International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies (IJIRAS)
Volume 4 Issue 4, April 2017

ISSN: 2394-4404

Anti-Dermatophytic Activity Of Catharanthus Roseus L. (Leaves)

Nisar Ahmed Bhat

A. Bhardwaj

Department of Chemistry, Govt. Madhav Science PG College Ujjain (M.P.), India

B. K. Tiwari

Department of Chemistry, B.S. Govt. P.G. College, Joara, (M.P.), India

Abstract: ***In recent years, there has been increasing interest worldwide in the use of alternative/herbal medicine for the prevention and treatment of fungal diseases. Currently, however, quality-related problems (lack of consistency, safety, and efficacy) seem to be overshadowing the potential genuine benefits of various herbal products for the treatment of fungal diseases. Extracts obtained from many plants have recently gained a great popularity and scientific interest. Since the middle ages, natural plant preparations have been widely used for treatment of fungal diseases. Treatment of the fungal pathogen is becoming increasingly difficult due to antifungal drug resistance, especially with fluconazole, which is a commonly used azole. This paper presents the in vitro activity of medicinal plant extracts and their major compounds against dermatophytes and also a compilation of updated information on medicinal plant extracts with antifungal and antibacterial properties.***

Keywords: Antidermatophytic activity, Cathatanthus Roseus L., Methanolic extracts.

I. INTRODUCTION

Catharanthus roseus (L.) is an important medicinal plant of the family Apocynaceae which contains a virtual cornucopia of useful alkaloids, used in diabetes, blood pressure, asthma, constipation, and cancer and menstrual problem. There are about two common cultivars of C. roseus which is named on the basis of their flower colour that is the pink flowered "Rosea" and the white flowers "Alba". Catharanthus roseus which is pridely known as the Madagascar periwinkle is found to be a species of Catharanthus native and also endemic to Madagascar. The synonyms of the plant name include Vinca rosea, Ammocallis rosea and Lochnera rosea, other English names occasionally used for the plant include Cape Periwinkle, Rose Periwinkle, Rosy Periwinkle and "Old Maid".

The plant has been considered due to its wide range of pharmacological activity like anti-inflammatory, antimalarial, antimitotic, antihypertensive, antifertility, antihypertensive, antihypercholestrolemic, antimutagenic, antidiuretic, antifungal, antispasmodic, antiviral, cardio tonic, CNS depressant, antitumour, cytotoxic, antispermatogenic, anticancer activities. The present study involves leaves of Cathranthus roseus L. for evaluating antidermatophytic activity.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

PLANT COLLECTION AND IDENTIFICATION

The leaves of *Catharanthus roseus* were collected from (Malwa Region) of M.P. India. A voucher specimen has been deposited at the herbarious of Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P.). The taxonomic identification of the plant material was obtained from the authorities of the institute of environment management and plant sciences, Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P.) India.

EXTRACTION OF PLANT MATERIAL BY SOXHLET APPARATUS

The plant materials after drying were ground in grinding machine in the laboratory then 25kg of shade dried powder

was weighed and extracted with 98% methanol in Soxhelt extractor for 70hrs. The methanol extracts of were concentrated under reduced pressure and preserved in refrigerator in airtight bottle for further use.

PREPARATION OF EXTRACT DILUTION SERIES

EXTRACT STOCK SOLUTION

Dissolved 400gm of crude in 10ml DMSO with glass beads, vortex to homogenize and a two-fold serial dilution was prepared. As a precaution not to miss trace amounts of antifungals for preliminary screening, a relatively high conc. Of 0.62 to 40mg/ml of each extract was prepared for bioassays.

TEST MICROORGANISMS

Five fungal cultures *Trichophyton rubrum, Microsporum gypseums, Trichophyton tonsurans, Aspergillus flavus, Candida albicans,* and five bacterial cultures *Bacillus subtilis, Escherchia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Psudomonas sps., andBrevibacillus sps*. were used in the present study. All the tested strains were obtained from department of microbiology R.D.Gardi Medical Coolege Ujjain M.P. Bacterial cultures were grown in nutrient broth (Hemedia, M002) at 37^0C and maintained on nutrient agar slants at 4^0C, fungal cultures were grown in potato dextrose agar slants at 4^0C.

MEDIA FOR INOCULATION

SABOURAUD'S DEXTROSE AGAR MEDIUM (SDA)

Peptone	-	10.0g.
Dextrose	-	40.0g.
Agar	-	20.0
Cycloheximide	-	0.5g.
Chloramphenicol	-	1 x 250mg Capsule.
Distilled water	-	1000ml.
pH	-	5.6

SABOURAUD'S DEXTROSE MEDIUM (SDB)

Peptone	-	10.0g.
Dextrose	-	40.0g.
Cycloheximide	-	0.5g.
Chloramphenicol	-	1 x 250mg Capsule.
Distilled water	-	1000ml.
pH	-	5.6

POTATO DEXTROSE AGAR (PDA)

Peeled potato	-	250g
Glucose	-	20.0g
Agar	-	20.0g
Distilled water	-	1000ml
pH	-	6.0- 6.5

NUTRIENT BROTH

Peptone	-	10.0g
Beef extract	-	0.03g
Sodium chloride	-	0.05g
Distilled water	-	1000ml
pH	-	5.0

FUNGAL INOCULUM PREPARATION

The dermatophytes were grown on SDA for a week and the spores were collected in by flooding with 0.85% saline (Ghannom et al, 2004). After settling the large particles in the test tube, the supernatant was taken and counted the number of conidia using hemocytometer. A tn fold dilution was made 9ml of normal saline solution was taken in five test tubes. In first test tube, 1ml of spore suspension was poured into test tube under aseptic conditions. The solution of first test tube was homogenized and 1ml of this solution was transferred to second test tube containing 9ml of normal saline solution. This process was repeated upto5^{th} test tube. In each case sterilized pipette was used. From every test tube (for each dilution), 0.1ml suspension was transferred to sterilized SDA petri plates. Triplicates of each dilution were maintained. The SDA seeded petri plates were counted in hemocytometer. The average of 3 petri plates was taken in each case. The test inoculums were adjusted between 1.5 x 10^5 spores/ml.

ANTIDERMATOPHYTIC ACTIVITY

DETERMIBATION OF MIC BY AGAR WELLDIFFUSION METHOD (MEGALDI S ET AL, 2004)

The assay was performed by agar well diffusion method. About 16 to 20ml of potato dextrose agar medium was poured in the sterilized petri dishes and allowed to solidify. Fungal lawn was prepared using 5 days old culture strain[16]. The fungal strains were suspended in a saline solution (0.85% NaCl) and adjusted to a turbidity of 0.5 Mac Farland Standards (108 CFU/ml). 1ml of fungal strain was spread over the medium using sterilized glass spreader. Using flamed sterile borer, wells of 4mm diameter were punctured in the culture medium. Required concentration of serially diluted extracts (0.6, 1.2, 2.5, 10, 20 and 40mg/ml) were added to the wells. The plates thus prepared were left for diffusion of extracts into media for 1hr in the refrigerator and then incubation for 48hr, the plates were observed for zones of inhibitory. The diameter zone of inhibitory was measured and expressed in millimeters. Dimethyl Formamide (DMSO) was used as a negative control. Ketoconazole used as positive control (500µg/ml). the experiments were conducted in triplicates. The same method was followed for testing antibacterial activity using nutrient agar medium incubated at 37^0C for 18hr.

DETERMINATION OF THE MIC BY BROTH DILUTION ASSAY (NCCLS 1997)

The minimum inhibitory concentration of the plant extract was determined using broth dilution assay. The medium containing different concentrations of plant extracts viz*;* 100mg -1µg per ml prepared by serial dilution (10^{-1} dilution). After inoculation of culture, the tubes were incubated for 72hrs at 28^0C. The MIC of each sample was determined by measuring the optical density in the spectrophotometer (Electronics India) at 520nm and compared the result with those of the non-inoculated broth used as blank. Control was

prepared using media and inoculum without plant extract. The experiment was conducted according to NCCLS Standards (now called as CLSI) (Ogu-GI-2011 and Shinki S A 2011).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Various primary and secondary metabolites having therapeutical importance were estimated, isolated and further some of these were extracted from leaves of *catharanthus Roseus L.* using biochemical and other hyphenated analytical chromatographic and spectrophotometric methods. Further the results obtained are discussed in the light of literature available hitherto.

ANTIDERMATOPHYTIC ACTIVITY AND MINIMUM INHIBITORY CONCENTRATIONS

In the present investigation five fungal species and six bacterial species were tested to determine the antifungal and antibacterial activity of 98% of methanolic extract of *catharanthus Roseus L.(*leaves) the values given in tables-1.3and 1.4 (plate 1.1) are the mean of three observations.

The 98% methanol extract showed maximum of (10.33±1.52mm) inhibition in *Trichophyton rubrum* at 40mg/ml followed by *(*09.66±1.15), *Microsporum gypseum*, *Candida albicans*, *Aspergillus flavus*, and *Trichophyton tonsurans* shows least inhibition (0.8.33±1.52mm), (08.66±1.15mm),.. The minimum inhibitory concentrations of test fungi were determined and the values are givenin figure 1.2. The MIC of *M. gypseum* recorded as (1.25 mg/ml) conc. Whereas *T.rubrum, C. albicans, A. flavus, and T. tonsurans* 2.5 mg/ml conc.

The 98% methanol leaf stalk extract at 40mg/ml conc. showed maximum of (17.66+0.57mm) inhibition against *Staphylococcus aureus, Psudomonas aeruginosa* followed by *Bacillus subtilis (*15.33±1.52mm*), Brevbacillus brevis* with (13.66±1.15mm), *Escherichia coli* (12.66±0.57mm) and the least inhibition zone shows by *Serratia marcescens* (09.66±1.15mm). The minimum inhibitory concentrations of test bacteria were determined and the values are given in figure 2.2. The MIC of, *S.marcescens, B. subtilis, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa* were determined as 0.6mg/ml conc. Whereas *E.coli, B. brevis* were recorded at 1.25mg/ml conc.

Fungal strains	Different conc. (mg/ml) of crude and inhibition zone in mm								
	40	20	10	5	2.5	1.25	0.62	Control (DMSO)	Standard (Ketoconazole)
T. rubrum	10.33±1.52	08.33±1.52	07.66±0.57	07.66±1.15	06.66±1.15	-	-	-	14.33±1.52
M. gypseum	09.66±1.15	07.66±0.57	06.33±1.52	05.00±0.00	05.66±1.15	05.66±1.15	-	-	18.33±1.52
C.albicans	08.66±0.57	07.33±1.52	06.66±0.57	0.06±0.00	05.33±1.52	-	-	-	22.66±1.15
T. tonsurans	08.66±1.15	06.33±1.52	06.66±1.15	05.66±0.57	05.66±0.57	-	-	-	17.66±0.57
A.flavus	08.33±1.52	07.00±0.00	06.00±1.00	05.00±0.00	04.00±0.00	-	-		16.66±1.15

T. rubrum: Trichophyton rubrum, M. gypseum: Microsporum gypseums, T. tonsurans:Trichophyton tonsurans, A.flavus: Aspergillus flavus, C.albicans: Candida albicans, Negative control: DMSO, N,N-Dimethyl Formamide, Standard Ketoconazole (Positive control).

Table 1.3: Antifungal activity of 98% methanolic leaf extract of Catharanthus Roseus L. (Well diffusion technique)

Bacterial Strains	Different conc. (mg/ml) of crude and inhibition zone in mm								
	40	20	10	5	2.5	1.25	0.62	Control (DMSO)	Standard (Ketoconazole)
E. coli	12.66±0.57	09.33±1.52	08.66±0.57	07.00±0.00	06.33±1.52	06.66±0.57	-	-	22.33±1.52
B.subtilis	15.33±1.52	11.66±1.15	08.66±1.15	07.66±1.15	06.00±0.00	05.33±1.52	05.66±0.57	-	25.66±1.15
S.marcescens	09.66±1.15	07.00±0.00	07.33±1.52	06.00±0.00	06.00±0.57	05.66±0.57	05.33±1.52	-	24.33±1.52
S. aureus	17.66±0.57	16.66±0.57	14.00±0.00	11.33±1.52	08.00±0.00	06.00±0.00	05.66±0.57	-	23.66±0.57
P.aeruginosa	17.66±0.57	15.00±0.00	13.33±1.52	12.33±1.52	10.33±1.52	07.66±1.15	-	-	28.33±1.52
B.brevis	13.66 ± 1.15	11.00±0.00	10.33±1.52	08.66±0.57	07.00±0.00	06.66±1.15	-	-	24.33 ±1.52

E. coli: Escherichia coli, B.subtilis: Bacillus subtilis, S.marcescens: Serratia marcescens, S.aureus:Staphylococcus aureus, P.aeruginosa: Psudomonas aeruginosa, B.brevis: Brevbacillus brevis Negative control: DMSO, N,N-Dimethyl Formamide, Standard Ketoconazole (Positive control)

Table 1.4: Antibacterial activity of 98% methanolic leaf extract of Catharanthus Roseus L.. (Well diffusiontechnique)



A. Trichophyton rubrum



B. Microsporum gypseum



C. Trichophyton tonsurans



D. Candida albicans



E. E. Coli



F. B. Subtilis



G. Staphylococcus aureus



H. Psudomonas aeruginosa

Plate 1.1: Antidermatophytic activity of 98% methanolic leaf stalk extract of Cathranthus Roseus L. (Well diffusion technique)

A: Trichophyton rubrum, B: Microsporum gypseum, C: Trichophyton tonsurans, D. Candida albicans, E: E.Coli, F: B. Subtilis, G: Staphylococcus aureus, H: Psudomonas aeruginosa, 1=40mg/ml, 2=20mg/ml,3=10mg/ml, 4=5mg/ml, 6=1.25mg/ml 7=0.62mg/ml**,** C=Negative control: DMF N, N-Dimethyl Formamide, 8=Standard: ketoconazole(Positive control against Fungi), streptomycin sulphate (Positive control against bacteria).



Figure 2.2: Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations of 98% methanolic leaf extract of Catharanthus Roseus L. against test strains

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are highly grateful to Department of microbiology, R. D. Gardi, Medical College, Ujjain, M.P. for providing the lab facility and to Dr. Sharma for their kind help this research. Authors are also thankful Department of Chemistry for providing laboratory facility at Govt. Mahdav Sc. P. G. College Ujjain, M. P., India.

REFERENCES

[1] Verpoorte R., Contin A., Memelink, Biotechnology for the production of plant secomdary metabolites, Phytochemical Review, 1: 13-25 (2002)

[2] Noble RL., The discovery of the vinca alkaloids Chemotherapeutic agent against cancer, Biochemistry and Cell Biology, 689: 1344-1351 (1990)

[3] Jayomthi M., Sow balal N., Raja lakshmi G., Siva Kumar V., Study of anti hyperglycemic effect of *Catharanthus roseus.* In alloxan induced diabetic rats, *Int. J. Pharmacy and Phar. Sci*.,4: 1925 (2009)

[4] Kyakulaga A., Hassan, Alinda T., *In vivo* antidirraheal activity of the ethanolic leaf extract of *Catharanthus roseus* L.(Apocynaceae) in wistar rats, *African J. Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 15:1797-1800 (2011)

[5] Prajakta J., Patil, Jai S. Ghosh, Antimicrobial activity of *Catharanthus roseus- A detailed study, British J. Pharmacology and Toxicology,* 1(1): 40-44 (2010)

[6] Alba Bhutkar MA., Bhise SB., Comparative study on antioxidant properties of *Catharanthus roseus and Catharanthus alba, Int. J. Pharmaceutical Tech.* 3(3): 1551-1556 (2011)

[7] Pfaller MA, Pappas PG, Wingard JR. Invasive fungal pathogens: current epidemiological trends. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2006;43:3-14.

[8] Arif T, Mandal TK, Dabur R. Natural products: antifungal agents derived from plants. In: Tiwari VK, ed. *Opportunity, Challenge and Scope of Natural Products in*

Medicinal Chemistry. India: Research Signpost; 2011:283-311.
[9] Gupta AK, Cooper EA. Update in antifungal therapy of dermatophytosis. *Mycopathologia*. 2008; 166:353-367.
[10] Ríos JL, Recio MC. Medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2005; 100:80-84.
[11] Inouye S, Uchida K, Abe S. Vapor activity of 72 essential oils against a *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*. *The Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*. 2006;12:210-216.
[12] Tullio V, Nostro A, Mandras N, Dugo P, Banche G, Cannatelli M.A. Cuffini AM, Alonzo V, Carlone NA. Antifungal activityof essential oils against filamentous fungi determined by broth microdilution and vapour contact methods. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2007;102:1544-1550.
[13] Jantan IB, Moharam BAK, Santhanam J, Jamal JA. Correlation between chemical composition and antifungal activity of the essential oils of eight *Cinnamomum* species. *Pharmaceutical Biology*. 2008;46:406-412.
[14] Zuzarte M, Gonçalves MJ, Cavaleiro C, Dinis AM, Canhoto J, Salgueiro L. Chemical composition and antifungal activity of the essential oils of *Lavandula pedunculata* (Miller) Cav. *Chemistry & Biodiversity*. 2009;6:1283-1292.
[15] Vale-Silva LA, Gonçalves MJ, Cavaleiro C, Salgueiro L, Pinto E. Antifungal activity of the essential oils of *Thymus* x *viciosoi* against *Candida*, *Cryptococcus*, *Aspergillus* and Dermatophyre species. *Planta Medica*. 2010;76:1-7.
[16] Lima B, López S, Luna L, Agüero MB, Aragón L, Tapia A, Zacchino S, López ML, Zygadlo J, Feresin GE. Essential oils ofmedicinal plants from the Central Andes of Argentina: chemical composition, and antifungal, antibacterial, and insect-repellent activities. *Chemistry & Biodiversity*. 2011;8:924-936.
[17] Dahdah MJ, Sher RK. Dermatophytes. *Current Fungal Infection Reports*. 2008;2:81-86.
[18] Hainer BL. Dermatophyte infections. *Pratical Therapeutics*. 2003;67:101-108.
[19] Weitzman I, Summerbell RC. The dermatophytes. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 1995;8:240-259.
[20] Romani L. Immunity to fungi. In: Kavanagh K. ed. *New Insights in Medicinal Mycology*. Dordrecht: Springer; 2007:1-18
[21] Mukherjee PK, Leidich SD, Isham N, Leitner I, Ruder NS, Ghannoum MA. Clinical *Trichophyton rubrum* strain exhibiting primary resistance to terbinafine. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*.2003;47:82-86
[22] Monod M. Secreted proteases from dermatophytes. *Mycopathologia*. 2008;285-294.
[23] Robert R, Pihet M. Conventional methods for the diagnosis of dermatophytosis. *Mycopathologia*. 2008;166:295-306.
[24] Ziemer M, Seyfarth F, Elsner P, Hipler UC. Atypical manifestations of *Tinea corporis*. Mycoses. 2007;50:31-35.
[25] Degreef H. Clinical forms of dermatophytosis (Ringworm infection). *Mycopathologia*. 2008;166:257-265.
[26] Chermette R, Ferreiro L, Guillot J. Dermatophytosis in animals. *Mycopathologia*. 2008;166:385-405.
[27] Loo DS. Systemic antifungal agents: an update of established and new therapies. *Advanced Dermatology*. 2006;22:101-124.