# Attitude Of Secondary School Teachers In Different Dimension Of Teaching Profession

## Sujit Samanta

Assistant Professor, Vidyasagar Teachres' Training College, Medinipur, Paschim Medinipur, West Bengal, India

Abstract: The purpose of this study is to investigate the attitude of secondary school teachers if different dimension of teaching profession. The sample of the study consists of 126 secondary school teachers of Paschim Medinipur district of West Bengal in India. Attitude Scale towards Teaching Profession developed by Dr. [Mrs.] Umme Kulsum, Begalore, India was used as the tool of the research. The tool consists of 55 items with 5 dimension viz. Academic, Administrative, Social & Psychological, Co-curricular and Economic aspect of teaching profession. In this study, statistical techniques such as mean, standard deviations, t-test, and correlation and regression analysis were used. Finding shows nature of significance difference & correlation between different dimensions of teaching profession.

Keywords: Attitude, Secondary School Teacher, Dimension, Teaching Profession.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Attitude is an important factor for the person to success in any task or work. It is positive or negative that depends on an individual concept about object, person or ideas. According to Allport (1954), attitude is "A mental and neutral state of readiness, organized through experience, exerting a directive or dynamic influence upon the individual's response to all objects and situations with which it is related." This definition was emphasized on integration of various experiences which mean they are learned.

Attitude of a person towards any profession is important to determining the level of commitment towards the profession. The impact of performance is depends on the attitude of one's towards his profession. It is true for teaching profession also. For the professional development of teachers the studies of attitude help them very crucial. Positive and favourable attitudes not only make individuals to perform his work in a better manner but also make satisfying and rewarding to them. Unfavourable attitude on the other hand make an individual tired, boring and unacceptable individuals. Therefore the researcher wants to study is there any significant

difference & correlation between the different dimension of attitude towards teaching profession?

### II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NCERT (1971) reported on the study about the attitude of teachers under different management & on the basis of their sex. Goyal (1981) & Singh (1987) found that sex did not differ significantly in their attitude. Mohanty (1990) reported that 74% of male and 86% of female pupil teacher were interested in the field of education and 90% of male & 62% of female has decided to teaching as a profession. Dodeen et al (2003) and Duatepe, A., & Cikla, O.A., (2004) found that female teacher have more positive attitude towards the teaching profession than the male teachers. Tapodhan (1991) found that sex is the main effect on teaching profession. Bhargava, A., & Pathy, M. K., (2014) studied on the factor like gender (male/female), Category (Tribal/Non-tribal) and steam of education (science/social science) on the attitude of student teacher of Jharkhand. No study was reported on the dimension viz. Academic, Administrative, Social & Psychological, Cocurricular and Economic aspect of teaching profession.

In view of the above studies researcher wants to study is there any significant difference & correlation between the different dimensions of attitude towards teaching profession?

## **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

To assess and compare the attitude of secondary school teachers towards different dimension viz. Academic, Administrative, Social & Psychological, Co-curricular and Economic aspect of teaching profession.

### **HYPOTHESES**

- ✓ There is no significant difference and correlation between Academic and Administrative dimension of teaching profession.
- ✓ There is no significant difference and correlation between Administrative and Social & Psychological dimension of teaching profession.
- ✓ There is no significant difference and correlation between Social & Psychological and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.
- ✓ There is no significant difference and correlation between Co-curricular and Economic dimension of teaching profession.
- There is no significant difference and correlation between Academic and Social & Psychological dimension of teaching profession.
- ✓ There is no significant difference and correlation between Academic and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.
- ✓ There is no significant difference and correlation between Academic and Economic dimension of teaching profession.
- ✓ There is no significant difference and correlation between Administrative and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.
- ✓ There is no significant difference and correlation between Administrative and Economic dimension of teaching profession.
- ✓ There is no significant difference and correlation between Social & Psychological and Economic dimension of teaching profession.

# III. METHODOLOGY

Survey method was used in the present study.

# SAMPLE AND SAMPLING PROCEDURE

The sample of the study comprised of 126 secondary school teachers of Pascim Medinipur district of West Bengal in India using radom sampling techniques.

## **TOOLS**

Attitude Scale towards Teaching Profession developed and standardized by Dr. [Mrs.] Umme Kulsum, Begalore, India was used as the tool of the research. The tool is a Likert

type scale consists of 55 items with 5 dimension viz. Academic, Administrative, Social & Psychological, Cocurricular and Economic aspect of teaching profession.

## STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES APPLIED

Descriptive and inferential statics were used to analysis and interpretation of the data.

# ITEM POOL

After item analysis the 55 items are selected in different dimension presented in the table-1

Sl.No.	Dimension	Serial No. Of Item	Total No.
			Of Item
I	Academic	1,11,18,25,26,31,3	10
		2,40,45,52	
II	Administrative	2,8,12,19,24,27	6
III	Social &	4,5,7,9,14,15,16,21	28
	Psychological	,22,23,29,33,35,36,	
		37,38,41,42,43,46,	
		47,48,49,50,51,53,	
		54,55,	
IV	Co-curricular	3,13,20,28,34	5
V	Economic	6,10,17,30,39,44	6

Table 1: Items (both favourable & unfavourable) and their distribution over different dimensions in the final scale after analysis

# RELIABILITY OF THE SCALE

The reliability of the tool was established on a sample of teachers both from primary and secondary school of Bangalore respectively total of 180 teachers were drawn from 22 primary and secondary schools of different management. This resorted to with an effort to achieve cross validity of the scale. Two types of reliability were established as shown the table -2

Sl. No.	Reliability	Reliability Index
1.	Test-retest	0.812
2.	Split-Half	0.937

Table 2: Reliability of the scale

## IV. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Descriptive and inferential statics were used to analysis and interpretation of the data. The results are summarized in the table as follows:-

HYPOTHESES 1: There is no significant difference and correlation between Academic and Administrative dimension of teaching profession.

OI (	teaching pro	168810	11.					
Sl.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	r	t-	p-value	Remark
No						value	_	
1.	Academic		20.01	2.34				
		126			0.31	3.68	0.00034	Significant
2.	Administrative	1	12.09	2.45	4			
		df=	124		p<0	.01		

Table 3: t-values of Academic and Administrative dimension of teaching profession

From the table-3 the null hypothesis is rejected. It says that, a significant difference and correlation is found out between Academic and Administrative dimension of teaching profession.

HYPOTHESES 2: There is no significant difference and correlation between Administrative and Social & Psychological dimension of teaching profession.

Sl.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	r	t-	p-value	Remark
No.						value		
1.	Administrative		12.09	2.45				
		126			-	-3.66	0.00037	Significant
2.	Social &		22.80	2.34	0.312			
	Psychological							
		df=12	24	•	•	p<0	0.01	·

Table 4: t-values of Administrative and Social & Psychological dimension of teaching profession

From the table-4 the null hypothesis is rejected. It says that, a significant difference and correlation is found out between Administrative and Social & Psychological dimension of teaching profession.

HYPOTHESES 3: There is no significant difference and correlation between Social & Psychological and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.

Sl.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	r	t-	p-	Remark
No.						value	value	
1.	Social &		22.80	2.34				
	Psychological	126			0.197	2.24	0.026	Significant
2.	Co-curricular		3.59	1.19				
		df=12	4			p<0.0	)5	

Table 5: t-values of Social & Psychological and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession

From the table-5 the null hypothesis is rejected. It says that, a significant difference and correlation is found out between Social & Psychological and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.

HYPOTHESES 4: There is no significant difference and correlation between Co-curricular and Economic dimension of teaching profession

	ming prores	ang proression										
Sl.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	r	t-	p-value	Remark				
No.						value						
1.	Co-curricular		3.59	1.19	0.0016	0.02	0.98	Not				
		126						Significant				
2.	Economic		4.04	1.99								
		df=	=124			p>(	0.05					

Table 6: t-values of Co-curricular and Economic dimension of teaching profession

From the table-6 the null hypothesis is accepted. It indicates that there exists no significant difference and correlation is between Co-curricular and Economic dimension of teaching profession.

HYPOTHESES 5: There is no significant difference and correlation between Academic and Social & Psychological dimension of teaching profession.

Sl.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	r	t-	p-value	Remark
No.						value		
1.	Academic		20.01	2.34				
		126			-0.283	-3.29	0.00135	Significant
2.	Social &		22.80	2.34				
	Psychological							
		df	=124			p<	0.01	

Table 7: t-values of Academic and Social & Psychological dimension of teaching profession

From the table-7 the null hypothesis is rejected. It says that, a significant difference and correlation is found out between Academic and Social & Psychological dimension of teaching profession.

HYPOTHESES 6: There is no significant difference and correlation between Academic and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.

Sl.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	r	t-	p-value	Remark
No.						value	_	
1.	Academic		20.01	2.34				
2.	Co-curricular	126	3.59	1.19	0.364	4.35	< 0.0001	Significant
		d	f=124		p<0.0	01		

Table 8: t-values of Academic and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession

From the table-8 the null hypothesis is rejected. It says that, a significant difference and correlation is found out between Academic and Social & Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.

HYPOTHESES 7: There is no significant difference and correlation between Academic and Economic dimension of teaching profession.

Sl.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	r	t-value	p-value	Remark
No.								
1.	Academic		20.01	2.34				Not
2.	Economic	126	4.04	1.99	0.0152	0.17	0.8652	Significant
		Ċ	lf=124			p>0.	05	

Table 9: t-values of Academic and Economic dimension of teaching profession

From the table-9 the null hypothesis is accepted. It indicates that there exists no significant difference and correlation is between Academic and Economic dimension of teaching profession.

HYPOTHESES 8: There is no significant difference and correlation between Administrative and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.

9	Sl. No.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	r	t-	p-	Remark
							value	value	
	1.	Administrative		12.09	2.45				
	2.	Co-curricular	126	3.59	1.19	0.252	2.9	0.004	Significant
			df=1	24			p<0	.01	

Table 10: t-values of Administrative and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession

From the table-10 the null hypothesis is rejected. It says that, a significant difference and correlation is found out between Administrative and Co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.

HYPOTHESES 9: There is no significant difference and correlation between Administrative and Economic dimension of teaching profession.

Sl.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	r	t-	p-	Remark
No.						value	value	
1.	Administrative		12.09	2.45				Not
2.	Economic	126	4.04	1.99	0.075	0.85	0.3969	Significant
		df=12	24		p>0.	05		

Table 11: t-values of Administrative and Economic dimension of teaching profession

From the table-11 the null hypothesis is accepted. It indicates that there exists no significant difference and correlation is between Administrative and Economic dimension of teaching profession.

HYPOTHESES 10: There is no significant difference and correlation between Social & Psychological and Economic dimension of teaching profession.

Sl.	Dimension	N	Mean	SD	Г	t-	p-value	Remark
No.						value		
1.	Social &		22.80	2.34				
	Psychological	126			0.142	1.6	0.11214	Not
2.	Economic		4.04	1.99				Significant
		d	f=124		p>0.	05		

Table 12: t-values of Social & Psychological and Economic dimension of teaching profession

From the table-12 the null hypothesis is accepted. It indicates that there exists no significant difference and

ISSN: 2394-4404

correlation is between Social & Psychological and Economic dimension of teaching profession.

### V. FINDING OF THE STUDY

The major finding of the study are summarised as:

- ✓ Hypotheses 1, 2,3,5,6 and 8 are Significant.
- ✓ Hypotheses 4, 7, 9 and 10 are not Significant.

After critical analysis & testing of hypotheses we got a significant difference and correlation between the different dimensions of teaching profession except the economic dimension of teaching profession. Economic dimension of teaching profession has no significant difference and correlation with academic, administrative, Social & psychological and co-curricular dimension of teaching profession.

### VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion academic, administrative, Social & psychological and co-curricular dimension of teaching profession has major contribution to enhance the attitude of a secondary school teachers towards teaching profession.

Positive attitude towards teaching profession can bring the desire quality in the field of education.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Allport, G. W. (1954): The Historical Background of Modern Social Psychology. Handbook of Social Psychology, Vol-1, Cambridge, Mass Addison, Wesley, pp.-45.
- [2] Bhargava, A., & Pathy, M. K., (2014): Attitude of Student Teachers towards Teaching Profession. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education, Vol.15, No.3, pp27-36
- [3] Doden, H.A; Ibrahim, A. A; Emand, M. (2003): Attitudes of the Preservice Teachers towards Persons with Disabilities: Predictions for the success of the Inclusion. College Student Journal, Vol-37, No-4, pp.-515.
- [4] Duatepe, A. & Cikla, O. A. (2004): The attitude towards Teaching Profession of In-service and Perservice Primary School Teachers. Central and Eadtern Europeon Online Library, www, ceeol.com, pp.-61-65.
- [5] Goyal, J.C. (1981): A Study of the Relationship among Attitude, Job-satisfaction, Adjustment and Professional Interest of Teacher Education in India. Indian Educational Review, Vol-16, No-4, pp.-5-15.
- [6] Mohanty (1990): A Critical Analysis of the Reactions of Pupil-teachers towards the Teaching Profession. M.Phill. in Education, Utkal Uni.
- [7] NCERT (1971): Survey of School Teachers in India: Research of Teachers towards Teaching profession: A Study, New Delhi, NCERT.
- [8] Singh, R.S. (1987): A Study of Teacher Effectiveness and Its Correlates at Higher Secondary Stage in Eastern, U.P., Ph.d. in Education, Gorakhpur Uni.