Organisational Characteristics Prevalent In BPO Industry

Dr. Roji George

Dean, Saintgits Eng College

Mrs. P. Priya

Research Scholar, Bharathiar University

Abstract: The paper examines the various organizational characteristics prevailing in BPO industry in Chennai. A sample of 440 respondents has been taken for the study. Descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, Factor analysis and ANOVA have been applied to analyse the data. The study has revealed that an oraganisation can create a conducive working atmosphere by recognizing the right talent of employees, grooming them, and by providing additional perks. Organizational characteristics are imperative for employee retention. Effective organisation communicates the overall strategy so that every person in the organisation understands his role. This enables the employees to have an acquaintance towards the organisation. In turn, the organisation retains the loyal employees and produce maximum results.

Keywords: Organisational characteristics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Employees are the major assets of any organization. The active role they play towards a company's success cannot be underestimated. As a result, equipping these unique assets through effective training becomes more imperative in order to maximize the job performance. In doing so, managers need to pay special attention to all the core functions of human resource management as this plays an important role in different organizational, social and economically related areas among others that are influential to the attainment of the organizational goals and thus organizations' successful continuation in the market.

II. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

Employee retention is a volunteer move by organizations to create an environment which engages employees over a period of time. Organizational characteristics have a great impact on attrition rate as any dissatisfying factors experienced by employees have a direct impact on attrition and hence, work atmosphere plays a vital role in any organisation. Hence this study focuses on organizational characteristics prevailing in an organisation.

III. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

Due to the severe competition prevailing in BPO industry, organizations have to concentrate more on productivity. Most of the employees are not completely trained to perform their given task. As a result of this, the employee over a period of time fails to perform, gets de-motivated and ultimately moves out of the organisation. This study focuses on the organizational characteristics prevailing in BPO industry which make the employees to perform better and the organisation can retain those employees for a long period.

IV. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The study has focused on the following objective:

✓ To analyze the organizational characteristics prevailing in BPO Industry.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. PERIOD AND AREA OF THE STUDY

The period considered for the study has been 2014-2015. The study is pertaining to Chennai City only.

B. SAMPLE SIZE AND SAMPLING METHOD

For the purpose of determination of sample size, Taro Yamani method has been employed and random sampling method has been adopted for the selection of respondents in this study.

The Sample size arrived at is 440 and the respondents are randomly selected from the popular BPO firms in Chennai city.

C. DATA AND DATA SOURCES

The study is mainly based on primary data. A pilot study has been conducted and the primary data have been collected from 100 respondents. The results of the pilot study have necessitated certain changes for the final structuring of the Questionnaire meant for 440 respondents chosen, from the top BPOs in Chennai city such as Cognizant, Tata Consultancy Services, Accenture PLC and Hindustan Computer technologies limited. Necessary secondary data have been collected from the journals, magazines and websites.

D. STATISTICAL TOOLS USED

- ✓ Descriptive Statistics: Percentage analysis, Mean and Standard Deviation
- ✓ Statistical tests: t-Test, Factor analysis and ANOVA

VI. HYPOTHESIS

For the purpose of study the following null hypothesis has been framed.

Ho Organisational characteristics remain the same for the employees having different personal profile and job profile.

VII. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The results of this study would be of immense help to identify the dimensions of organisational characteristics in BPO industry.

The objective of the study deals with different aspects viz.,

VIII. PERSONAL PROFILE OF EMPLOYEES IN BPO INDUSTRY AND ORGANISATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF BPO INDUSTRY

The general profile of the Employees in BPO industry has been presented in the following tables as personal factors and job related factors.

| Personal Factors | Respondents Category | Number | Per cent |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Male | 244 | 55.5 |
| Gender Profile | Female | 196 | 44.5 |
| | Total | 440 | 100 |
| | 18-20 years | 40 | 9.1 |
| | 21-25 years | 272 | 61.8 |
| Age Profile | Above 25 years | 128 | 29.1 |
| | Total | 440 | 100 |

| | Source : Primary I | Data | |
|--------|--------------------|------|------|
| | Total | 440 | 100 |
| Salary | Above25000 | 77 | 17.5 |
| | 20001-25000 | 73 | 16.6 |
| | 15001-20000 | 103 | 23.4 |
| | 10000-15000 | 156 | 35.5 |
| | Less than 10000 | 31 | 7 |

Table 1.1: Personal factors – Gender, age and salary of employees

The table 1.1 shows that the male respondents are more than the female respondents in various BPO Firms. Majority of the employees are between the age group of 21-25 years. Most of the employees in BPO industry are earning of Rs.10000-Rs.15000.

Job factors: include Designation, specific area of work, shifts in organisation and duration in present organisation.

| | Job factors | Groups | Number | Per cent |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|----------|
| | | Process Analyst | 252 | 57.3 |
| | | SeniorProcess | | |
| | D: | Analyst | 98 | 22.3 |
| | Designation | Team Leader | 46 | 10.5 |
| | | Supervisor | 15 | 3.4 |
| | | Manager | 29 | 6.6 |
| | | Total | 440 | 100 |
| Job | G1 : C : | Day | 38 | 8.6 |
| Profile | Shifts in organisation | Night | 43 | 9.8 |
| | organisation | Rotational | 359 | 81.6 |
| | | Total | 440 | 100 |
| | | Less than 6 months | 95 | 21.6 |
| | Duration in | 6months-1 year | 76 | 17.3 |
| | present | 1–2 years | 153 | 34.8 |
| | organisation | 3–5 years | 99 | 22.5 |
| \ | | More than 5 years | 17 | 3.9 |
| | | Total | 440 | 100 |

Source: Primary Data

Table 1.2: Job factors – Designation, shifts in organisation and duration in present organisation

From the table 1.2 it is seen that most of the employees are working as process analysts. It is observed revealed that 81.6 per cent of the employees work in rotational shift. The results reveal that 34.8 per cent of the employees are working for 1-2 years and only 3.9 per cent of employees fall under the category of more than 5 years.

IX. ORGANISATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

Organisation is treated as a dynamic process and a managerial activity which is essential for planning the utilization of company's resources,money and people to accomplish the various objectives. For the purpose of analysis of organizational characteristics, each statement has been assigned with five point scale for ratings by the respondents. The ratings have been assigned as 5 for "strongly agree", 4 for "agree", 3 for "neutral", 2 for "disagree", and 1 for "strongly disagree". High score indicates more weightage to the statement. The minimum score is assigned as 1 and the maximum score is assigned as 5. High score indicates positive attitude of the respondents towards the Organisation. Thus, overall score for organizational characteristics is computed and taken for further analysis.

| S.No. | Organisational characteristics | N | Min. | Max. | Mean | S.D |
|-------|---|-----|------|------|--------|---------|
| | Opportunity one gets to move ahead in life and | | | | | |
| 1 | become fairly well-known | 440 | 1 | 5 | 4.1318 | 0.80198 |

| | I have a clear sense of the future direction of this | | | | | |
|----|---|-----|---|---|--------|---------|
| 2 | office | 440 | 1 | 5 | 3.725 | 0.90017 |
| 3 | My supervisor is unfair | 440 | 1 | 5 | 2.9909 | 1.21115 |
| 4 | The goals of the organization are not clear to me | 440 | 1 | 5 | 2.8864 | 1.16744 |
| 5 | I am getting recognition for my good efforts | 440 | 1 | 5 | 2.5523 | 1.11808 |
| 6 | Work pressure is too much | 440 | 1 | 5 | 2.9773 | 1.0584 |
| 7 | The working conditions are congenial for me | 440 | 1 | 5 | 3.4591 | 0.84204 |
| 8 | Communication system is fair and open | 440 | 1 | 5 | 3.9295 | 0.96972 |
| 9 | I like the people I work with | 440 | 1 | 5 | 3.6909 | 0.96164 |
| 10 | I am getting adequate salary for this job | 440 | 1 | 5 | 3.6864 | 1.00423 |

Source - Primary data

Table 1.3: Organisational Characteristics - Descriptive Statistics

It is evident from table 1.3 that the score has been found to be more than 3.5 revealing the employees' agreeability for the following statements: 'Opportunity one gets to move ahead in life and become fairly well-known' (4.13) which tops in ranking, and 'I am getting recognition for my good efforts' (2.55) which ranks the least and the employees have not disagreed for any of the statements.

X. FACTOR ANALYSIS OF ORGANISATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF BPO INDUSTRY

The Factor Analysis technique is applied in this study to find out the underlying dimensions in the set of statements relating to the organizational characteristics of the BPO industry. Initially 20 factors have been considered for KMO and Bartlett's test.

| Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Me | ser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy. | | |
|-----------------------|---|----------|--|
| | Approx. Chi-Square | 6414.896 | |
| Bartlett's Test of | Df | 630 | |
| Sphericity | Sig. | ** | |
| ** _ | Significant at 1% level (P<0.01 |) | |

Table 1.4: KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy is a test which is based on the correlations and partial correlations of the variables. If KMO is closer to 0, then the factor analysis is not a proper technique for the variables and the data. The value of test statistic is given above as 0.891 which shows that the factor analysis for the selected variables is found to be more appropriate to the data. Hence, out of the 20 variable factors 5 have been finalized and used for further study.

XI. ANOVA AND PAIRED T-TEST

The analysis is preceded with the five factors of organizational characteristics identified, namely: monetary benefits, conducive working atmosphere, unfair working conditions, distribution of work and recognition of work. ANOVA and t-test have been employed separately to test the difference between personal factors and organizational characteristics (3 factors) and between Job factors and the organizational characteristics (3 factors)

Ho "The average score of monetary benefits, conducive working atmosphere, unfair working conditions, distribution

of work and recognition of work do not vary significantly among the employees for the selected personal factors"

| Organisational characteristics Personal factors Groups Mean S.D. No T F Gender Profile Male 19.91 3.49 244 19.6 0.15 Hemale 19.96 3.49 196 0.15 19.88 3.29 40 | Ns Ns Ns |
|--|----------|
| Profile Female 19.96 3.49 196 0.15 Age | Ns ** |
| Monetary benefits 18-20 years 19.88 3.29 40 21-25 years 19.76 3.34 272 272 273 | Ns ** |
| Age Profile | ** |
| Profile | ** |
| Less than 10000 18.39 3.8 31 10000 15000 19.58 3.17 156 15000 19.58 3.17 156 15000 19.92 3.88 103 20001 25000 20.44 3.32 73 3.676 25000 20.44 3.32 73 3.676 25000 20.83 3.33 77 3.676 25000 20.83 3.33 77 3.676 25000 20.83 3.34 244 25000 20.83 23.53 25000 20.83 23.53 25000 20.83 23.53 25000 20.83 23.53 25000 20.83 23.53 25000 20.83 23.53 25000 20.83 23.53 25000 20.83 25000 2 | ** |
| Monetary benefits | |
| Salary | |
| Salary | |
| 20000 | |
| 20001- 25000 20.44 3.32 73 3.676 | |
| Above25000 20.83 3.33 77 3.676 TOTAL 19.94 3.49 440 Gender Profile Male 23.61 3.86 244 Female 23.53 4 196 0.202 Age Profile 18-20 years 23.5 3.59 40 21-25 years 23.22 3.95 272 Above 25 years 24.35 3.86 128 3.072 Conducive working atmosphere 10000 | |
| TOTAL 19.94 3.49 440 | |
| Gender Profile Female 23.61 3.86 244 | Ns |
| Age Profile 18-20 years 23.5 3.59 40 | Ns |
| Age Profile | |
| Profile | |
| Conducive working atmosphere Less than 10000 23.03 4.33 31 156 3.072 | |
| Conductive working atmosphere 10000 23.03 4.33 31 10000- 15000 22.76 3.61 156 | * |
| working atmosphere 10000- 15000 22.76 3.61 156 | |
| 15000 22.76 3.61 156 | |
| Salary 15001_ | |
| | |
| 20000 23.47 4.09 103 20001- | |
| 25000 24.16 3.85 73 | |
| Above25000 25.03 3.77 77 5.108 | ** |
| TOTAL 23.57 3.92 440 | |
| Profile Female 11.82 3.09 196 1.031 | Ns |
| 18–20 years 11.15 2.39 40 | |
| Age 21–25 years 11.75 3 272 Profile Above 25 | |
| Profile Above 25 years 12.85 3.07 128 7.813 | ** |
| Less than | |
| working 10000 13.39 2.25 31 | |
| conditions 10000- 15000 12.65 2.6 156 | |
| Salary 15001- | |
| 20000 11.78 2.91 103 20001- | |
| 25000 11.71 3.62 73 | |
| Above25000 10.58 3.05 77 8.554 | ** |
| TOTAL 11.98 3.02 440 | |
| Gender Profile Male 17.36 3.33 244 Female 17.54 3.37 196 1.965 | Ns |
| 18–20 years 18.08 3.16 40 | T |
| Age 21–25 years 17.15 3.12 272 | |
| Profile Above 25 years 17.85 3.79 128 3.072 | * |
| Less than | † |
| Distribution of 10000 18.26 2.73 31 | |
| work 10000- 15000 16.79 2.91 156 | |
| Salary 15001- | |
| 20000 17.2 3.45 103 | |
| 20001– 25000 17.71 3.87 73 | |
| Above25000 18.48 3.46 77 4.137 | ** |
| TOTAL 17.44 3.35 440 | |
| Gender Profile Male 5.95 1.32 244 Female 5.92 1.39 196 1.031 | Ns |
| 18–20 years 5.98 1.23 40 | |
| Age 21–25 years 5.71 1.3 272 | |
| Profile Above 25 years 6.42 1.38 128 12.092 | ** |
| Less than 12.072 | t |
| Recognition of 10000 5.71 1.22 31 | |
| work 10000- 15000 5.58 1.25 156 | |
| Salary 15001- | |
| 20000 5.94 1.49 103 | |
| | |
| 20001- | |
| | ** |

Source: Computed ** significant at 1% level * significant at 5% level Ns: Not significant

Table 1.5: Personal Factors – Organisational characteristics

The table 1.5 shows that with respect to the age profile, there is no significant difference in the mean score of monetary benefits. Hence, the null hypothesis is accepted for the above factor. However, in the case of conducive working atmosphere and distribution of work, there is a significant difference in the mean score at 5 per cent level and for unfair working conditions and recognition of work there is a significant difference in the mean score at 1 per cent level. Hence the null hyspothesis is rejected in respect of age profile. But in respect of salary, the unfair working conditions, distribution of work, recognition of work are found to be significant at 1 per cent level and thereby, the null hypothesis is rejected. The paired t-test result shows there is no significant difference in the mean score for the above mentioned factors.

| mentione | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|------|
| | Job factors | Groups | Mean | S.D | No | T | F | Sig. |
| | | Process Analyst | 19.67 | 3.2 | 252 | | | |
| | Designation | SeniorProcess | 19.07 | 3.2 | 232 | | | |
| | | Analyst | 20.2 | 3.8 | 98 | | | |
| | Designation | Team Leader | 19.67 | 3.9 | 46 | | | |
| | | Supervisor | 21.8 | 2.6 | 15 | | 2.1 | |
| | | Manager | 20.83 | 4.1 | 29 | | 53 | Ns |
| | Shifts in | Day | 19.71 | 3.7 | 38 | | | |
| Monetary | Organisation | Night | 20.07 | 3.7 | 43 | | 0.1 | |
| benefits | organisation | Rotational | 19.94 | 3.5 | 359 | | 12 | Ns |
| | | Less than 6 | 20.42 | | 0.5 | | | |
| | | months 6months-1 | 20.42 | 4.1 | 95 | | | |
| | Duration in | year | 19.53 | 3.8 | 76 | | | |
| | Present | 1–2 years | 19.89 | 2.9 | 153 | | | |
| | Organisation | 3–5 years | 19.9 | 3.5 | 99 | | | |
| | | More than 5 | -7.7 | | | | 0.7 | |
| | | years | 19.71 | 2.9 | 17 | | 48 | Ns |
| | | TOTAL | 19.94 | 3.5 | 440 | | | |
| | | Process | | | | | | |
| | | Analyst | 23.02 | 3.9 | 252 | 1 | 1 | |
| | | SeniorProcess | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| | Designation | Analyst | 24.45 | 3.9 | 98 | | l | |
| | | Team Leader | 23.93 | 4 | 46 | | 1 | |
| | | Supervisor | 26.2 | 3 | 15 | | 4.3 | |
| | | Manager | 23.45 | 3.4 | 29 | | 84 | ** |
| | Shifts in | Day | 23.5 | 3.9 | 38 | | | |
| Conducive | Organisation | Night | 23.16 | 4.1 | 43 | | 0.2 | NT. |
| working atmosphere | | Rotational Less than 6 | 23.63 | 3.9 | 359 | | 79 | Ns |
| aunospiiere | | months | 25.28 | 4.2 | 95 | | | |
| | | 6months-1 | 23.20 | 7.2 | 73 | | | |
| | Duration in | year | 22.59 | 4.1 | 76 | | | |
| | Present | 1–2 years | 23.25 | 3.2 | 153 | | | |
| | Organisation | 3–5 years | 23.21 | 4.1 | 99 | | | |
| | | More than 5 | | | | | 6.5 | |
| | | years | 23.41 | 4 | 17 | | 12 | ** |
| | | TOTAL | 23.57 | 3.9 | 440 | | | |
| | | Process | | | | | | |
| | | Analyst | 12.35 | 2.9 | 252 | | | |
| | Designation | SeniorProcess | | | | | | |
| | | Analyst | 11.7 | 3.2 | 98 | | | |
| | | Team Leader | 11.61 | 3.3 | 46 | | | |
| | | Supervisor | 11.73 | 2.8 | 15 | | 3.2 | * |
| | | Manager | 10.45 12.53 | 3 | 29 | | 8 | ~ |
| Unfair | Shifts in | Day Night | 12.53 | 2.8 | 38 43 | 1 | 0.6 | |
| Uniair working | Organisation | Rotational | 11.96 | 3.1 | 359 | 1 | 0.6 14 | Ns |
| conditions | | Less than 6 | 11.70 | ٠.١ | ردد | - | 17 | 113 |
| | | months | 11.11 | 3.7 | 95 | | 1 | |
| | | 6months-1 | | | | 1 | l | |
| | Duration in | year | 11.62 | 2.4 | 76 | | | |
| | Present | 1–2 years | 12.69 | 2.6 | 153 | | l | |
| | Organisation | 3-5 years | 12.13 | 3 | 99 |] | 1 | |
| | | More than 5 | | | | | 4.8 | |
| | | years | 11.24 | 3.4 | 17 | | 88 | ** |
| | | TOTAL | 12.02 | 3 | 440 | | | |
| | | Process | 15.15 | 3.2 | 252 | | 1 | |
| | | Analyst | 17.17 | 3 | 252 | | l | |
| | | SeniorProcess Applyor | 17.46 | 3.7 | 98 | | 1 | |
| | | Analyst | 17.46 | 3.1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Designation | Team Leader | 17.8 | 5.1 | 46 | | 1 | |
| Distributio | | 1 cam Leadel | 17.0 | 2.9 | 70 | 1 | 1 | |
| n of work | | Supervisor | 19.53 | 5 | 15 | | 1 | |
| 201 11011 | | Supervisor | 17.55 | 3.1 | 15 | 1 | 2.3 | |
| | | Manager | 18.1 | 4 | 29 | | 38 | Ns |
| | | | | 3.0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Shifts in | Day | 18.03 | 1 | 38 | | | |
| | Shifts in Organisation | Day Night | 18.03 17.7 | | 38 43 | | 0.8 45 | Ns |

| | | Rotational | 17.35 | 3.3 7 | 359 | | | |
|------------|--------------|---------------|-------|----------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | | Less than 6 | 17.33 | 3.3 | 337 | | | |
| | | months | 18.96 | 9 | 95 | l | | |
| | | 6months-1 | 10.90 | 3.1 | 73 | ł | | |
| | | | 17.20 | 9 | 76 | | | |
| | Duration in | year | 17.36 | 2.7 | /6 | | | |
| | Present | 1 2 | 17.00 | 3 | 153 | | | |
| | Organisation | 1–2 years | 17.06 | 3.6 | 155 | | | |
| | | 2.5 | 16.92 | 7 | 99 | | | |
| | | 3–5 years | 10.92 | | 99 | ł | | |
| | | More than 5 | 15.00 | 4.3 | 1.7 | | 7.3 | ** |
| | | years | 15.82 | 6 | 17 | | 92 | ** |
| | | TOTAL | l | 3.3 | | l | | |
| | | | 17.44 | 5 | 440 | | | |
| | | Process | | 1.3 | | l | | |
| | | Analyst | 5.79 | 2 | 252 | l | | |
| | | SeniorProcess | | 1.3 | | | | |
| | | Analyst | 5.89 | 7 | 98 | | | |
| | Designation | | | 1.2 | | | | |
| | Besignation | Team Leader | 6.26 | 4 | 46 | 1 | | |
| | | | | 1.6 | | | | |
| | | Supervisor | 7.13 | 4 | 15 | | | |
| | | | | 1.1 | | | 4.8 | |
| | | Manager | 6.24 | 8 | 29 | | 77 | ** |
| | | | | 1.2 | | | | |
| | | Day | 6.58 | 2 | 38 | | | |
| Recognitio | Shifts in | | | 1.2 | | l | | |
| n of work | Organisation | Night | 5.37 | 9 | 43 | 1 | | |
| II OI WOIK | | | | 1.3 | | | 8.3 | |
| | | Rotational | 5.94 | 4 | 359 | | 16 | ** |
| | | Less than 6 | | 1.3 | | | | |
| | | months | 6.55 | 6 | 95 |] | | |
| | | 6months-1 | | 1.4 | |] | | |
| | Duration in | year | 5.46 | 6 | 76 | | | |
| | Present | 1-2 years | 5.76 | 1.2 | 153 | 1 | | |
| | Organisation | , | | 1.3 | | 1 | | |
| | - | 3-5 years | 5.91 | 2 | 99 | l | | |
| | | More than 5 | | | | 1 | 8.9 | |
| | | years | 6.41 | 1 | 17 | l | 76 | ** |
| | | TOTAL | | 1.3 | | | | |
| | | | 5.94 | 5 | 440 | | | |
| Carrage | Computed | ** cianific | | | | * *** | ::::: | nt at |

Source: Computed ** significant at 1% level * significant at 5% level Ns: Not significant

Table 1.6: Job Factors – Organisational characteristics

In the above table 1.6 shows that there is no significant difference in the mean score of monetary benefits with respect to designation, shifts in organisation and duration in present organisation. Conducive working atmosphere, unfair working conditions and distribution of work with respect to shifts in organisation there is no significant difference in the mean score. Distribution of work with respect to designation there is no significant difference in the mean score. Hence, the null hypothesis is accepted for the above factors. However in case of conducive working atmosphere with respect to designation and duration in present organisation, unfair working conditions with respect to duration in present organisation, distribution of work with respect to duration in present in and recognition of work with respect to designation, shifts in organisation and duration in present organisation there is a significant difference in the mean score at 1 per cent level and unfair working conditions with respect to designation there is a significant difference at 5 per cent level and thereby the null hypothesis is rejected for the above factors.

XII. SUGGESTIONS

- ✓ Shift variance to be balanced between Day/Night and Rotational because as per the study 81% are on rotational basis which would have direct impact in their work life balance.
- ✓ Additional Perks has to be increased based on employee performance which would be a motivational factor for employee to stay longer in an organization.

XIII. CONCLUSION

The study has concluded that organisation can create a conducive working atmosphere by recognizing the hard work, giving space for new innovative ideas, and monetary benefits. Effective organisation communicates the overall strategy so that every person in the organisation understands their role. This leads the employee to have an acquaintance towards the organisation which would result in maximum results.

REFERENCES

[1] Abdul Hameed & AamerWaheed (2011): "Employee Development and It's Affect on Employee Performance a Conceptual Framework". Vol. 2 No. 13 2011

- [2] Schein, E.H. (1992), Organisational Culture and Leadership, 2nd ed., Jossey-Bass, San Francisco
- [3] Poskiene A.(2006), "Organizational Culture and Innovations", Engineering Economics, vol 46, n° 1, pp.45-50
- [4] Azara Shaheen (2013) "Employees training and organizational performance" Vol 5, No 4, 2013
- [5] Hanita Sarah Saad, AinonJauhariah Abu Samah and NuritaJuhdi (2008), "Employees' Perception on Quality Work Life and Job Satisfaction Vol. 4 No.3 June 2008
- [6] James. M.J and Faisal.U (2013)" "Empirical study on addressing high employer attrition in BPO Industry focusing on employee salary" Vol. 1, Issue 7 July 2013
- [7] SoniAgarwal, BaniChatterjee (2010), "Challenges of ITES Companies in India", Vol.1, No2 February 2011
- [8] Vibha Gupta (2013), "An analysis of attrition: Retention strategy for BPO industry", Vol. 1, Issue 7 Dec 2013

