Bio-Treatment Of Fruitlet Core Rot Associated With Fungi-Induced Postharvest Deterioration In Ananas Comosus Linn. (Pineapple)

Oluwatoke B. Adewuyi-Samuel Biology Department, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

Peter M. Etaware Olumayowa A. Shennaike Adegboyega C. Odebode Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

Abstract: Pineapple is the third most important commercial fruit in the global market and its availability is directly affected by disease. Control of microorganisms especially pathogens is being plagued by resistance hence the need for constant search for new derivatives especially those from natural sources. Therefore, the current study seeks to investigate the effects of Syzygium aromaticum (S. aromaticum) and Acalypha wilkensiana (A. wilkensiana) on fungal pathogens associated with fruitlet core rot of pineapple. Pineapple samples with symptoms of fruitlet core rot were inoculated into freshly prepared Potato Dextrose Agar for isolation of pathogenic fungi. Pathogenicity test was carried out by inoculating the isolated fungi into healthy pineapple fruits. Methanolic and aqueous (cold and hot) extracts of A. wilkensiana (leaves) and S. aromaticum (buds) were obtained and tested against the isolated fungi. Aspergillus niger, Fusarium sp. and Pencillium sp. which isolated from rotten pineapple and were proven to be causal agents of spoilage, with Fusarium sp. being the most virulent. (100, 250, 500 and 750)mg/mL of aqueous and methanolic extracts of both plants significantly reduced radial mycelial growth of the isolates in in vivo tests. The extracts of the 1 plants were fungitoxic to the rot pathogens and can be used to control the growth of the spoilage fungi.

Keywords: Pathogenic, in-vitro, in-vivo, fungicide, fungitoxic

I. INTRODUCTION

Pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L.) classified under the family Bromeliaceae is an economically important crop that plays a key role in rural development and improves general well-being due to the nutrients present (All Africa, 2011). Hence it plays a role in food security and job creation. Baruwa (2013) stated that pineapple is the most important commercial fruit after banana and citrus in the world, dominating 50.7% of the global fruit market. It is cultivated in tropical and subtropical countries in America, Asia and Africa for local as well as for foreign exchange earnings (Bartholomew *et al.*, 2003; Elss *et al.*, 2005; FAO, 2004 and 2005).

Postharvest disease of pineapple poses a great challenge globally occasioned by its high water content, susceptibility to injury and microbial infection. In other to reduce postharvest loss of pineapple, chemical control methods have been employed but they are restricted due to their possible carcinogenicity, long degradation, environmental pollution and their harmful effects on human beings. The use of biological control such as plant based extracts are being encouraged since they are economically feasible, host specific and has little or no side effect for prolonging its shelf-life and ensuring the availability of fresh healthy pineapple. Therefore, the current study seeks to investigate the effects of *Syzygium aromaticum* and *Acalypha wilkensiana* as control methods of fungal pathogens associated with fruitlet core rot of pineapple.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. SAMPLE COLLECTION

Fresh and healthy leaves of *Acalypha wilkensiana* were obtained from the Botanical Garden, University of Ibadan, Nigeria and fruits of *Syzygium aromaticum* were purchased from Bodija market in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. The plant materials were authenticated in the Herbarium unit of Botany Department, University of Ibadan. Pineapple fruits with symptoms of fruitlet core rot were purchased from Oje market and Bodija markets in Ibadan, Oyo state, Nigeria.

B. ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF FUNGI

Diseased fruits were washed under running tap and surface sterilized with 70% ethanol for 30 seconds to remove surface contaminants. It was then rinsed in three changes of sterile distilled water and then blotted dry with sterile filter paper. The infected parts were cut into small fragments and plated directly onto freshly prepared Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA). The plates were incubated at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 3-5days and were examined daily. The mixed cultures were subcultured until pure cultures of the isolates were obtained and maintained on PDA slants in McCartney bottles (Odebode et al., 2006). The fungi isolates were identified based on their and microscopic colonial morphology characteristics according to the identification procedure used by Odebode et al. (2006).

C. PATHOGENICITY TEST

The modified method of Chukwuka *et al.* (2010) was adopted. Healthy pineapple fruits were surface sterilized and a hole of 5mm diameter was aseptically bored into each fruit using a cork borer. Mycelial plug of 5-day-old culture was cut from the active growing part of the colony and inserted into the hole. The wound was sealed with petroleum jelly while control samples were treated in the same manner with inoculated PDA. The treated samples and control were placed individually in sterile polyethylene bags each moistened with wet balls of absorbent cotton wool to create a humid environment and incubated at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 11days. At 2-day intervals, the samples were sectioned through the site of inoculation and examined for lesion development. Infected portions were aseptically transferred onto freshly prepared PDA.

D. EXTRACTION PROCEDURE

The modified method of Okigbo and Ogbonnaya (2006) was used. Methanolic and aqueous (cold and hot) extracts of ground fruits of *Syzygium aromaticum* were prepared by weighing 75g, 50g, 25g and 10g of the fruit into 100ml of hot sterile distilled water, cold sterile distilled water and methanol separately in 250ml beakers. The set-up was stirred vigorously, allowed to stand for 24 to 96 hours with intermittent shaking and filtered three times using sterile muslin cloth. Two hundred grams (200g) of the leaves of *Acalypha wilkensiana* were weighed and ground with 200ml

of methanol (methanol extraction) and sterile distilled water (aqueous extraction) separately with a sterile electric blender and then filtered 3 times using sterile muslin cloth. The filtrates were stored in refrigerator at 4° C prior to use.

E. IN-VITRO BIOCONTROL OF THE FUNGAL PATHOGENS USING PLANT EXTRACTS

The method of Odebode *et al.* (2006) was adopted. Aseptically, 1 ml of each plant extract at different concentration (750mg/mL, 500mg/mL, 250mg/mL, and 100mg/mL) was pipetted into sterilized Petri dish, overlaid with 10 ml of molten potato dextrose agar, and mixed thoroughly. After the agar solidified, mycelial plug of 5-dayold culture was cut from the active growing portion of the mycelium and placed in an inverted position on the already prepared media. The culture plates were incubated at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C in an incubator. The diameter of growth of the pathogen was measured daily for 7 days. All the treatments were carried out in triplicates. Sterile distilled water and methanol were both used as control in the determination of radial growth of the fungal pathogens.

F. IN-VIVO BIOCONTROL OF THE FUNGAL PATHOGENS USING PLANT EXTRACTS

A modified method of Odebode and Che (2001) was adopted. Healthy pineapple fruits of approximately equal sizes and physiological maturity were surface disinfected by swabbing with cotton wool soaked with 70% alcohol, rinsed with three changes of sterile distilled water and blotted dry with sterile filter paper.

A hole was aseptically bored into each healthy surface sterilized fruit with a 5mm diameter sterile cork borer. Ten millilitres (10mL) of extracts at different concentration (750mg/ml, 500mg/ml, 250mg/ml or 100mg/ml) was pipetted into each hole. Thereafter, mycelial plug of 5 day old culture was cut from the edge of the colony and inserted aseptically into the holes and wound was sealed with petroleum jelly. Incubation was done at $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C for a period of 7 days. Three (3) sets of control were made: control A (fungal pathogen + distilled water), control B (fungal pathogen + methanol) and control C (fungal pathogen alone) respectively. All the treatments and controls were carried out in triplicates. At the end of incubation period, cuts were made along the plane of inoculation on each fruit using a sterile knife and rot was assessed by measuring the diameter of rot in mm; records were kept for each fungal isolates at each concentration of the extract used.

III. RESULTS

Fungal pathogens isolated from fruitlet core rot of pineapple were identified as:

Aspergillus Niger



Plate 1: (A) Colonial morphology on PDA and (B) Photomicrograph of Aspergillus niger

Penicillium sp.



Plate 2: (A) Colonial morphology on PDA and (B) Photomicrograph of Penicillium sp. Fusarium sp.



Plate 3: (A) Colonial morphology on PDA and (B) Photomicrograph of Fusarium sp.

All the fungal isolates re-produce the disease symptoms associated with fruitlet core rot of pineapple when reinoculated into healthy (disease-free) pineapple samples as shown in (Table 1) with *Fusarium* sp. being the most virulent of the three isolates and *Aspergillus* sp being the least virulent. The results also showed (Fig 1) that fungal deterioration of the fruit began as early as on Day 3 with a climax of 40%, 78% and 100% deterioration by *Aspergillus, Penicillium* and *Fusarium* respectively on the 13th day.

	Level of Virulence							
		Day	Day	Day	Day	Day	Day	
Pathogen	Day 1	3	5	7	9	11	13	
A. niger	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Penicillium sp	-	+	+	++	++	++	++	
Fusarium sp	-	+	++	++	+++	+++	+++	

Key:

+ = *Mildly Virulent*

++ = *Moderately Virulent*

+++ = Severely Virulent

-= Avirulent

Table 1: The pathogenicity test conducted for fungal species isolated from diseased pineapple fruits with fruitlet core rot symptoms



Figure 1: The percentage level of virulence of the reinoculated pathogens in healthy pineapple fruits

The radial mycelia growth of the isolated fungal pathogens at 100mg/mL concentration of the plant extracts (Table 2). The aqueous extracts seemed to have greater efficiency at this concentration. At day 3, it was observed that cold water extract of *Syzygium aromaticum* had significant reduction on the radial mycelia growth of *Fusarium* sp. (0.10 \pm 0.17) as compared to the control (2.50 \pm 0.00) At the same day, it was also observed that cold and hot water extracts of *Acalypha wilkensiana* had significant reduction in the radial mycelia growth of *Fusarium* sp. (0.70 \pm 0.13 and 1.18 \pm 0.00) respectively as compared to the control *i.e Fusarium* sp. (2.50 \pm 0.00).

At day 7, it was observed that cold water extract of *S. aromaticum* had significant reduction on the same pathogen (*Fusarium* sp.) (0.43 ± 0.38) while hot water extract of the same plant was active in the reduction of radial mycelia growth of *A. niger*, *Fusarium* sp., and *Pencillium* sp. (1.28 ± 0.36 , 2.07 ± 0.45 and 0.37 ± 0.40) respectively as compared to the individual control. However, cold water extract of *A. wilkensiana* had significant reduction in the radial mycelia growth of *Fusarium* sp. (1.82 \pm 0.53) and *Pencillium* sp. (0.70 \pm 0.24) respectively as compared to the individual control while hot water extract of the same plant was active in the reduction of radial mycelia growth of *A. niger* (1.80 \pm 0.00) as compared to the control.

	Radial mycelia growth (cm)						
Plant Solvent Extract		Pathogens	Day 3	Day 5	Day 7		
	Cold	Aspergillus			.1		
	Water	niger	1.32±1.71 ^b	1.90 ± 1.77^{cde}	3.40±0.58 ^{ab}		
	Cold Water	rusarium	0 10+0 17 ^d	0 17+0 29 ^{fg}	0 43+0 38 ^{ijk}		
	Cold	Penicillium	0.10±0.17	0.17±0.29	0.45±0.56		
	Water	sp	0.58 ± 0.53^{cd}	2.22±1.62 ^{cd}	$2.68{\pm}1.44^{bcde}$		
		Aspergillus	o . o	o To o ufg	o oz o zohij		
Suzvaium	Methanol	niger Eusarium	0.19±0.17 ^a	0.50±0.44*	0.97 ± 0.58^{m}		
aromaticum	Methanol	SD	0.45±0.00 ^{cd}	2.00±0.00 ^{cde}	3.00±0.00 ^{abcd}		
		Penicillium					
	Methanol	sp	$2.50{\pm}0.00^{a}$	2.70 ± 0.00^{abc}	3.00 ± 0.00^{abcd}		
	Hot	Aspergillus	0 (7 0 1 2 ^{bcd}	1.02.0.41 ^{fg}	1.29.0.2cgh		
	Water Hot	niger Fusarium	$0.6/\pm 0.13$	1.03±0.41 °	1.28±0.36°		
	Water	SD	0.98±0.31 ^{bc}	1.92±0.45 ^{cde}	2.07±0.45 ^{ef}		
	Hot	Penicillium					
	Water	sp	0.00 ± 0.00^{d}	0.00 ± 0.00^{g}	0.37 ± 0.40^{jk}		
	Cold	Aspergillus		cha	abad		
	Water	niger	1.82 ± 1.60^{6}	2.72 ± 1.73^{abc}	2.93±1.59 ^{abcd}		
	Cold	Fusarium	0.70 ± 0.13^{bcd}	1 40+0 23 ^{ef}	1 82+0 53 ^{fg}		
	Cold	Penicillium	0.70±0.15	1.40±0.25	1.02±0.55		
	Water	sp	0.23 ± 0.21^{d}	$0.50{\pm}0.17^{fg}$	$0.72{\pm}0.24^{ij}$		
		Aspergillus	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • o o def		
Acabunha	Methanol	niger Eusanium	$1.35\pm0.00^{\circ}$	2.05±0.00 ^{ed}	2.35±0.00 ^{der}		
winkensiana	Methanol	rusarium sp	$0.45+0.00^{cd}$	$2.00+0.00^{cde}$	$3.00+0.00^{abcd}$		
		Penicillium					
	Methanol	sp	2.75 ± 0.00^{a}	3.18 ± 0.00^{ab}	3.48 ± 0.00^{a}		
	Hot	Aspergillus	0.75 0.00 ^{bcd}	1 60 1 0 00 ^{ef}	1.80 0.00 ^{fg}		
	Hot	Fusarium	0.75±0.00	1.00±0.00	1.80±0.00 -		
	Water	sp	1.18 ± 0.00^{b}	$2.28{\pm}0.00^{bcd}$	2.58±0.00 ^{cde}		
	Hot	Penicillium			aba		
	Water	sp	3.13±0.55 ^a	3.43±0.38 ^a	3.28±0.13		
Control		Aspergillus	hoo o ood	o c o o cofg			
	Methanol	nıger Fusarium	0.00±0.00*	0.30±0.00**	1.10±0.00-		
	Water	sp	2.50 ± 0.00^{a}	2.70±0.00 ^{abc}	3.00±0.00 ^{abcd}		
		Penicillium					
	Methanol	sp	0.10 ± 0.00^{d}	0.00 ± 0.00^{g}	0.95 ± 0.00^{hij}		
	Watar	Aspergillus	1 35+0 00 ^b	$2.05+0.00^{cd}$	2 35+0 00 ^{def}		
	w ater	Fusarium	1.55±0.00	2.05±0.00	2.55±0.00		
	Methanol	sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{d}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{g}$	$0.30{\pm}0.00^{jk}$		
		Penicillium	0.45 0.00 ^{cd}	a oo oorde	a oo o ooabrd		
	Water	SD	0.45±0.00 ^{cu}	2.00±0.00 ^{ede}	$3.00+0.00^{abcd}$		

Table 2: The radial mycelia growth of the isolated fungal pathogens at 100mg/mL concentration of the plant extract

Means with the same alphabets down the column are not significantly different at P \leq 0.05 using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) for separation of statistically significant means. Data collected were represented as "Means ± SD" only

The radial mycelia growth of the isolated fungal pathogens at 250mg/mL concentration of the plant extracts (Table 3). At day 3, it was observed that cold water extract of *S. aromaticum* significantly reduced radial mycelia growth of *A. niger* and *Fusarium* sp. $(0.37 \pm 0.40 \text{ and } 0.00 \pm 0.00)$ respectively as compared to the individual control i.e (1.35 ± 0.00) and (2.50 ± 0.00) while hot water extract of the same plant significantly reduced *A. niger*, *Fusarium* sp. *and Pencillium* sp. $(0.68 \pm 0.16, 1.00 \pm 0.41 \text{ and } 0.00 \pm 0.00)$ respectively as compared to the individual control.

At day 5, it was also observed that cold water extract of *S. aromaticum* had significant reduction in the radial mycelia growth of *A. niger*, *Fusarium* sp. and *Pencillium* sp. $(0.50 \pm 0.50, 0.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ and } 1.07 \pm 0.43)$ respectively as compared to the individual control $(2.05 \pm 0.00, 2.70 \pm 0.00 \text{ and } 1.20 \pm$

0.00) respectively It was further observed at the same day that cold water extract of *A. wilkensiana* had significant reduction on the radial mycelia growth of *Fusarium* sp. (0.58 ± 0.52) and *Pencillium* sp. (0.38 ± 0.34) as compared to the control i.e *Fusarium* sp. (2.70 ± 0.00) and *Pencillium* sp. (2.00 ± 0.00) .

At day7, it was observed that cold water extract of *S. aromaticum* was active in the reduction of *A. niger* (1.17 ± 0.63) and *Fusarium* sp. (0.65 ± 0.61) as compared to the control *A. niger* (2.35 ± 0.00) and *Fusarium* sp. (3.00 ± 0.00) . It was further observed that cold water extract of *A. wilkensiana* was active in the reduction of radial mycelia growth of *Fusarium* sp. and *Pencillium* sp. (1.05 ± 0.3) and 0.70 ± 0.17) respectively as compared to the individual control (3.00 ± 0.00) and 3.00 ± 0.00) respectively

	Radial mycelia growth (cm)					
Plant Extract	Solvent	Pathogens	Day 3	Day 5	Day 7	
	Cold Water	Aspergillus niger	$0.37{\pm}0.40^{h}$	$0.50{\pm}0.50^{h}$	1.17±0.63 ^{fg}	
	Cold Water	<i>Fusarium</i> sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{i}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{i}$	$0.65{\pm}0.61^{gh}$	
	Cold Water	Penicillium sp	$0.25{\pm}0.43^{\rm hi}$	1.07±0.43 ^g	2.50±0.80 ^{cd}	
	Methanol	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^i$	$0.18{\pm}0.32^{hi}$	$0.17{\pm}0.29^{hi}$	
Syzygium aromaticum	Methanol	Fusarium sp	$0.45{\pm}0.00^{gh}$	2.00±0.00 ^{de}	$3.00{\pm}0.00^{bc}$	
G	Methanol	Penicillium sp	2.50±0.00 ^b	2.70±0.00 ^c	3.00±0.00 ^{bc}	
	Hot Water	Aspergillus niger	$0.68{\pm}0.16^{\rm fg}$	$1.28{\pm}0.41^{\rm fg}$	1.53±0.32 ^{ef}	
	Hot Water	Fusarium sp	1.00±0.41 ^{de}	2.80±0.78 ^{bc}	2.95±0.67 ^{bc}	
	Hot Water	Penicillium sp	$0.00 {\pm} 0.00^{i}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{i}$	$0.28{\pm}0.26^{\text{hi}}$	
	Cold Water	Aspergillus niger	2.87±1.96 ^b	3.00±1.73 ^b	3.03±1.67 ^{bc}	
	Cold Water	Fusarium sp	$0.38{\pm}0.34^{\rm hi}$	$0.58{\pm}0.52^{\text{h}}$	$1.05{\pm}0.93^{\rm fg}$	
	Cold Water	Penicillium sp	0.22±0.19 ^{hi}	$0.38{\pm}0.34^{\rm hi}$	0.70±0.17 ^{gh}	
	Methanol	Aspergillus niger	1.35±0.00°	$2.05 {\pm} 0.00^d$	$2.35{\pm}0.00^d$	
Acalypha winkensiana	Methanol	Fusarium sp	$0.45{\pm}0.00^{gh}$	2.00±0.00 ^{de}	$3.00{\pm}0.00^{bc}$	
	Methanol	Penicillium sp	$2.75{\pm}0.00^{b}$	3.18±0.00 ^b	3.48±0.00 ^b	
	Hot Water	Aspergillus niger	0.75±0.00 ^{ef}	1.60±0.00 ^{ef}	1.80±0.00 ^e	
	Hot Water	<i>Fusarium</i> sp	1.18±0.00 ^{cd}	$2.28{\pm}0.00^d$	2.58±0.00 ^{cd}	
	Hot Water	Penicillium sp	3.92±0.14 ^a	4.00±0.00 ^a	4.00±0.00 ^a	
Control	Methanol	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{i}$	$0.30{\pm}0.00^{\text{hi}}$	1.10±0.00 ^{fg}	
	Water	Fusarium sp	2.50±0.00 ^b	2.70±0.00 ^c	3.00±0.00 ^{bc}	
	Methanol	Penicillium sp	$0.10{\pm}0.00^{i}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{i}$	0.95±0.00g	
	Water	Aspergillus niger	1.35±0.00°	$2.05{\pm}0.00^d$	$2.35{\pm}0.00^d$	
	Methanol	<i>Fusarium</i> sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{i}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{i}$	$0.30{\pm}0.00^{\text{hi}}$	
	Water	Penicillium sp	$0.45{\pm}0.00^{gh}$	2.00±0.00 ^{de}	3.00±0.00 ^{bc}	

Table 3: The radial mycelia growth of the isolated fungal pathogens at 250mg/mL concentration of the plant extracts

Means with the same alphabets down the column are not significantly different at P≤0.05 using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) for separation of statistically significant means. Data collected were represented as "Means ± SD" only

The radial mycelia growth of the isolated fungal pathogens at 500mg/mL concentration of the plant extracts (Table 4). At day 3, it was observed that cold water and hot water extracts of *Syzygium aromaticum* were effective in the reduction of the radial mycelia growth of *A. niger*, *Fusarium* sp. and *Pencillium* sp. $(0.00 \pm 0.00, 0.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ and } 0.00 \pm 0.00)$ and $(0.77 \pm 0.10, 0.78 \pm 0.00 \text{ and } 0.00 \pm 0.00)$ respectively as compared to the controls i.e *A.niger* (1.35 ± 0.00), *Fusarium* sp. (2.50 ± 0.00) and *Pencillium* sp. (0.45 ± 0.00).

At day 5, it was observed that cold water extracts of *S. aromaticum* was active in the reduction of radial mycelia growth of *A. niger* (0.48 ± 0.84), *Fusarium* sp. (0.00 ± 0.00) and *Pencillium* sp. (0.00 ± 0.00) respectively as compared to the controls i.e *A. niger* (2.50 ± 0.00), *Fusarium* sp. (2.70 ± 0.00) and *Pencillium* sp. (2.00 ± 0.00)

At day 7, it was observed that cold water extract of *S. aromaticum* had significant reduction on radial mycelia growth of *A. niger*, *Fusarium* sp. and *Pencillium* sp. ($0.60 \pm 1.40, 0.13 \pm 0.23$ and 0.00 ± 0.00) respectively as compared to the controls i.e *A.niger* (2.35 ± 0.00), *Fusarium* sp. (3.00 ± 0.00) and *Pencillium* sp. (3.00 ± 0.00) while hot water extract of the same plant was effective in the reduction of radial mycelia growth of *A. niger* (1.65 ± 0.00) and *Pencillium* sp. (0.00 ± 0.00) respectively as compared to the individual control. Furthermore, the methanol extract of *S. aromaticum* was effective only in the inhibition of the radial mycelial growth of *A.spergillus niger* (0.00 ± 0.00) at P ≤ 0.05 .

			Radial myceli	a growth (cm)	
Plant	61 (Pathogens	Day 3	Day 5	Day 7
Extract	Solvent				
	Cold Water	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{i}$	$0.48{\pm}0.84^{\mathrm{f}}$	0.60±1.04 ^{fg}
	Cold Water	Fusarium sp	0.00 ± 0.00^{i}	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{g}$	$0.13{\pm}0.23^{h}$
	Cold Water	Penicillium sp	0.00 ± 0.00^{i}	0.00±0.00 ^g	0.00 ± 0.00^{h}
~ .	Methanol	Aspergillus niger	0.00 ± 0.00^{i}	0.00±0.00 ^g	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{h}$
Syzygium aromaticum	Methanol	Fusarium sp	0.45±0.00 ^g	2.00±0.00 ^c	3.00±0.00 ^b
	Methanol	Penicillium sp	2.50±0.00 ^c	2.70±0.00 ^b	3.00±0.00 ^b
	Hot Water	Aspergillus niger	0.77 ± 0.10^{f}	0.95±0.15 ^e	1.65±0.05 ^d
	Hot Water	Fusarium sp	$0.78{\pm}0.08^{\mathrm{f}}$	2.12±0.40 ^c	$2.77{\pm}0.45^{bc}$
	Hot Water	Penicillium sp	0.00 ± 0.00^{i}	0.00±0.00 ^g	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{h}$
	Cold Water	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^i$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{g}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{h}$
	Cold Water	Fusarium sp	$0.18{\pm}0.32^{h}$	$0.53{\pm}0.68^{\rm f}$	$0.62{\pm}0.82^{fg}$
Acalypha winkensiana	Cold Water	Penicillium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^i$	$0.13{\pm}0.23^{\text{fg}}$	0.33±0.33 ^{gh}
	Methanol	Aspergillus niger	1.35±0.00 ^d	2.05±0.00 ^c	2.35±0.00 ^c
	Methanol	<i>Fusarium</i> sp	0.45±0.00 ^g	2.00±0.00 ^c	3.00±0.00 ^b
	Methanol	Penicillium sp	2.75±0.00 ^b	3.18±0.00 ^a	3.48±0.00 ^a

	Hot Water	Aspergillus niger	$0.75{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	1.60±0.00 ^d	$1.80{\pm}0.00^{d}$
	Hot Water	<i>Fusarium</i> sp	1.18±0.00 ^e	2.28±0.00 ^c	2.58±0.00 ^{bc}
	Hot Water	Penicillium sp	2.95±0.05 ^a	3.35±0.05 ^a	3.47±0.15 ^a
	Methanol	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{i}$	0.30±0.00 ^{fg}	1.10±0.00 ^e
	Water	Fusarium sp	2.50±0.00 ^c	2.70±0.00 ^b	$3.00{\pm}0.00^{b}$
Control	Methanol	Penicillium sp	$0.10{\pm}0.00^{h}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{g}$	$0.95{\pm}0.00^{ef}$
	Water	Aspergillus niger	1.35±0.00 ^d	2.05±0.00 ^c	2.35±0.00 ^c
	Methanol	<i>Fusarium</i> sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^i$	0.00 ± 0.00^{g}	$0.30{\pm}0.00^{gh}$
	Water	Penicillium	0.45 ± 0.00^{g}	$2.00\pm0.00^{\circ}$	3 00+0 00 ^b

Table 4: The radial mycelia growth of the isolated fungal pathogens at 500mg/mL concentration of the plant extracts

Means with the same alphabets down the column are not significantly different at P≤0.05 using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) for separation of statistically significant means. Data collected were represented as "Means ± SD" on

The radial mycelia growth of the isolated fungal pathogens at 750mg/mL concentration of the plant extracts (Table 5). At day 3, it was observed that cold and hot water extracts of Syzygium aromaticum were effective in the control of all the fungal pathogens investigated for this experiment Fusarium sp. (0.00±0.00), Penicillium sp. (0.00±0.00) and Aspergillus niger (0.00 ± 0.00) respectively when compared to the controls Fusarium sp. (2.50±0.00), Penicillium sp. (0.45 ± 0.00) and Aspergillus niger (1.35 ± 0.00) . It was further observed that cold water extract of Acalypha wilkensiana was also active in the reduction of the radial mycelia growth of all the fungal pathogens (Fusarium sp. (0.00±0.00), Penicillium sp. (0.00 ± 0.00) and Aspergillus niger (0.00 ± 0.00) respectively as compared to the individual control while the hot water extract of the same plant was potent in the reduction of radial mycelia growth of Fusarium sp. (1.68 ± 0.00) and Pencillium sp. (2.63 ± 0.00) respectively as compared to the control.

It was observed at day 5 that the cold water extract of *S. aromaticum*, and cold water extract of *A. wilkensiana* was effective in the control of all the fungal pathogens; *Fusarium* sp. (0.00 ± 0.00) , *Penicillium* sp. (0.00 ± 0.00) and *Aspergillus niger* (0.00 ± 0.00) respectively as compared to the controls i.e. *Fusarium* sp. (2.70 ± 0.00) , *Pencillium* sp. (2.00 ± 0.00) and *A. niger* (2.05 ± 0.00) while hot water extract of *S. aromaticum* was effective in the control of all the fungal pathogens (*Fusarium* sp. (0.00 ± 0.00) , *Penicillium* sp. (0.00 ± 0.00) and *Aspergillus niger* (0.00 ± 0.00) respectively as compared to the individual control. Hot water extract of *A. wilkensiana* was active in the reduction of radial mycelia growth of *Fusarium* sp. only. Similar observation was effective in the reduction of *Fusarium* sp. and *Pencillium* sp. only.

		Radial mycelia growth (cm)					
Plant Extract	Solvent	Pathogens	Day 3	Day 5	Day 7		
	Cold Water	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{f}$	0.00±0.00 ^e	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{f}$		
Syzygium aromaticum		<i>Fusarium</i> sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{e}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$		
		Penicillium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	0.00±0.00 ^e	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$		

	Methanol	Aspergillus niger	0.00 ± 0.00^{f}	0.00±0.00 ^e	0.00 ± 0.00^{f}
		Fusarium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	0.00±0.00 ^e	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$
		Penicillium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	0.00±0.00 ^e	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$
	Hot Water	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	0.00±0.00 ^e	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$
		Fusarium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\text{e}}$	$0.10{\pm}0.17^{\rm f}$
		Penicillium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{e}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$
	Cold Water	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	0.00±0.00 ^e	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$
		Fusarium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	0.00±0.00 ^e	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$
		Penicillium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	0.00±0.00 ^e	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$
	Methanol	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	0.10±0.17 ^e	$0.32{\pm}0.33^{\rm f}$
Acalypha vinkensiana		Fusarium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{e}$	$0.27{\pm}0.25^{\rm f}$
		Penicillium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{e}$	$0.10{\pm}0.17^{\rm f}$
	Hot Water	Aspergillus niger	3.12±0.37 ^a	3.40±0.33 ^a	$3.53{\pm}0.28^{a}$
		Fusarium sp	0.37±0.38 ^e	$1.29{\pm}0.19^d$	$1.68{\pm}0.18^d$
		Penicillium sp	$0.85{\pm}0.45^d$	1.83±0.67 ^c	2.63±0.64 ^c
	Methanol	Aspergillus niger	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	0.30±0.00 ^e	1.10±0.00 ^e
Control	Water	Fusarium sp	$2.50{\pm}0.00^{b}$	$2.70{\pm}0.00^{b}$	3.00 ± 0.00^{b}
	Methanol	Penicillium sp	$0.10{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{e}$	0.95±0.00 ^e
	Water	Aspergillus niger	1.35±0.00 ^c	$2.05{\pm}0.00^{\circ}$	2.35±0.00°
	Methanol	Fusarium sp	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{e}$	0.30±0.00 ^f
	Water	Penicillium sp	0.45±0.00 ^e	2.00±0.00 ^c	3.00±0.00 ^b

Table 5: The radial mycelia growth of the isolated fungalpathogens at 750mg/mL concentration of the plant extracts

Means with the same alphabets down the column are not significantly different at P \leq 0.05 using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) for separation of statistically significant means. Data collected were represented as "Means ± SD" only

The *In-vivo* assessment of the effects of extracts of *Syzygium aromaticum* and *Acalypha wilkensiana* on the radial mycelial growth of the isolated fungal pathogens (Table 6). At 100mg/mL,, methanol, cold and hot water extracts of *S. aromaticum* had significant reduction on radial mycelial growth of A. niger (3.88 ± 0.88 , 2.25 ± 0.00 and 2.85 ± 0.70) as compared to the control (5.13 ± 0.18 , 5.48 ± 0.32). It was also observed that methanol, cold and hot water extracts of *A. wilkensiana* had significant reduction on radial mycelia growth of *A. niger* (2.58 ± 0.11 , 1.48 ± 0.11 and 1.83 ± 0.11) as compared to the control (5.13 ± 0.18 , 5.48 ± 0.32). Also, cold and hot water extract of the same plant also reduced radial mycelial growth of *Pencillium* sp. (1.53 ± 0.04 and 1.25 ± 0.00) as compared to the control (5.75 ± 0.35).

At .250mg/mL, cold and hot water of *S. aromaticum* had significant reduction on *Fusarium* sp. $(2.30 \pm 0.07 \text{ and } 2.25 \pm 0.71)$ and *Pencillium* sp. $(2.20 \pm 0.64 \text{ and } 2.30 \pm 0.28)$ as compared to the individual control $(3.90 \pm 0.78 \text{ and } 5.75 \pm 0.35)$. Methanol, cold and hot water extracts of *A. wilkensiana* at the same concentration significantly reduced *A. niger* (2.65 \pm 0.21, 1.00 \pm 0.14 and 1.75 \pm 0.00), *Fusarium* sp. (1.20 \pm

0.07, 1.50 ± 0.21 and 1.33 ± 0.25) and *Pencillium* sp. (1.65 ± 0.14 , 1.85 ± 0.18 and 1.55 ± 0.28) as compared to the individual control i.e *A. niger*(5.13 ± 0.18 and 5.48 ± 0.32), *Fusarium* sp. (3.88 ± 0.67 and 3.90 ± 0.78) and *Pencillium* sp. (3.25 ± 1.41 and 5.75 ± 0.35),

At 500mg/mL, cold and hot water extracts of *S. aromaticum* significantly reduced *A. niger* (1.75 ± 0.00 and 1.73 ± 0.11) and *Fusarium* sp. (1.88 ± 0.53 and 1.65 ± 0.14) as compared to the individual controls i.e *A. niger* (5.48 ± 0.32) and *Fusarium* sp. (3.90 ± 0.78). Methanol, cold and hot water extracts of *A. wilkensiana* had significant reduction on radial mycelia growth of *A. niger* (1.98 ± 0.18 , 0.65 ± 0.00 and 1.65 ± 0.18), *Fusarium* sp. (0.88 ± 0.11 , 0.95 ± 0.42 and 1.28 ± 0.04 and *Penicillium* sp. (1.13 ± 0.18 , 2.78 ± 0.66 and 1.50 ± 0.00) as compared to the individual controls i.e *A. niger* (5.13 ± 0.18 and 5.48 ± 0.32), *Fusarium* sp. (3.25 ± 1.41 and 5.75 ± 0.35 in the same concentration

At 750mg/mL. It was observed that methanol, cold and hot water extracts of *S. aromaticum* was effective in the reduction of *A. niger* $(1.60 \pm 0.14, 1.53 \pm 0.18 \text{ and } 2.20 \pm$ 0.35), *Fusarium* sp. $(2.53 \pm 0.53, 1.83 \pm 0.11 \text{ and } 1.43 \pm 0.46)$ and *Pencillium* sp. $(1.70 \pm 0.07, 2.00 \pm 0.71 \text{ and } 2.00 \pm 0.35)$ as compared to the individual controls i.e *A. niger* $(5.13 \pm$ 0.18 and 5.48 ± 0.32), *Fusarium* sp. $3.88 \pm .67$ and $3.90 \pm$ 0.78) and *Pencillium* sp. $(3.25 \pm 1.41 \text{ and } 5.75 \pm .0.35)$ while methanol, hot and cold water extract of *A. wilkensiana* were significantly active on *A. niger* $(1.48 \pm 0.25, 0.53 \pm 0.18 \text{ and } 1.65 \pm 0.14)$, *Fusarium* sp. $(1.73 \pm 0.25, 0.85 \pm 0.57 \text{ and } 1.65 \pm 0.71)$ and *Pencillium* sp. $(1.13 \pm 0.04, 2.13 \pm 0.18 \text{ and } 1.49 \pm 0.86)$ as compared to the individual controls i.e *A. niger* $(5.13 \pm 0.18 \text{ and } 5.48 \pm 0.32)$, *Fusarium* sp. $3.88 \pm .67 \text{ and } 3.90 \pm 0.78)$ and *Pencillium* sp. $(3.25 \pm 1.41 \text{ and } 5.75 \pm .0.35)$

		Radial mycelia growth (cm)				
Plant	Pathogen	Solvent	100mg/mL	250mg/mL	500mg/mL	750mg/mL
		Methanol	3.38±0.88 ^{de}	2.13±0.18 ^{d-i}	3.70±0.99 ^{bc}	1.60±0.14 ^{f-i}
	A.niger	Cold	2.25±0.00 ^{d-i}	1.48±0.32 ^{f-i}	1.75±0.00 ^{g-k}	1.53±0.18 ^{f-i}
	-	Hot	2.85±0.07 ^{d-h}	2.50±0.35 ^{d-g}	1.73±0.11 ^{g-k}	2.25±0.35 ^{c-g}
C	E	Methanol	3.63±1.24 ^{cd}	3.95±0.42 ^{bc}	3.00±0.00 ^{b-f}	2.53±0.53 ^{c-f}
Syzygium	rusarium	Cold	2.45±0.07 ^{d-i}	2.30±0.07 ^{d-h}	1.88±0.53 ^{g-j}	$1.83 \pm 0.11^{\text{fgh}}$
aromaticum	sp	Hot	2.38±0.25 ^{d-i}	2.25±0.71 ^{d-i}	$1.65 \pm 0.14^{h-k}$	1.43±0.46 ^{f-i}
	Donoillium	Methanol	5.25±1.41 ^{ab}	5.00 ± 1.41^{ab}	1.98±0.32 ^{e-j}	1.70±0.07 ^{f-i}
	Pencillium	Cold	2.70±0.28 ^{d-h}	2.20±0.64 ^{d-i}	1.95±0.28 ^{f-j}	2.00±0.71 ^{e-h}
	sp	Hot	1.65±0.21 ^{f-i}	2.30±0.28 ^{d-h}	2.05±0.28 ^{e-i}	2.00±0.35 ^{e-h}
	A.niger	Methanol	2.58±0.11 ^{d-h}	2.65±0.21 ^{def}	1.98±0.18 ^{e-j}	1.48±0.25 ^{f-i}
		Cold	1.48±0.11 ^{g-i}	1.00 ± 0.14^{i}	0.65 ± 0.00^{k}	0.53±0.18 ⁱ
		Hot	1.83±0.11 ^{e-i}	1.75±0.00 ^{f-i}	1.63±0.18 ^{h-k}	1.65±0.14 ^{f-i}
A	E	Methanol	1.85±0.28 ^{e-i}	1.20 ± 0.07^{hi}	0.88 ± 0.11^{jk}	1.73±0.25 ^{fgh}
Acatypna	rusarium	Cold	2.88±0.88 ^{d-h}	1.50±0.21 ^{f-i}	0.95±0.42 ^{ijk}	0.85 ± 0.57^{hi}
wiikensiana	sp	Hot	0.80 ± 0.07^{i}	1.33±0.25 ^{ghi}	1.28 ± 0.04^{ijk}	1.65±0.71 ^{f-i}
	Pencillium sp	Methanol	3.00±1.98 ^{d-g}	1.65±0.14 ^{f-i}	1.13±0.18 ^{ijk}	1.13±0.04 ^{ghi}
		Cold	1.53±0.04 ^{ghi}	1.88±0.18 ^{e-i}	2.78±0.60 ^{c-g}	2.13±0.18 ^{d-g}
		Hot	1.25 ± 0.00^{hi}	1.15 ± 0.28^{hi}	$1.50 \pm 0.00^{h-k}$	$1.49{\pm}0.86^{f \cdot i}$
		None	3.05±0.42 ^{d-g}	$3.05{\pm}0.42^{cde}$	3.05±0.42 ^{b-e}	3.05±0.42 ^{b-e}
	A.niger	Water	5.48±0.32 ^a	5.48±0.32 ^a	5.48±0.32 ^a	5.48±0.32 ^a
	-	Methanol	5.13±0.18 ^{abc}	5.13±0.18 ^a	5.13±0.18 ^a	5.13±0.18 ^a
	Euganium	None	3.35±0.14 ^{de}	3.35±0.14 ^{cd}	3.35±0.14 ^{bcd}	3.35±0.14 ^{bc}
Control	rusarium	Water	3.90±0.78 ^{bcd}	3.90±0.78 ^{bc}	3.90±0.78 ^b	3.90±0.78 ^b
	sp	Methanol	3.88±0.67 ^{bcd}	3.88±0.67 ^{bc}	3.88±0.67 ^b	3.88±0.67 ^b
	Donoillium	None	2.53±0.39 ^{d-h}	2.53±0.39 ^{d-g}	2.53±0.39 ^{d-h}	2.53±0.39 ^{c-f}
	renclillum	Water	5.75±0.35 ^a	5.75±0.35 ^a	5.75±0.35 ^a	5.75±0.35 ^a
	sp	Methanol	3.25±1.41 ^{def}	3.25±1.41 ^{cd}	3.25±1.41 ^{bcd}	3.25±1.41 ^{bcd}

Table 6: The radial mycelia growth of the isolated fungal pathogens at 100mg/mL, 250mg/mL, 500mg/mL and 750mg/mL concentrations of the plant extracts.

Means with the same alphabets down the column are not significantly different at P \leq 0.05 using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) for separation of statistically significant means. Data collected were represented as "Means ± SD" only

IV. DISCUSSION

In this study, the isolated pathogenic fungi from diseased pineapple were *Aspergillus niger*, *Pencillium* sp. and *Fusarium* sp. suggesting that these fungi isolates were responsible for fruitlet core rot disease of pineapple. Similar organisms have been isolated from pineapple, pawpaw, banana, orange and carrots as reported by Ewekeye *et al.* (2014); Abdullah *et al.* (2006) and Jacobs *et al.* (2010). These pathogens may have infected the fruit from the field with latent symptoms but cause severe damage under favourable environmental conditions in storage (Jay, 2003).

Pathogenicity test results proved that Aspergillus niger, Pencillium sp. and Fusarium sp. induced the characteristic symptoms of the original disease when re-inoculated on healthy pineapple fruits of the same species. It was found that Fusarium sp was the most virulent followed by Pencillium sp. while Aspergillus niger was the least virulent, which is in agreement with the work of Ghanbarzadeha et al. (2013) who reported that of all the fungal causal agents of basal rot of onion bulb, 'Fusarium oxysporum and Fusarium proliferatum' were the most virulent strains. Brylinska and Siiwka (2016) also reported similar results where Fusarium saimbucinum was the most virulent strain of all the fungi species that were the causal agents of dry rot of potato. Lim (1985) also reported that both Fusarium moniliforme and Penicillum funiculosum were the causal agents of fruitlet core rot of pineapple but concluded that F. moniliforme was significantly less pathogenic than P. funiculosum contrary to what was obtained in this research.

In the *in-vitro* experiments, it was found that both Syzygium aromaticum and Acalypha wilkensiana at 100mg/mL, 250mg/mL, 500mg/mL and 750mg/mL had significant effects on the radial growth of the pathogens, however, hot and cold water extracts of Syzygium aromaticum and Acalypha wilkensiana had more inhibitory action against the pathogens as compared to methanolic extracts. The antimicrobial activity of plant extracts have been linked by many researchers to be due to the presence of phytochemical in them (Sofowora, 1993; Cowan, 1999). The effectiveness of the plant materials may be due to the solubility of the active compounds in water. Aqueous extracts of Syzygium aromaticum have been shown to have very high phenolic content and strong antioxidant properties by many researches conducted by different researchers (Duodonne et al., 2009; Kim et al. 2011 and Gülçin 2011). The highest activity of aqueous extracts in this study may be due to the resultant effect of eugenol and other minor compounds that also have antifungal activity. This indicated that aqueous extract contain compound that work synergistically with each other. This study was in agreement with the work of Avasthi et al. (2010) who reported that Syzygium aromaticum showed 100% inhibition on the mycelia growth of Aspergillus niger out of eight plant materials used. Similarly, it was in agreement with the study of Silva et al. (2014) who reported that aqueous extracts from Syzygium aromaticum are effective against a myriad of coffee fungal pathogens including those in the genus Fusarium. Aqueous extracts of the leaves of A. wilkesiana have also been confirmed to possess antimicrobial

activities (Samuel and Afolabi, 2012; Cimanga *et al.*, 2014) especially against *Aspergillus* sp.

In-vivo experiments were carried out with water and methanol extracts of S. aromaticum and A.wilkensiana at concentrations 10 folds higher than the *in-vitro* screening. The difference in concentration between the former and the latter is justified by the fact that there was little or no control over the environmental factors in the fruit such as diffusion of the extracts and the possibility that some of the tissues could even absorb the extracts. Hence the need to apply a higher concentration to be able to counter balance the factors mentioned above (Odebode and Che, 2001). In this study, the activity of water extracts of both medicinal plants had significant inhibitory action on the fungal pathogens at all concentrations. However, it could be suggested that the ability of A. wilkensiana to inhibit better in in-vivo screening maybe due to the increase in the volume of the extract at all concentrations.

In conclusion, the results of the present investigation indicates that both medicinal plant are potent in the inhibition of the metabolic activities of fungal pathogens that lead to spoilage and thus, they could be exploited as natural fungitoxicant to control the growth of pathogenic fungi and thus a better replacement of harmful synthetic chemicals (fungicides) which are commonly used for the control of rot thereby preserving the fruits nationwide.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, Q., Mahmoud, A. and Al-harethi, A. 2006. Isolation and Identification of Fungal Post-harvest Rot of Some Fruits in Yemen. PSM Microbiology 1.1: 36-44.
- [2] All Africa, 2011. Horticulture Can Provide Three Million Jobs in Few Years from http://allafrica.com/stories/ 201111180784.
- [3] Avasthi, S., Gautam, A. K. and Bhadauria, R. 2010. Antifungal activity of plant products against Aspergillus niger: A potential application in the control of a spoilage fungus. An International Journal, 2.1: 53-55.
- [4] Bartholomew, D. P., Paul, R. E. and Rorbach, K.G. 2003. The pineapple 'Botany, Production and Uses', University ofHawaii Manoa Honolulu, USA.
- [5] Baruwa, O.I. 2013. Profitability and constraints of pineappleproduction in Osun State, Negeria. Journal of Horticultural Research 21.2:59-64.
- [6] Brylinska, M.and Sliwka, J. 2016. Diversity of Fusarium spp. associated with dry rot of potato
- [7] Chukwuka, K.S., Okonko, I. O. and Adekunle, A.A. 2010. Microbial ecology of organisms causing pawpaw (Carica Papaya L.) Fruit decay in Oyo State, Nigeria. American-Eurasian J.Toxicol. Sci 2.1: 43-50.
- [8] Cimanga, K. R., Kikweta, M. C., Tshodi E, M., Nsaka, S., Mbamu, M, B., Manienga, K., Bumoyi, M. and Kambu, K. O. 2014. Antibacterial and antifungal screening of extracts from six medicinal plants collected in Kinshasa-Democratic Republic of Congo against clinical isolate pathogens. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy 6.3: 24-32.

- [9] Cowan, M. M. 1999. Plant products as antimicrobial agents, Clinical Microbiological Reviews 12: 564-582.
- [10] Duodonne, S., Vitrae, X., Coutiere, P., Woillez, M. and Merillon, J. M. 2009. Comparative study of antioxidant properties and total phenolic contentof 30 plant extracts of industrial interest using DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, SOD and ORAC assays. J Agric Food Chem 57:1768–1774.
- [11]Elss, S., Preston, C., Hertzig, C., Heckel, F., Richling, E. and Schreier, P. 2005. Aroma profiles of pineapple fruit (Ananas co mosus [L.] merr.) and pineapple products. LWT - Food Science and Technology 38.3: 263-274.
- [12] Ewekeye, T. S., Oke, O. A., Quadri, A. I., Isikalu, A. O., Umenwaniri, M. O. and Durosinmi, M. L. 2014. Studies on Post Harvest Deterioration of Some Fruits and Vegetables in Selected Markets in Lagos State, Nigeria. American Journal of Research Communication 1.10: 209-223.
- [13]FAO. 2004. Food and Agriculture Organization of UnitedNations.
- [14] FAO. 2005. Food and Agriculture Organization of UnitedNations.
- [15] Ghanbarzadeha, B., Goltapeha, E. M.and Safaiea, N. 2013. Identification of Fusarium speciescausing basal rot of onion in EastAzarbaijan province, Iran andevaluation of their virulence on onionbulbs and seedlings. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection47.9: 1050–1062.
- [16] Gülçin, İ. 2011. Antioxidant activity of eugenol: a structure activity relationshipstudy. J Med Food 14:975– 985.
- [17] Jacobs, A., Van Wyk, P. S., Marasas, W. F. O., Wingfield, B. D., Wingfield, M. J. and Coutinho, T. A. 2010. .Fusarium annanatum sp. nov.in theGibberella fujikuroi species complex from pineappleswith fruit rot in South Africa. Fungal Biology 114: 515-527.

- [18] Jay, J. M. 2003. Microbial Spoilage of Food. Modern Food Microbiology (4th ed.). Chapman and Hall Incorporated. New York. pp. 187-195.
- [19] Kim, I. S., Yang, M. R., Lee, O. H. and Kang, S. N. 2011. Antioxidant activity of hotwater extracts from various spices. Int J Mol Sci 12:4120–413.
- [20] Lim, W. H. 1985. Pathogenicity of Penicillium funiculosam strains frompineapple fruit blemishes. MARDI Res. Bull 13.2: 166-171.
- [21] Odebode, A.C. and Che, A. N. 2001. Control of fungal rot of Citrus sinesis with some medicinal plant extracts in South-Western Nigeria. Phytopath. Pflanz 34: 233-233.
- [22] Odebode, A.C., Joseph, C.C., Jonker, S. A. and Wachira, S. W. 2006. Antifungal activities of consitutuents from Uvaria schefferi and Abotrys brachpetalas. Journal of Agricultural Science 51.1: 79-86.
- [23] Okigbo, R. N. and Ogbonnaya, U. O. 2006. Antifungal effects of two tropical plant leaf extracts Ocimum gratissimum and Aframomum melegueta on postharvest yam (Dioscorea spp.) rot.African Journal of Biotechnology 5.9:727 – 731.
- [24] Salami, O. O. and Afolabi, Q. O. 2012. Antimicrobial activities of hot water extract and phytochemical screening of acalypha wilkesiana (red acalypha) on some selected organisms causing infection.International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences 4.10: 14-18.
- [25] Silva, J.L., Souza, P. E., Monteiro, F. P., Freitas, M. L. O., Silva Júnior, M. B. and Belan, L. L. 2014. Antifungal activity using medicinal plant extracts against pathogens of coffee tree. Rev. Bras. Pl. Med., Campinas 16.3: 539-544.
- [26] Sofowora, E. A. 1993. Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicinal in Africa. Spectrum. 238.