5.16         3.       Annual Flooding Within 7689.222       1282       5.708       5.708         4.       Effects of Superstring Within 702.222       1282       5.708       24.138*         5.       Extremely Between 214.481       2       107.241       18.786*         5.       Extremely Between 7003.222       1284       5.880       24.138*         5.       Extremely Between 7001       1282       5.880       1.558         cold Within 7920.707       1282       4.396       1.558         weather rotal cold Within 7014.796       1282       5.208       4.251*         6.       Early Between 44.272       2       22.139       4.251*         7.       Seasonal Between 205.13       2       102.577       17.948*         7.       Seasonal Between 703.704       1284       5.914       17.948*         8.       Seasonal Between 703.12       2       119.274       17.948*         9.       Temperature Between 703.202       1282       5.914       17.948*         9.       Temperature Between 704.1284       1284 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>							
thunder Storm incidenceWithin Total $6890.900$ 7059.073 $1282$ 1284 $5.16$ 3.Annual Flooding EventsBetween Total $214.481$ 7689.222 $2$ 1282 $107.241$ 5.708 $18.786^*$ 4.Effects of Night Hours DurationBetween Total $283.874$ 7204.593 $2$ 1284 $141.937$ 5.880 $24.138^*$ 5.Extremely cold Weather eventBetween Total $13.694$ 5934.640 $2$ 1282 $6.841$ 4.396 $1.558$ 6.Early Rainfall incidenceBetween Vithin 7014.796 $1282$ 1284 $5.208$ $4.251^*$ 7059.0737.Seasonal disease morning lincidenceBetween Vithin 7698.551 $2$ 1282 $102.577$ 5.914 $17.948^*$ 8.Seasonal disease effectBetween Total $205.13$ 7039.704 $2$ 1284 $5.914$ 9.Temperature effectBetween Vithin Total $205.13$ 7039.704 $2$ 1284 $11.69$ 1.558*9.Temperature effectBetween Vithin Total $2338$ 7039.704 $2$ 1284 $1.169$ 1.558*10.Gender dimension of Wather Variation in Within the Impact of Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area. $2310.003$ 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 $1155.001$ 1.7.323*	•		D.	1 (0 172	2	04.007	1 < 407*
Storm incidence         Total         7059.073         1284           3.         Annual Flooding Events         Between Total         214.481         2         107.241         18.786*           4.         Effects of Night Hours Duration         Between Total         283.874         2         141.937         24.138*           5.         Extremely cold         Between Within         25934.640         1282         5.880         1.558           6.         Early worning         Between         13.694         2         6.841         1.558           6.         Early Rainfall         Between         44.272         2         22.139         4.251*           7.         Seasonal disease         Between         205.13         2         102.577         17.948*           8.         Seasonal disease         Between         2.05.13         2         119.274         17.948*           9.         Temperature effect         Between         2.338         2         1.169         1.558*           10.         Gender dimension of Within         Total         7059.073         1284         0.00         1.558*           10.         Gender dimension of Within         Between         2.338         2         1.169 <th>2.</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>16.437</th>	2.						16.437
3.Incidence Annual Flooding EventsBetween Vithin Total $214.481$ $7689.222$ 2 $1282$ $107.241$ $5.708$ $18.786^*$ 4.Effects of Night Hours DurationBetween Within Total $283.874$ $7204.593$ 2 $1284$ $141.937$ $28.880$ $24.138^*$ 5.Extremely cold Weather eventBetween Total $13.694$ $5934.640$ 2 $1282$ $6.841$ $4.396$ $1.558$ 6.Extremely eventBetween Total $44.272$ $7059.073$ 2 $22.139$ $4.251^*$ $4.396$ 7.Seasonal disease MithinBetween $7059.073$ $21282$ $1282$ $5.208$ $4.251^*$ $7.1282$ 7.Seasonal disease morning Mithin incidenceBetween $703.704$ $20.577$ $1284$ $17.948^*$ 8.Seasonal disease effectBetween $703.704$ $20.513$ $1282$ $21.169$ $1.558^*$ 9.Temperature effectBetween $7041$ $23.38$ $2.302$ $21.169$ $1282$ $1.558^*$ 9.Temperature effectBetween $7041$ $23.38$ $2.302$ $21.169$ $1282$ $1.558^*$ 10.Gender dimension of Variation in the Impact of 						5.10	
3.       Annual Flooding Events       Between Total       214.481 7689.222       2 1282       107.241 5.708       18.786*         4.       Effects of Night Hours Duration       Between Total       283.874 7204.593       2 1284       141.937 1282       24.138*         5.       Extremely cold Weather event       Between total       13.694 5920.946       2 1282       6.841 4.396       1.558         6.       Early Rainfall incidence       Between total       13.694 7093.704       2 1282       22.139 4.251*       4.251*         7.       Seasonal disease       Between Within Total       205.13 7093.704       2 102.577       17.948*         8.       Seasonal mortality       Between Within Total       205.13 7093.704       2 1282       119.274 5.914       17.948*         9.       Temperature effect       Between Total       205.13 7093.704       2 1282       119.274 5.914       17.948*         10.       Gender effect       Between Total       2.338 7092.302       2 1282       1.169 5.215       1.558* 5.215         10.       Gender effect       Between Total       2.310.003 7024.397       2 1282       17.338 66.675       3.325* 66.675         10.       Gender dimension of Within       Between 7024.397       2 1282       1.169 66.675       1.732* 66			Total	1059.075	1204		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3		Between	214 481	2	107 241	$18.786^{*}$
EventsTotal7903.22212844.Effects of Night Hours DurationBetween Within Total283.874 7204.5932141.937 128224.138*5.Extremely cold Weather eventBetween Total13.694 5920.94626.841 12821.5586.Early eventBetween total44.272 7059.073222.139 22.1394.251*7.Seasonal disease morning mortalityBetween Within Total205.13 7093.7042102.577 128417.948*8.Seasonal disease mortalityBetween Within Total205.13 7093.7042119.274 128417.948*9.Temperature effectBetween Total2.338 7039.70421.169 1.2841.558* 3.325*10.Gender dimension of Within effectBetween Within 7024.3972.1169 1.2821.558* 5.2153.325*10.Gender dimension of Within Variation in Within effectBetween 70412.310.003 2217.338 1.2843.325* 66.67510.Gender dimension of Within Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.2.110.033 21.28417.323*	5.						10.700
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		U				5.700	
Night Hours DurationWithin Total7920.770 7204.5931282 			2000				
DurationTotal7204.59312845.Extremely coldBetween Within13.694 5920.9462 12826.841 4.3961.5586.Early eventBetween event44.272 7014.7962 128222.139 5.2084.251*6.Early morning mincidenceBetween Vithin44.272 7014.7962 128222.139 5.2084.251*7.Seasonal disease mortalityBetween Within205.13 7698.5512 1282102.577 5.71517.948*8.Seasonal mortalityBetween Within Total205.13 7093.7042 119.27417.948*9.Temperature Extreme effectBetween Within Total2.338 7039.2021.169 1.558*1.558*10.Gender dimension of Within Variation in Variation in WithinBetween 89811.6832 1155.00117.323* 17.323*10.Gender dimension of Climatic Variation in Variation in Within2310.003 92121.0832 1155.00117.323* 17.323*	4.	Effects of	Between	283.874	2	141.937	$24.138^{*}$
5.       Extremely cold       Between Within $13.694$ 2 5920.946 $6.841$ $1.558$ 6.       Early event       Between Within $5934.640$ $1284$ $4.396$ $4.251^*$ 6.       Early morning       Between Within $44.272$ $2$ $22.139       4.251^*         7.       Seasonaldisease       BetweenWithin       205.13 2202.577       17.948^*         8.       Seasonalmortality       BetweenTotal       205.13 2202.577       17.948^*         9.       Temperatureeffect       BetweenTotal       205.13 2119.274 17.948^*         9.       Temperatureeffect       BetweenTotal       2.338 21.169 1.558^*         10.       Gendereffect       BetweenTotal       2.338 22.17.338 3.325^*         Weathereffect       Total       7059.073 1284 11.69 1.558^*         10.       Genderdimension ofWithin       Between2310.003 22.1155.001 17.323^*         Variation inWithin       Total92121.083 1284 66.675 17.323^*         Variation inLearningActivitieswithin thestudy area.       Notal<$		Night Hours	Within	7920.770	1282	5.880	
coldWithin5920.94612824.396Weather eventTotal5934.64012846.Early Rainfall incidenceBetween44.272 Total2 7059.07322.139 1284 $4.251^*$ 7.Seasonal disease diseaseBetween205.13 Within Total2 7093.704102.577 128217.948*8.Seasonal disease mortalityBetween Within Within Total205.13 7093.7042 1282119.274 5.71517.948*9.Temperature effectBetween Total2.338 7039.7042 12821.169 5.9141.558*10.Gender dimension of Weather effectBetween Within Total34.6776 7059.0732 128217.338 5.2153.325*10.Gender dimension of Variation in Variation in the Impact of Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.12811155.001 17.323*17.323*		Duration	Total	7204.593	1284		
coldWithin5920.94612824.396Weather eventTotal5934.64012846.Early Rainfall incidenceBetween44.272 Total2 7059.07322.139 1284 $4.251^*$ 7.Seasonal disease diseaseBetween205.13 Within Total2 7093.704102.577 128217.948*8.Seasonal disease mortalityBetween Within Within Total205.13 7093.7042 1282119.274 5.71517.948*9.Temperature effectBetween Total2.338 7039.7042 12821.169 5.9141.558*10.Gender dimension of Weather effectBetween Within Total34.6776 7059.0732 128217.338 5.2153.325*10.Gender dimension of Variation in Variation in the Impact of Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.12811155.001 17.323*17.323*	5.	Extremely	Between	13 694	2	6.841	1.558
Weather eventTotal <b>5934.6401284</b> 6.Early morning Rainfall incidenceBetween <b>44.272</b> <b>7014.796</b> $2$ $22.139$ $4.251^*$ $5.208$ 7.Seasonal disease disease mortalityBetween Vithin <b>7093.704</b> $2$ $1282102.5775.71517.948^*17.948^*8.SeasonalmortalityBetweenWithin7093.70421284119.274128417.948^*9.TemperatureeffectBetween7039.7042128411691.558^*1.558^*10.Genderdimension ofWithineffectBetween7059.073212821155.00117.328^*17.328^*5.21510.Genderdimension ofWithinVariation inthe Impact ofClimaticVariable onLearningActivitieswithin thestudy area.2310.00322121.083212841155.00117.323^*$		•					1000
6.       Early morning Mithin       Between 44.272 7014.796       2 22.139       4.251*         morning Rainfall incidence       Total       7059.073       1282       5.208         7.       Seasonal disease Within 7698.551       1282       5.715       17.948*         8.       Seasonal mortality Within 7698.551       1282       5.715       17.948*         9.       Temperature Extreme effect       Between 205.13 2       119.274       17.948*         9.       Temperature effect       Between 2.338 2       1.169       1.558*         10.       Gender effect       Between 34.6776       2       17.338       3.325*         Variation in the Impact of Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.       Total       92121.083       1284		Weather	Total				
morning Rainfall incidence         Within Total         7014.796 7059.073         1282 1284         5.208           7.         Seasonal disease         Between Within         205.13 7698.551         2 1282         102.577 5.715         17.948*           8.         Seasonal mortality         Between Within         205.13 7093.704         2 1282         119.274 5.914         17.948*           9.         Temperature Extreme effect         Between Yotal         2.338 5934.640         2 1282         1.169 0.00         1.558*           10.         Gender dimension of Within         Between 7059.073         2 1282         17.338 5.215         3.325*           Variation in Climatic         Within         7059.073 7059.073         1284         10.169         1.7.323*           4.6675         2 1155.001         17.323*         17.323*         17.323*           Variation in Learning Activities within the study area.         2310.003 2         2 1155.001         17.323*		event					
Rainfall incidence       Total       7059.073       1284         7.       Seasonal disease       Between       205.13 7093.704       2 1282       102.577 5.715       17.948*         8.       Seasonal disease       Between       205.13 7093.704       2 1284       119.274 5.715       17.948*         8.       Seasonal mortality       Between       205.13 Total       2 7093.704       119.274 1284       17.948*         9.       Temperature effect       Between       2.338 5.914       2 1.169       1.558*         9.       Temperature effect       Between       2.338 5.934.640       2 1282       1.169 0.00       1.558*         10.       Gender effect       Between       34.6776 7059.073       2 1282       17.338 5.215       3.325*         10.       Gender effect       Between       2.310.003 2       2 1155.001       17.323*         Variation in the Impact of Climatic       Total       92121.083       1284       66.675         Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.       Total       92121.083       1284	6.	Early	Between	44.272	2	22.139	$4.251^{*}$
incidence       7.       Seasonal disease disease within ncidence       Between 205.13 rotal		morning	Within	7014.796	1282	5.208	
7.       Seasonal disease disease within Total       205.13 7698.551 1282 5.715       17.948*         8.       Seasonal mortality       Between 205.13 7698.551 1282 5.914       119.274 17.948*         9.       Temperature effect       Between 2.338 2 1.169 1.558*       0.00 1.558*         9.       Temperature effect       Between 34.6776 2 17.338 3.325*       0.00 1.558*         10.       Gender effect       Between 2.310.003 2 1.282 5.215       1155.001 17.323*         Variation in the Impact of Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.       Detween 2.310.003 2 1.284       1155.001 17.323*			Total	7059.073	1284		
disease Incidence       Within Total       7698.551 7093.704       1282 1284       5.715         8.       Seasonal mortality       Between Within Total       205.13 7039.704       2 1282       119.274 5.914       17.948*         9.       Temperature Extreme effect       Between Total       2.338 5932.302       2 1282       1.169 0.00       1.558*         10.       Gender dimension of Weather effect       Between Total       34.6776 7059.073       2 1282       17.338 5.215       3.325*         Variation in Within       Total       7059.073 7059.073       1284       66.675       17.323*         Variation in Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.       Total       92121.083       1284       66.675							*
Incidence         Total         7093.704         1284           8.         Seasonal mortality         Between Within Total         205.13 7039.704         2 1282         119.274 5.914         17.948*           9.         Temperature Extreme effect         Between Total         2.338 5932.302         2 1282         1.169 0.00         1.558*           10.         Gender dimension of Weather effect         Between Total         34.6776 7059.073         2 1282         17.338 5.215         3.325*           Variation in the Impact of Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.         Between Study area.         2310.003 1284         2 1155.001         17.323*	7.						17.948
8.       Seasonal mortality       Between Within Total       205.13 7098.551 1282 5.914       17.948*         9.       Temperature Extreme effect       Between Vithin 5932.302 1282 0.00       1.169 1.558*       1.558*         10.       Gender dimension of Within 7024.397 1282 5.215       1282 5.215       3.325*         Weather effect       Total 7059.073 1284       5.215       17.338 3.325*         Variation in the Impact of Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.       Detemperature Vities Vit						5.715	
mortality         Within Total         7698.551 7039.704         1282 1284         5.914           9.         Temperature Extreme effect         Between Total         2.338 5932.302         2 1282         1.169 0.00         1.558*           10.         Gender dimension of Within         Between 7024.397         3.325*         5.215         3.325*           Weather effect         Total         7059.073         1282         5.215         17.338         3.325*           Variation in the Impact of Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.         Total         92121.083         1284         66.675		Incidence	Total	7093.704	1284		
Total       7039.704       1284         9.       Temperature Extreme effect       Between       2.338       2       1.169       1.558*         10.       Gender Between       34.6776       2       17.338       3.325*         dimension of Within       7024.397       1282       5.215       3.325*         Weather effect       Total       7059.073       1284       155.001       17.323*         Variation in Within       89811.683       1282       66.675       66.675         Variation in Learning Activities within the study area.       Study area.       10.       10.	8.	Seasonal	Between	205.13	2	119.274	17.948*
9.       Temperature Extreme effect       Between Vithin       2.338 5932.302       1282 1.169 1.558*         10.       Gender dimension of dimension of Within 7024.397 1282       5.215 5.215       3.325*         Weather effect       Total       7059.073 1284       1155.001 17.323*         Yariation in Within Within 89811.683 1282       66.675       66.675         Variation in Learning Activities within the study area.       10.       9.	C	mortality				5.914	
Extreme effect         Within Total         5932.302 5934.640         1282 1284         0.00           10.         Gender dimension of Within         Between 7024.397         34.6776         2         17.338         3.325*           Weather effect         Total         7059.073         1282         5.215         3.325*           Total         Between         2310.003         2         1155.001         17.323*           Variation in Variation in Climatic         Within         89811.683         1282         66.675           Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.         Activities         Study area         Study area         Study area			Total	7039.704	1284		
Extreme effect         Within Total         5932.302 5934.640         1282 1284         0.00           10.         Gender dimension of Within         Between 7024.397         34.6776         2         17.338         3.325*           Weather effect         Total         7059.073         1282         5.215         3.325*           Total         Between         2310.003         2         1155.001         17.323*           Variation in Variation in Climatic         Within         89811.683         1282         66.675           Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.         Activities         Study area         Study area         Study area	9.	Temperature	Between	2.338	2	1.169	1.558*
10.         Gender dimension of Within         Between 7024.397         1282         5.215           Weather effect         Total         7059.073         1284         5.215           Variation in Within 89811.683         1282         66.675         17.323*           Variation in Within 89811.683         1282         66.675         17.323*           Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.         4.000         10.003         10.003	V7			5932.302	1282		
dimension of Weather       Within Total       7024.397 7059.073       1282       5.215         Weather       Total       7059.073       1284         effect       701       1282       5.215         Total       Between       2310.003       2       1155.001       17.323*         Variation in       Within       89811.683       1282       66.675         the Impact of Climatic       Total       92121.083       1284         Variable on Learning Activities       Learning       4         Study area.       5       1284		effect	Total	5934.640	1284		
dimension of Weather       Within Total       7024.397 7059.073       1282       5.215         Weather       Total       7059.073       1284         effect       701       1282       5.215         Total       Between       2310.003       2       1155.001       17.323*         Variation in       Within       89811.683       1282       66.675         the Impact of Climatic       Total       92121.083       1284         Variable on Learning Activities       Learning       4         Study area.       5       1284	10.	Gender	Between	34.6776	2	17.338	3.325*
Weather effect         Total         7059.073         1284           Total         Between         2310.003         2         1155.001         17.323 <sup>*</sup> Variation in         Within         89811.683         1282         66.675           the Impact of Climatic         Total         92121.083         1284           Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.         study area         1284							
TotalBetween2310.00321155.00117.323*Variation inWithin89811.683128266.675the Impact ofTotal92121.0831284ClimaticVariable onLearningActivitieswithin thestudy area.5		Weather	Total	7059.073	1284		
Variation in Within 89811.683 1282 66.675 the Impact of <b>Total 92121.083 1284</b> Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.		effect					
the Impact of <b>Total 92121.083 1284</b> Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.		Total	Between	2310.003	2	1155.001	$17.323^{*}$
Climatic Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.						66.675	
Variable on Learning Activities within the study area.		1	Total	92121.083	1284		
Learning Activities within the study area.							
Activities within the study area.							
within the study area.		U					
study area.							
Ť.							
	$T_{a}L$	,	n Anaba:-	of Varian	ANOT	(A) of the	

Table IV: One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of the impact of weather variability on learning activities within the different ecological belts in the study area

VARIABLES	Variation of impact of climatic variable on learning activities	High (n=2710)	Medium (n=493)	Low (n=521)
Rainfall	High	15.26*	0.64 <sup>b</sup>	0.89
incidence	Medium	4.00* <sup>c</sup>	14.62	0.25
Effect on	Low	5.56*	1.75	14.37
learning Activities		(MSW=5.116)		
Effect of	High	15.64 <sup>a</sup>	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.86
thunder	Medium	5.50*°	14.71	0.07
Storm incidence	Low	5.09* (MSW- 5.708)	0.46*	14.78

Annual	High	15.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.08
Flooding	Medium	5.77* <sup>c</sup>	14.70	0.09
Events	Low	6.30*	0.59*	14.61
		(MSW=5.880)		
Effects of	High	15.03*	0.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.50
Night Hours	Medium	2.09* <sup>c</sup>	14.66	0.13
Duration	Low	6.30*	0.81*	14.53
		(MSW=5.208)		
Extremely	High	15.60 <sup>a</sup>	$0.62^{b}$	1.13
cold	Medium	3.35 <sup>*c</sup>	14.98	0.51
Weather event	Low	7.47*	3.02*	14.47
		(MSW=5.715)		
Early morning	High	$15.60^{a}$	$0.64^{b}$	1.22
Rainfall	Medium	3.40 <sup>*c</sup>	14.98	0.51
incidence	Low	7.93*	3.37*	14.47
		(MSW=5.914)		
		,		
Seasonal	High	$14.89^{a}$	0.26 <sup>b</sup>	0.36
disease	Medium	$14.89^{+c}$	14.63	0.30
Incidence	Low	2.49*	0.62	14.53
Incluence	LOW	(MSW=5.215)	0.02	14.55
		(1415 44 - 5.215)		
G 1		15 0 4 48	o tob	1.07
Seasonal	High	15.344 <sup>a</sup> 2.79 <sup>*c</sup>	$0.42^{b}$	1.07
Mortality rate	Medium		15.02	0.65
	Low	7.11*	3.86*	14.37
		(MSW=5.666)		
Temperature	High	15.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.41 <sup>b</sup>	1.08
Extreme	Medium	$2.67^{*c}$	14.97	0.67
effects	Low	7.04*	3.91*	14.30
cifeeto	LOW	(MSW=5.883)	5.71	14.50
		(115 11 - 5.005)		
Gender	High	15.52ª	0.59 <sup>b</sup>	0.59
dimension of	Medium	3.66 <sup>*c</sup>	14.93	0.00
Weather effect	Low	4.01*	0.00*	14.93
cathor cricot	2011	(MSW=4.332)	0.00	11.25
• $P < 0.05$		(110 11 - 11332)		

• P < 0.05

a. Group means are along the principal diagonals

b. Differences among means are above the principal diagonals.

c. *t-values are* below the principal diagonals.

TABLE V: Fishers Least Significant Difference (LSD) analysis of variation in the impact of the climatic variable on learning activities of students within the study area

#### V. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The primary objective of this study was to find out the impact of weather variability on productivity in learning among secondary school students in Cross River State.

This section is therefore devoted to discussion of findings based on each hypotheses.

# IMPACT OF CLIMATE VARIABLE AND LEARNING ACTIVITIES ACROSS THE EDUCATIONAL ZONES IN CROSS RIVER STATE

The result of data analysis revealed that the impact of climatic variable has a significant influence on the overall learning activities of the students across the educational zones in Cross River State. Also, the findings indicated greater variability and impact of climatic variable on learning activities of students during early morning rainfall incidence (t-value of 3.09), extremely cold weather effect (t-value of 3.12) and temperature extreme effects (t-value of 8.37).

This findings agrees with Balogun and Balogun (2014) who found that the impact of climatic variability on human activities has within the last decade impacted negatively on human activities. The researchers particularly emphasized that effect of extreme temperature resulting from especially on urban dwellers.

This view is corroborated by the findings of Trendborth, Miller, means and Rhode (2000) in their review of "Effects of changing climate on weather and Human activities." They held that the impact of climatic variability on human activities especially extreme weather variabilities such as flood incidents has disruptive effects on academic activities.

Empirical research results of Manauno, Juinme and Manauno (2017) as well as Citing Dunn and Dunn in Pytel (2006) are all in support of the research findings above specially, citing Dunn and Dunn Pytel (2006) noted that severe cold or hot room temperatures affect learners' learning because of poor memory.

The findings is also in line with Carolyn' (2017) conclusion that extreme temperature affects especially in the months of February-April in the tropical world results in high risk of Meningitis transmission which may not enhance academic activities during the period. According to him the seasonal disease incidences result from climatic change and weather variability.

The result also agreed with Nwachukwu and Ali-Amadi (2015) who emphasized that impact of weather variability due to climatic change affects schooling. The researcher stated that many children are absent from school during, heavy rains, especially in the villages where there are no means of transportation. Such absenteeism obviously affects their learning activities and performance. Serious drought due to weather variability may lead to food scarcity, which leads to hunger, which in turn affects the ability to learn.

# IMPACT OF CLIMATIC VARIABLE AND LEARNING ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE DIFFERENCE ECOLOGICAL BELTS IN THE STUDY AREA

The result of analysis of data revealed a significant variation in the impact of the climatic variable on each dimension of the variables on overall learning activities of students within the study area.

This research findings agree with various empirical research results in this area especially those cited in the literature review.

For instance, Okpilya (2014) reported serious effects of rainfall incidence resulting in episodic flooding in some parts of Cross River Sate causing displacement of many residents as well as disruption of school activities within the area.

The findings is also not different from that of Monuano, Jimme and Monauno (2017) who stated high temperature extreme effects on academic activities in cities experiencing high temperature effects. The researchers personal experiences and observation shows that early morning rainfall incidence, and extreme cold weather events also have significant impact on learning activities of students within the research area. The effects results from learners either staying away from class or going late for school activities very often.

Again, the findings of hypothesis two(2) agrees with the report of National Sleep Foundation (2018) that impact of climatic change and extreme weather can affect learning activities especially our mental health.

Specifically, the report state that worm weather and extreme smithl is dangerous to those using psychotropic medication resulting in photosensitivity. Inflammation of the skin and high risk of heatstroke, and other heat related diseases.

# VI. SUMMARY & CONCLUSION

From the foregoing discussion, it was established in this study that there is significant impact of weather variability on productivity in learning among secondary school students in Cross River State. Ten (10) sub-variables of weather variability were identified as follows.

- ✓ Effect of rainfall incidence
- ✓ Effect of thunderstorm incidence
- ✓ Annual flooding events
- ✓ Effects of night hour during
- ✓ Early morning rainfall incidence
- ✓ Seasonal disease incidence
- Temperature extreme effects
- ✓ Gender dimension of weather effects
- ✓ Extreme cold weather events and seasonal mortality rate.

The findings also shows that there is greater variation and impact of climatic variable on learning activities of the students during early morning rainfall incidence, extreme cold weather and temperature extreme effects.

Based on the findings, the paper therefore recommends governments intervention at all level so as to curb climate change effect.

Also, at local level, management should decongest classroom and possibly equip them with air conditional.

Finally, environmental education can also be infused in the secondary school curriculum to create awareness even at the level.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Adepodiagaga, P. O. (2001) General overview of climate change impacts in Nigeria. In the Journal of Human Ecology. 29(1):47-55.
- [2] Amandukwu, R. N., Ali-Amadi, T.G. & Olochibe, P.N. (2015) Climatic change education in Nigeria. The role of Curriculum Review. Education (5) 3, 71-79.
- [3] Balogun, A. I. and Balogun, A. A. (2014) Urban Heat Island and Bio-Climatological condition in hot humid Tropical City. The example of Akure, Nigeria. Journal of the Geographical Society of Berlin, (145) 1 -2.

- [4] Carolyn, G. (2017). The surprising ways, the weather affects your Health and wellbeing. Huffinton Post (Accessed December, 2018).
- [5] Cunha, J. (2018) Sun-Sensitive Drugs (Photo-Sensitivity to Drugs). Medicine Net.com (Accessed April, 2018).
- [6] Ekpeh, I. J. Devalsam, E. L and Frank O. I (2014) Flooding incidents in Calabar and residents vulnerability. In the 25th annual conference of Associating of Nigeria Geographers.
- [7] Fussel, H. M. and Klien, J. T. R. (2002) Assessing vulnerability and Adaptation to climate change: An evolutionary conceptual thinking. A paper presented at the UNDP Expert Group on "Integrating Disaster Reduction and Adaptation to Climate Change" Havanna, 17-19, June, 2007.
- [8] IPCC (2007) Third Assessment Report: On "Autonomous and planned adaptation to climate change."
- [9] Manauno, A. K., Jimme, M. A. and Manauno, H. A. (2017) The influence of weather on students Academic performance in College of Education, Maiduguri, Bornu State Nigeria. Current Journal Applied Scie nce and Technology 23(4) 1 – 9.
- [10] National Sleep Foundation (2018) Three Reasons that sun makes you Tired. Accessed April, 2, 2018.
- [11] Nigerian Meteorological Agency, NIME Abuja (2012): Nigeria Climate Review.
- [12] NOAA (1995). THE National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration. U.S. Agency for Monitoring and Forecasting Weather. United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).
- [13] Oguntoynmbo, J. I. (2003) Aspects of Microclimatic. The case of Ibadan. In Safa Po. And Oguntoyimbo J. S. (Eds) Urbanization process and problems in Nigeria. Ibadan University Press.
- [14] Pilman, M. S. (2001) The effects air temperatures variables on memory abilities. Available. http/www.suite101.com/content/temperature-andlearning-a3319.(accessed:16/4/2014).
- [15] Pytel, B. (2006) In Manauno, A.K. Jimme, M. A and Manauno, H.A. (2017). Temperature and learning. How hot and cold temperatures affect the brain. http://clearinghouse,missionaries.tem.edu/manuscript306. php. accessed 21/3/2014.
- [16] Ryo, T. Climate, Crime and Suicide: Empirical Evidence from Japan. (Accessed February 13, 2017).
- [17] Substance Abuses and Mental Health Services Administration (2017) On Behavioural Health (Accessed: Jan, 2017).
- [18] Trendberth, K. E., Miller, K., Means L. and Rhodes, S. (2000) Global change instruction programme: Effects of climate change on Weather and Human activities: National Centre for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado.
- [19] UNEPIGEMS (2002) United Nations Environmental Programmed Global Environmental Management System Library. Nairobi Kenya.
- [20] Upla, J. I. and Ibiang, E. I. (2012) Impact of climate change on the livelihood patterns of Rural Dwellers and Sustainable Development in Nigeria. A Paper presented at International Conference on Sustainable Development

held at the University of Ibadan, Oyo State, November, 2012.

[21] Ushie, J. U. and Upla, J. I. (2017) Impact of thunderstorm and other weather events. Field report data on interviews from School Heads.

JRAS