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Abstract: Good governance remains the expectation from every ruling political party, especially in this democratic dispensation of the Nigerian Nation. The need to ensure that the citizenry enjoy the dividends of democracy as often promised during various electioneering campaigns is envisioned to be the motif for different agendas and political slogans by different administrations. Unfortunately, different political agendas have a record of setback in the history of political leadership and governance in Nigeria. Therefore, the paper examined the principles of Islamic theocracy in its advocacy for a paradigm shift in the Nigerian political system, with a view to engendering good governance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Nigerian state from independence has experienced a number of forms in the governance of its citizenry. A cursory assessment of its state politically and socio-economically reveals that it has grown from struggle to trouble and from bad to worse. This recorded retrogression by the Nigerian nation over a period of its corporate existence as a political entity is to be partly blamed on the systems of government institutionalised so far and partly on the drivers of the institutions engendered by those systems of government.

The above painted background informed our proposal of purely Islamized theocracy as a better alternative system of government capable of orchestrating good governance in Nigeria. This proposal cannot be divorced from the truism that there is a Supreme Being behind the existence and creation of Nigeria as a state. Thus, its affairs should be administered in consonance with the ordinances and dictates of the Supreme Being for result-oriented governance. It must be noted, in respect of this, that

The Kingdom of God is a theocracy. And as it is the only form of government which will redeem and save mankind, it is necessary that every soul should be rightly and thoroughly instructed in regard to its nature and general characteristics. (Orson Patt)

A paradigm shift, as the vocal point of this paper, will be examined by briefly looking at governance in Nigeria, democratic rule and the Nigerian nation, theocratic conceptualization of governance, Islamic principles of theocracy towards enhancing good governance in Nigeria and implementation of Islamic theocracy in Nigeria. Our discussion of these sub-topics gives rise to some recommendations and followed by conclusion.

II. A BRIEF SURVEY OF GOVERNANCE IN NIGERIA

Before independence by the 1st of October, 1960, Nigeria was seen as a sovereign state compartmentalised into three major regions of the North, the East and the West (Adigwe, 1979). As it were, each of the regions was politically committed to itself rather than to Nigeria as a state. By this arrangement, projects such as provision of basic amenities like good roads, hospitals, industries and education were implemented on regional basis autonomously by each region (Adigwe, 1979).

In the area of revenue generation, it is noted that that each region opened up its area to both local and foreign explorers and investors to maximize its potentials (Paden, 1986). Thus, the Western Region specialized in the production of Cocoa, Rubber, Timber, Palm Cannel and Palm Oil while the North...
focussed on exportation of products such as Cotton, Groundnut, Tin and Colon bite among others. As for the Eastern Region, attention was given to production of Coal, Palm oil, Palm Cannel and local fabrics (Paden, 1986). The mutual rivalry among these regions, especially in the provision of social amenities snowballed and metamorphosed into a great potential for Nigeria to stand as a state rather than fragmented regions. This, in specific terms, happened in 1960 when an independence movement succeeded in gaining independence for Nigeria. This produced Tafawa Balewa and Nnamdi Azikwe as the First Prime Minister and the First Head of State respectively. As gladdening as the first republic of Nigeria in 1963 was, it succumbed to military rule three years after, following a bloody military coup d’etat (Omoiya, 2012). In 1979, Nigeria became a republic once again subsequent upon a new constitution written in that year. After a period of another four years, the republic was truncated by the military’s seize of power. Subsequently, another republic was planned to be established in 1993 but was dissolved by General Sanni Abacha whose death in 1998 signalled the birth of a fourth republic and ended three decades of intermittent military rule in Nigeria. (History of Nigeria Wikipedia. Retrieved 10th November, 2018@https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History-of-Nigeria).

III. DEMOCRATIC RULE AND THE NIGERIAN NATION

Democracy is a universal concept, the practice of which varies from country to country. This variation is measured and determined with regards to power acquisition and institutional arrangements. So, as we hear of American democracy, British democracy, Irish democracy and Canadian democracy, we can as well say and hear of Nigerian democracy. A lot has been written and documented about democracy in Nigeria but this segment will just appraise in brief, the Nigerian nation and features of its democratic experience.

To start with, the general belief that the welfare of the people is the epicentre of democracy wherever it is practised appears unspeakable of Nigeria. This submission is borne out of the fact that the Nigerian democracy has succeeded in widening the gap between the “haves” and the “have nots”, instead of bridging the gap between them. This was engendered by socio-economic and political inequality which has become a parameter for judging the so called nascent democracy of Nigeria. This norm which characterises the democracy of Nigeria is described by Ajayi and Ojo, 2014 as follows:

*While some countries aspire to and do indeed practise democracy for the socio-economic benefit of the generality of the people or at least as many people as possible, others like Nigeria make their own brand of democracy government of the few by the few and for the socio-economic benefit of the few. Indeed, the most outstanding feature of Nigerian democracy is mind boggling and unpardonable waste of public funds on the comfort of a few Nigerians. The democracy of waste practised in Nigeria invests first and foremost, in the comfort of officials rather than in human and material resources.*

Another feature that characterises our democratic rule in Nigeria is the increasing rate of corruption without any viable institution capable of stemming its tide in place. For example, all charges of corruption against different personalities and political office holders during the administration of President Jonathan are still yet to be charged for proper prosecution (Ajayi and Ojo, 2014). The propensity to stop the menace of corruption in the democratic rule of Nigeria is often greeted with disdain, pessimism and hopelessness. This is reflected in the expression of Alex Akinwale, a former Minister of Information and Culture that only the Sango and Ogun deities can stop corruption in Nigeria (Ajayi and Ojo, 2014). To this, we are adding that only absolute return unto Allah, probably through a holistic drive of the Islamic principles of theocracy, can bring the desired change in our system of governance.

Lack of justice in the judicial system institutionalised by the Nigerian democracy is the bane of all problems intrinsically associated with our democratic rule. Where there is no administration of justice in place, no other institutions put in place in a democratic dispensation can thrive and function effectively. In fact, it is a poison which kills our democracy faster than then the system consumes it. Just because of the collapse of our judicial system under democratic rule, our moral values which should constitute a major support and defence for our democratic norms and principles are also fast eroding. Quoting Chief Obafemi Awolowo in his assessment of the first year of Nigeria’s independence, he found as an outstanding feature of the newly independent country

* a dangerous decline in moral values where honesty was at a discount and corruption and mediocrity had a high premium. A large percentage of monies which were noted for expenditure on public projects found their ways into pockets of certain individuals. (Fagbemide, 1981)

The import of the above quotation is that the failure observed in the administration of justice in our judicial system is as old as democratic rule in Nigeria. What we also witness today is a further decline and collapse of those institutions. This, of course, is expected, with an unprecedented continuous fall in moral standard among groups and individuals charged with the responsibility of defending and protecting our democracy in Nigeria. If only this is to be considered, there is an urgent need to chart a new course and shift paradigm in our system of governance!

IV. THEOCRATIC CONCEPTUALIZATION OF GOVERNANCE

To start with origin and evolution, the word theocracy originates from the Greek *theokratia*. The components of the word are *theos* which means “god” and *Kratein* which means “to rule”, hence rule by god or government by god. The concept known as theocracy was first coined by the historian Flavins Josephus (37CE – c.100CE). In explaining the organization and political system of the Jewish commonwealth of his time, Josephus contrasted theocracy with other forms of government such as monarchy, oligarchy and republics. In the
process, he ordained the Jewish government as theocratic by 
ascribing the authority of power to God and by persuading all 
the people to have a regard to Him as the author of all good 
things (Theocracy, International Encyclopedia of the Social 

It is observed that the concept of theocracy that we have 
now, as will be examined later in this paper, is a product of 
manipulation witnessed by the old concept of theocracy 
alongside few other concepts (International Encyclopedia, 
2018). This oldest meaning, as conceived by Josephus, is the 
one in which ministers, judges or priests are not to assume 
political power as reflected in modern concept of theocracy 
(International Encyclopedia, 2018). A good example of this is 
the perception of theocracy as a state in which priests exercise 
political power or more precisely, a state ruled by ministers 

Theocracy is said to have existed throughout history. It is 
known among the ancient people of Egypt and Tibet where 
kings represented and incarnated the deity. For instance, 
during the Pharaonic Era in Egypt, the king was considered a 
divine or semi-divine figure who ruled largely through priests. 
History also records this of the early American Civilization 
such as Mayas, Toltecs, Aztecs and Natchez (International 
Encyclopedia, 2018).

In Christianity, the rule of Girolamo Savonarola in the 
republic of Florence (1494-1497) was theocratic in nature. 
Then and there, God was made the sole sovereign and the 
Gospel constituted the law. Other established theocracies after 
the Protestant Reformation of the 16th Century include that of 
John Calvin’s regime (1509-1564) in Geneva and that of 
Huldrych Zwingli (1484-1531) in Zurich (International 
Encyclopedia, 2018). Upon the migration of the Puritan to 
New England in the 1630s, theocratic governments were also 
established in what became Massachusetts and Connecticut. It is 
also during the Puritan Revolution in England (1640-1660), 
precisely after the execution of King Charles 1 in 1649, 
theocracy was established as a form of government by some 

Coming to Islam, the community established by Prophet 
Muhammad (c. 570-632) in Madinah was purely theocratic as 
his (S.A.W.) served as both the spiritual and temporal leader of 
his people which could be regarded as a state (International 
Encyclopedia, 2018). The first successor of the Prophet, Abu- 
Bakr (c. 573-634) also ruled based on theocratic principles 
(International Encyclopedia, 2018). In the history of Islam 
generally, the largest and best known theocracies were 
witnessed during the Umayyad Caliphate (661-750) and the 
early Abbasid (750-1258). During these eras, state and 
religion were closely intertwined (International Encyclopedia, 
2018).

Generally speaking, the term theocracy signifies belief in 
governance by divine guidance, a form of regime in which 
religion or faith plays the dominant role. It also denotes a 
political unit governed by a deity or by officials thought to be 
divinely guided (International Encyclopedia, 2018). Theocracy 
as a system of government is prone to so many definitions and 
meanings. Each is guided by the perspective from which it is 
viewed. Take for example, Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2018 
defines it as a government by divine guidance or by officials 
who are regarded as divinely guided. This definition clearly 
shows that the laws and principles guiding the administration 
and governance of the state under theocracy are drawn from a 
divine scripture which can either be the Qur’an or the Bible 
among other scriptures. Not only that, the drivers of the 
institutions fashioned out of the divinely guided constitution 
should be men and women of knowledge of the divine book, 
otherwise known as clergymen or ‘Ulama’ as the case may be. 
This interpretation is corroborated by Webster, 2018 in its 
definition of theocracy as a government by priests or men of 
God claiming to know the will of God.

By the standard expected of true theocracy, it is our 
argument that ordinary claim is not enough to determine those 
that will run the affairs of a theocratic state, they must be 
confirmed and certified reliable custodians of the scriptural 
knowledge applicable to the state. This therefore brings to 
bear the unification of the political authority in the personae 
of someone with a direct connection to god, a feature identified 
with the purest form of theocracy as pointed out by Cosgel and 
Miceli (Metin and Thomas, 2013).

There have been a number of temporary attempts to 
further conceptualize theocracy, drawing from a variety of old 
and new sources. These contemporary re-readings of the 
meaning of theocracy reveal a serious inconsistence and 
varied disparities in the essence of theocracy as a political 
concept. Besides, it draws a quick attention to its interpretation 
according to whims and caprices of individuals, a potential 
danger to any state employing it. Taking the perception of 
Swaine for example, theocracy is a model of governance 
prioritizing a religious conception of the good that is strict and 
comprehensive in its range of teachings (Backer, 2006). For 
others, theocracy is used to connote government by a specific 
institutional faith such as Shia Imams in Iran and the Wahhabi 
clerics in Afghanistan- with the clergy writing laws and a 
temple guard to enforcing them (Backer, 2006).

As earlier stated, it appears theocracy as a form of 
government points to the source of substantive principles and 
rules which are Allah’s, God’s or those of the Supreme Being 
but without suggesting the “how” of their implementation 
among the community believers and those under their control. 
It is in line with this thinking that a connection between the 
divine will and the divine command in a form reducible to law 
is said to have been necessary, just like the institutional 
mechanism for implementing that divine will in a legal form 
(Backer, 2006).

Taking the concept of theocracy more holistic and 
attaching more of divinity to it, it is perceived as a direct rule 
of the Divine through unalterable and inflexible commands 
which favourably compares with classic theocracy where God 
is the ruler and the priests, judges and prophets through whom 
He rules have very minimal influence and flexibility 
concerning the constitution which is divine (Backer, 2006).

It becomes axiomatic at this juncture to point out some of 
the elements which intrinsically describe theocratic 
governance for better conceptualization. The first and most 
important of these limitations is the power of government. 
This limitation is divinely imposed as most of the laws and the 
norms that will constitute the guiding principles of governance 
which ought to have been pre-ordained by the and in the 
divine scripture (Backer, 2006). By a way of distinction, the
limitations are categorized into political and structural. While the political limitations include popular sovereignty, political participation by citizens and accountability by agents of the state for their conduct; the structural limitations include process limitations to guard against arbitrary actions, process for the legitimate exercise of state power and substantive rules for the exercise of the state power. The latter, by default, ensures respect for the rights of individuals and the institution of a moral or ethical standard for the state behaviour grounded in what is right and just. (Backer, 2006).

Before we conclude this segment, it must be noted that in contemporary times and climes, theocracy, going by an online international Encyclopedia, has also featured on the pages of history. Such historical records include the regime of Ayotollah Ruhollah Khomenei (1900-1989) established in Iran in 1979 and the Taliban State in Afghanistan (1996-2001). Similarly, the first decade of the twenty-first century has a record of different fundamentalist Muslim groups in Algeria, Pakistan, Egypt, Sudan and Turkey among others, striving and calling for the establishment of theocratic government in their home countries. The same thing applies to various fundamentalist Christian groups in the United States, Canada, and Australia who advocate aspects of theocratic government, just as several orthodox factions advocate restoring the theocracy of ancient times. Therefore, it is apposite to also call for an experimental theocracy which features true principles of Islamic political system in engendering good governance in Nigeria.

V. ISLAMIC PRINCIPLES OF THEOCRACY FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE IN NIGERIA

It must be pointed out from outset that declaration of an Islamic state or total implementation of Islamic political principles under the name Shari’ah Law may generate religious hues and cries in a multi-religious country like Nigeria. The record and evidences are there in the history of Shari’ah in Nigeria. However, dictates and tenets of Islamic law could be ingested in our political system towards ensuring good governance without necessarily tagging it Islamic. This is the form of theocracy advocated in this paper for better Nigeria, politically and socio-economically. That is a theocracy garnished with Shari’ah ingredients which ensure good governance at all cost. Such ingredients as enshrined in the Shari’ah radiate absolute justice, equality and protection of human rights among others.

To go down the memory lane a little, the most commonly expressed view is that Islam and politics are inseparable. By implication, this suggests that Islamic precept informs the design of state institutions just as the state is supposed to be a proper instrument of religious purposes (Amit, 2009). A clue from the Madinah theocratical system of government adopted by the Prophet (S.A.W.), should constitute a model for Islamic political system whose principles give rise to what could be termed “Islamic theocracy”, according this paper. In the constitution of that political era of Madinah, it is on record that the authoritative model of Islamic precepts of governance was elaborated in a setting where Muslims coexisted with non-Muslim communities. With this civic model, Islam did not enjoy undisputed political authority and yet had preeminent moral authority through the role played by the Prophet (Amit, 2009).

The above described Madinah model is the ideal from the position of this paper. It does not call for declaration of an Islamic or Shari’ah nation but craves for concepts of Islamic political system as Shūrā, ‘Adlālah, Muhāsabah and so on to be embedded in our constitution for sustainability of good governance in our dear nation.

Looking at the concept of Shūrā from the parlance of Islamic political system, it connotes mutual consultation, drawing inspiration from the scripture, on matters that affect the entire citizenry of a state (Jeong, 2007). The concept of Shūrā is diametrically opposed to people representation in a body like the National Assembly (Houses of senates and Representatives) in Nigeria, where only the interest of the minority matters and the rights of the public trampled upon through formulation of anti-people policies and laws. The Shūrā institution involves the election of a leader to head the meeting which discusses national issues based on mashāwarah (consultation) and mudhakarah (proper guidance). The institution, quoting Jeong, 2007, provides a platform for all members to voice their opinions especially on issues of public interest (mashalah ‘īmmah).

Implementation of the decisions arrived at by the members of the Shūrā committee is binding on every body, however highly placed. This is because such decisions are informed by the guidelines of the Qur’ān and Sunnah. In the history of Islam for instance, it is recorded that the prophet (S.A.W.) also submitted himself to such a decision emanating from the Shūrā concerning the battle of Ditch. On that occasion, the Prophet was faced with the dilemma of either fighting the non-Muslim Arab armies outside of Madinah or waiting to fight them when they enter the City. After due consultation with his companions, Salman al-Fārisi suggested the latter which was supported by the companions in their majority. Noting that an opinion by the majority of companions is better than the decision of an individual, the Prophet approved of it without hesitation, despite his position as the religious and political head of the state (Jeong, 2007).

Linked with Shūrā, as required of an ideal Islamic theocracy suggested by this paper, is Majlis ash-Shūrā. This term, translated as an advisory council or consultative council, refers to the body in which the Shūrā itself resides and domiciles. Majlis ash-Shūrā is the common term used for elected or co-opted assemblies with advisory or legislative powers, particularly in Muslim-majority countries. Politically speaking, it is an appellation given to either the full legislative body or the upper house of such countries. Thus, it describes a political system where the power of the legislature is exclusively consultative (Majlis-Shura Wikipedia). Majlis ash-Shūrā, fondly shortened as Majlis does not have any set of strict guidelines to determine its membership. However, in the context of this paper and for the benefit of good governance, its membership should comprise people of sound mind and good knowledge of Islam. The effectiveness of Shūrā and the body described as Majlis in ensuring good governance cannot be underestimated. Allah says in the Holy Qur’ān as follows:
.....Those who answer the call of their Lord and establish the prayer, and who conduct their affairs by Shūrā (a way of consultation) are loved by Allah). (Q.4:38)

The Qur’ān says in another portion in an imperative form and instructive manner to the Prophet (S.A.W.) as follows:

...Consult them (the people) in their affairs. Then when you have taken a decision (from them) put your trust in Allah. (Q.3:159)

From the above verses of the Qur’ān, the need to involve God in the political affairs of a state becomes an obvious key to good governance and its dividends to the entire citizenry of a state. It also shows that the constitution of a strong Majlis instils the spirit of stewardship and accountability in both the leader and the led. Thus, such societal vices as murder, dishonesty and other forms of corruption that characterise the practice of democracy world over will be eschewed almost completely by the implementation of Islamic theocracy. Our stewardship and accountability (Muhāsabah) is ultimately unto Allah as His vicegerents on the earth surface.

Vicegerency (Khilāfah) becomes sine qua non to sustainable stable government and guaranteed dividends of good governance to the citizenry. From the concept of Khilafah, according to Cowan, 1960, comes the term khalifah (vicegerent) the plural of which is khalīfah (vicegerents). The relevance of this to good governance lies in the quality of leadership which emerges politically to direct the affairs of the state under Islamic theocracy. The Majlis in this context, remains the statutory body to elect the khalifah who emerges as the political or and religious head of an Islamic theocratic state.

More so that members of the Majlis, according to al-Mawardi, must have been people of impeccable character and proven integrity who have enough knowledge to distinguish a good person from a bad one, the best will definitely emerge to lead the state successfully (Majlish-Shura Wikipedia). This is unlike political leaders who come to power through dubious and fraudulent means such as rigging, vote-buying, imposition of leaders and other crooked ways. In the proposition of al-Mawardi, in an emergency situation where there is neither a caliphate nor a Majlis, the people in the state will have to create a Majlis themselves and select a list of candidates suitable to become the khalifah and from the list, the Majlis selects the best to rule and direct the “religio-political” affairs of the state (Ghar Allah, 2004). The Majlis does not only exercise the power to appoint, the power to fire also resides with the authority vested in it. The leader (khalifah) appointed by the Majlis is called to self-accountability (Muhāsabah) by the body which appoints him. Thus, the Sunni Islamic lawyers legitimise and consent to the impeachment of such a ruler when it is obvious that he cannot meet public responsibilities obliged upon him by Islam (Majlis-ash-Shura Wikipedia. Retrieved 10th November @https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/majlis-ash-shura). Under this system, impeachment motions are not shrouded in share nepotism, self-centredness and arbitrary exercise of political might by few. In support of this, al-Mawardi states that if the rulers meet their responsibilities to the public, the people must obey their laws, but if they become unjust and severely ineffective, then the leader must be impeached via the Majlis (Ibid). Among other views to justify the impeachability of a leader by the Majlis under Islamic theocracy is that of al-Ghazali which states that oppression by a leader is enough for impeachment (Ibid). According to Ibn Hajar al-Asqalānī, the citizens are under obligation to rebel against any leader (Caliph) that acts with no regard for Islamic law. Al-Asqalānī further argues that overlooking such a leadership and political derailment is completely forbidden (harām) in Islam (Ibid). Revolution against such a leader, either from within or outside the caliphate is corroborated by Al-Asqalānī with the following Qur’ānic verses:

And they (the sinners on Qiyyāmah) will say, our Lord! We obeyed our leaders and our chiefs who eventually misled us from the right path. Our Lord! Give them (the leaders) double the punishment you give us and curse them with a great curse. (Q.33:67-68)

VI. IMPLEMENTATION OF ISLAMIC THEOCRACY IN NIGERIA

The cause of recklessness in our political system/government as a whole could be attributed to lack of proper checks and balances by a strong and effective institutions such as the Majlis which by extension, refers to the electorate or citizenry of a particular state. Not that these checks and balances are not known but the awareness of what they portend to checkmate the excesses that characterise our political space keep politicians away from adopting them, for mostly being fantastically corrupt. For this singular reason, they join the wagons of bad political office holders under the pretence that they cannot beat them and as a result wilfully decide to join them. Without a paradigm shift, in a positive direction, the woe that betides governance in Nigeria will keep thriving and wag stronger by the day. The way to go in instituting the positive change in Nigeria is simply to redefine politics in the line of good governance, readiness to truly serve the people and the right sense of accountability to the led and the Almighty in the Hereafter. Principally, the stolen power of the electorates should be returned to them by allowing their dictates to prevail. In this sense, the whole process of choosing political leaders should be reduced to selection of worthy individuals rather than emergence of unscrupulous and dubious personalities through elections that lack transparency, fairness and credibility.

As examined inter alia, concepts of ‘Adālah (equality and justice), Shūrā (mutual consultation) and Muhāsabah (accountability) should be activated and revived in Nigeria to ensure good governance and deliver its dividends to the people. This is referred to as true implementation of an Islamic-faith-based theocracy as demonstrated by the Prophet (S.A.W.). Conscious introduction of these concepts and their implementation to the letter should be pursued to automatically make politics in Nigeria unattractive and less lucrative. This becomes necessary to ensure that the few ones who come into the political space are screened and confirmed to be people of proven integrity and unquestionable antecedents. The concept of Shīrā should be used to facilitate that while the principles of accountability, equality and justice are continuously employed to keep people at the helms affairs at check where they are bound to derail and lose track as humans. To restore an egalitarian society in Nigeria, this line
of true theocracy should be gradually toed, starting with a change in our political orientation and negative value system. Fortunately, these characteristics of an egalitarian society have a practical reference in the following hadith narrated by ‘Aishah, the wife of the Prophet (S.A.W):

The people of Quraysh worried about the lady from Bani Makkaz who had committed theft. They asked (consultation) “will you intercede for her with Allah’s Apostle” some said, “No one dares to do except Usāmah b. Zayd, the beloved to Allah’s Apostle. When Usāmah spoke about that to Allah’s Apostle, he (S.A.W) said: “Do you try to intercede for somebody in a case connected with Allah’s prescribed punishments (accountability to Allah)?” Then he got up and delivered a sermon saying: “What destroyed the nations preceding you was that if a noble amongst them stole, they would forgive him, and if a poor person amongst them stole, they would inflict Allah’s legal punishment on him. By Allah, if Fatimah, the daughter of Muhammad (my daughter) stole, I would cut off her hand (justice).” (Bukhari, 1401 A.H.)

VII. CONCLUSION
To put our nation on the path of good governance, there must be a paradigm shift in our system of government. This shift as focused in this paper is to explore a system of governance enriched with the principles of Islamic political system, otherwise described as Islamic theocracy. It is not a condition to give the system any Islamic outlook in system, otherwise described as governance enriched with the principles of Islamic political shift as focused in this paper is to
must be a paradigm s

As a matter of constitutionality, the failure of a leader or a political regime through deviation from the laid down principles and dictates of the divine constitution, should signify an immediate end of his leadership and administration.

Accountability to the Supreme Being, and thereafter to the common people being governed, should be the bed rock of our political system.

Leadership positions should not be sought nor contested under an ideal political system which exemplifies Islamic theocracy. Rather, such positions should be given on merit to the most qualified and as a trust which will be accounted for in this world and in the Hereafter.
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