# Carcinoma Nasopharynx - An Audit Of The Patients Presented In Radiotherapy Department

Patneedi B

#### Owais A

### Agarwal A

#### Sharma MK

Resident, Department of Radiotherapy, Shri Ram Murti Smarak Institute of Medical Sciences, Bareilly, U.P.

#### Kumar P

Professor and Head, Department of Radiotherapy, Shri Ram Murti Smarak Institute of Medical Sciences, Bareilly, U.P.

Abstract: Malignant tumors of the nasopharynx are relatively uncommon and represent less than 1% of all cancers. However, because of their proximity to the base of the brain and the high concentration of lymphatic supply in the nasopharynx, cancers of the nasopharynx tend to spread quickly to and beyond the base of the skull, causing early cranial nerve involvement, and to the regional lymph nodes. Since the location is inaccessible to surgery, radiation therapy has been the only method of treatment. Retrospective Analysis of patients of carcinoma Nasopharynx were analyzed who were treated in the department of radiotherapy. Sixteen patients were registered between December 2009 and July 2014. Clinical profile and management techniques along with outcomes were analyzed.

The patients presenting to our OPD were commonly between 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> decade (median age-45yr, Range-19-70yr). All the patients were males and smoking and tobacco history present in all patients. The presenting signs and symptoms are neck mass (50%), epistaxis (37.5%), dysphagia (25%) and change in voice (25%). All patients had Histopathololgy of squamous cell carcinoma where 50% were poorly differentiated carcinoma. In terms of local spread- Parapharyngeal extension(62.5%), oropharyngeal extension(37.5%), masticator space (25%).Nodal status positive(75%). 2-3 cycles of Neoadjuvant chemotherapy was delivered in 62.5% cases. All patients received definitive chemoradiotherapy with total radiotherapy dose of 70Gy in 35#@1.8-2Gy/# along with 6-7 cycles of chemotherapy. Disease progression was seen in 37.5% of patients. Chemoradiotherapy is the primary modality for treating cancer Nasopharynx.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Malignant tumors of the nasopharynx are relatively uncommon and represent less than 1% of all cancers. However, because of their proximity to the base of the brain and the high concentration of lymphatic supply in the nasopharynx, cancers of the nasopharynx tend to spread quickly to and beyond the base of the skull, causing early cranial nerve involvement, and to the regional lymph nodes. Since the location is inaccessible to surgery, radiation therapy has been the only method of treatment. Over the last two decades changes in techniques of delivery have yielded better locoregional control and survival in this disease. These changes include the use of higher doses of radiotherapy. The use of wide radiation field, including the elective radiation of the whole neck, the combined use of brachy- and teleradiotherapy, and the use of split dose therapy. Despite the improved results, the prognosis for patients with nasopharyngeal tumors remains grave, with overall 5-year survival rates ranging from 30% to 57%.

### II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Retrospective Analysis of patients of carcinoma Nasopharynx were analyzed who were treated in the department of radiotherapy. Sixteen patients were registered between December 2009 and July 2014. Clinical profile and management techniques along with outcomes were analyzed.

#### **III. RESULTS**

The patients presenting to our OPD were commonly between 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> decade (median age-45yr, Range-19-70yr). All the patients were males and smoking and tobacco history present in all patients. The presenting signs and symptoms are neck mass (50%), epistaxis (37.5%), dysphagia (25%) and change in voice (25%). All patients had histopathololgy of squamous cell carcinoma where 50% were poorly differentiated carcinoma. In terms of local spread Parapharyngeal extension (62.5%), oropharyngeal extension (37.5%), masticator space (25%). Nodal status positive (75%). 2-3 cycles of Neoadjuvant chemotherapy was delivered in 62.5% cases. All patients received defintive chemoradiotherapy with total radiotherapy dose of 70Gy in 35#@1.8-2Gy/# along with 6-7 cycles of chemotherapy. Disease progression was seen in 37.5% of patients. (see table)

Gender	Number of	Total percentage
	cases	
Male	16	100
female	0	0
Age	Number of	Total percentage
_	cases	
0-19	2	12.5
20-39	4	25
40-59	8	50
60-80	2	12.5
Smoking history	Number of	Total percentage
	cases	
Present	12	75
Absent	4	25
Histopathological	Number of	Total percentage
grade	cases	
Well differentiated	4	25
Mod. differentiated	4	25
Poorly differentiated	8	50
Presenting symptoms	Number of	Total percentage
Presenting symptoms	Number of cases	Total percentage
Presenting symptoms Neck mass	Number of cases 8	Total percentage 50
Presenting symptoms           Neck mass           Epistaxis and nasal	Number of cases 8 8	Total percentage5050
Presenting symptoms Neck mass Epistaxis and nasal obstruction	Number of cases 8 8	Total percentage     50     50
Presenting symptoms         Neck mass         Epistaxis and nasal         obstruction         Earache and decreased	Number of cases 8 8 2	Total percentage505025
Presenting symptoms           Neck mass           Epistaxis and nasal           obstruction           Earache and decreased           hearing	Number of cases 8 8 2	Total percentage505025
Presenting symptoms           Neck mass           Epistaxis and nasal           obstruction           Earache and decreased           hearing           Cranial nerve	Number of cases 8 8 2 0	Total percentage5050250
Presenting symptoms           Neck mass           Epistaxis and nasal           obstruction           Earache and decreased           hearing           Cranial nerve           involvement	Number of cases 8 8 2 0	Total percentage5050250
Presenting symptoms Neck mass Epistaxis and nasal obstruction Earache and decreased hearing Cranial nerve involvement Hoarseness of voice	Number of cases 8 8 2 0 4	Total percentage505025000
Presenting symptoms           Neck mass           Epistaxis and nasal           obstruction           Earache and decreased           hearing           Cranial nerve           involvement           Hoarseness of voice           T-Stage	Number of cases 8 8 2 0 4 Number of	Total percentage50502500Total percentage
Presenting symptoms           Neck mass           Epistaxis and nasal obstruction           Earache and decreased hearing           Cranial nerve involvement           Hoarseness of voice           T-Stage	Number of cases 8 8 2 0 4 Number of cases	Total percentage 50 50 25 0 Total percentage
Presenting symptoms         Neck mass         Epistaxis and nasal obstruction         Earache and decreased hearing         Cranial nerve involvement         Hoarseness of voice         T-Stage	Number of cases 8 8 2 0 4 Number of cases 6	Total percentage50502500Total percentage37.5
Presenting symptoms         Neck mass         Epistaxis and nasal obstruction         Earache and decreased hearing         Cranial nerve involvement         Hoarseness of voice         T-Stage	Number of cases 8 8 2 0 4 Number of cases 6 0	Total percentage50502500Total percentage37.50
Presenting symptoms         Neck mass         Epistaxis and nasal obstruction         Earache and decreased hearing         Cranial nerve involvement         Hoarseness of voice         T-Stage         T1         T2         T3	Number of cases 8 8 2 0 0 4 Number of cases 6 0 0	Total percentage50502500Total percentage37.500
Presenting symptoms         Neck mass         Epistaxis and nasal obstruction         Earache and decreased hearing         Cranial nerve involvement         Hoarseness of voice         T-Stage         T1         T2         T3         T4	Number of cases 8 8 2 0 4 Number of cases 6 0 0 0 10	Total percentage           50           50           25           0           0           Total percentage           37.5           0           0           62.5
Presenting symptoms         Neck mass         Epistaxis and nasal obstruction         Earache and decreased hearing         Cranial nerve involvement         Hoarseness of voice         T-Stage         T1         T2         T3         T4         Nodal status	Number of cases 8 8 2 0 4 Number of cases 6 0 0 10 Number of	Total percentage50502500Total percentage37.50062.5Total percentage
Presenting symptoms         Neck mass         Epistaxis and nasal obstruction         Earache and decreased hearing         Cranial nerve involvement         Hoarseness of voice         T-Stage         T1         T2         T3         T4	Number of cases 8 8 2 0 4 Number of cases 6 0 0 10 Number of cases	Total percentage50502500Total percentage37.50062.5Total percentage

Absent	4	25
Tumor extension	Number of	Total percentage
	cases	
Oropharyngeal	6	37,5
extension		
Parapharyngeal	10	62,5
extension		
Bony invasion	0	0
Intracranial extension	0	0
Hypopharyngeal	4	25
extension		
Disease progression	Number of	Total percentage
	cases	
Present	6	37.5
Absent	10	62.5

Table 1

#### **IV. DISCUSSION**

Malignant tumors of the nasopharynx constitute about 18% of the lesions in the head and neck areas. They are difficult to evaluate clinically and frequently, the first manifestation of the disease is cervical lymphadenopathy.

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma has always been distinguished from cancers of other sites on the head and neck by its relatively higher radiocurability. Radiation therapy at high doses tolerated by the normal tissue is the treatment of choice for carcinoma of the nasopharynx.

- In a study by Mu-Tai Lu et al s, 84% were males and 16% were females. The median age was 48 years (range, 25–85 years).
- ✓ In our study cases all the patients were males between 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> decade (median age 45yr, Range-19-70yr).
- ✓ Smoking and tobacco history present in all patients.Perez et al study showed 32% of the patients presented with neck mass, nasal bleeding & obstruction in 20.6% and earache, decreased hearing in 19.3%. Neurologic findings were present in 15.3% at the time of admission .In our study the presenting signs and symptoms are neck mass in 50%, nose bleed in 37.5%, dysphagia in 25%, change in voice in 25%
- ✓ In Qin et al study 45% of the cases were poorly differentiated.
- ✓ In our study histopathololgy of all cases was squamous cell carcinoma, of which 50% of cases were poorly differentiated.

 Cheng's study revealed that T4 stage, N3 status, parapharyngeal extension and infiltration of the clivus were significantly associated with poor prognosis.

In our study 62.5% had parapharyngeal extension, 37.5% had oropharyngeal extension, 25% involved masticator space.

- Mu-Tai Lu et al study 23% cases were of T1, 42% were of T2,7% were of T3 and 28% were of T4 stage. Nodal status was positive in 77% of cases.
- ✓ In our study 62.5% of cases were of T4 and 37.5% were of T1 stage. Nodal status positive in 75% cases.
- Nasopharyngeal carcinoma has relatively higher radiocurability.

- ✓ Results from The University of California–San Francisco (UCSF) indicated that the prescribed doses to the sites of gross disease (including primary nasopharyngeal tumor and involved regional lymph nodes) should be 65–70 Gy.
- ✓ Vikram et al. reported that patients who received a dose to the primary between 67 and 77 Gy had a higher rate of local control compared with dose between 57 and 67 Gy.
- ✓ Yan et al. found a significant improvement in local control and survival among patients who received a 20–50 Gy external boost dose for residual disease after a full 70 Gy radiation therapy.
- ✓ Elective irradiation of the N0 neck has shown to be effective in eliminating subclinical disease. Doses of 50– 60 Gy to the clinically negative neck.
- ✓ Toxicities include mucositis, pharyngitis, xerostomia, hearing impairment, nasopharyngeal stenosis, pain of temporomandibular joints, trismus and chondronecrosis of the torus.
- ✓ Tang et al., Perez et al. and Lee et al. have reported local control may improve with the increase of radiation dose or with concurrent chemotherapy.
- ✓ Geara et al. reported reduced failure and improved survival with induction chemotherapy in combination with radiation therapy.
- ✓ The RTOG reported improved response, reduced failure, improved survival and insubstantial toxicity with radiation therapy and concurrent cisplatin.
- ✓ The Intergroup study 0099 reported 3-year progression free survival rates of 69% versus 24% in two groups treated with CCRT and radiotherapy alone.

In our study 62.5 % of cases received 2-3 cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy. All cases received definitive chemoradiotherapy with total radiotherapy dose of 70Gy in 35#@1.8-2Gy/# along with 6-7 cycles of chemotherapy.

- ✓ Perez et al. demonstrated that the most significant prognostic factors were patient age, stage of the primary tumor, presence of cervical lymphadenopathy.
- ✓ Mu-Tai Lu et al study systemic failure was observed in 16.8%. 9.6% had bone metastases, 6% had liver metastases and 1.2% had lung metastases.

In our study systemic failure was seen in 37.5% of patients, 25% had spine metastases and 12.5% had lung metastases.

## V. CONCLUSION

Chemoradiotherapy is the primary modality for treating cancer Nasopharynx.

#### REFERENCES

- Amornmarn R, Prempree T, Sewchand W, Jaiwatana J. Radiation management of advanced nasopharyngeal cancer. Cancer 1983;52:802-07.
- [2] Erkal HS, Serin M, Cakmak A. Nasopharyngeal carcinomas: analysis of patient, tumor and treatment characteristics determining outcome. Radiother Oncol 2001;61:247–56.

- [3] Meyer JE, Wang CC. Carcinoma of the nasopharynx: Factors influencing results of therapy. Radiology 1971:100:385-88.
- [4] Hoppe RT, Goffinet DR, Bagshaw MA. Carcinoma of the nasopharynx: Eighteen years experience with megavoltage radiation therapy.Cancer 1976; 37:2605-12.
- [5] Van Andel JG, Hopp WCJ. Carcinoma of the nasopharynx: A
- [6] review of 86 cases. Clin Radiol 1982; 33:95-99.
- [7] Mesic JB, Fletcher GH, Goepfert H. Megavoltage irradiation of epithelial tumors of the nasopharynx. Int JRadiat Oncol Biol Phys 1981;7:447-53.
- [8] Vikmm B, Strong EW, Manolatos S, Mishra UB. Improved survival in carcinoma of the nasopharynx. Head Neck Surg 1984; 7:123-28.
- [9] Amornmarn R, Prempree T, Sewchand W, Jaiwatana J. Radiation management of advanced nasopharyngeal cancer. Cancer 1983; 52:802-807.
- [10] Huang SC, Chu GL. Nasopharyngeal cancer: Study 11. Int JRadiar Oncol Biol Phys 1981; 7:713-16.
- [11] Payne DG. Carcinoma of the nasopharynx. J Otolaryngol 1983; 12: 197-202.
- [12] Levendag PC, Huygen PLM, Kazem I, Van der Broek P, Sloof JL.Malignant tumours of the nasopharynx: Retrospective review of 74 cases.Clin Radiol 1983; 34:451-58.
- [13] Mu-Tai Lu et al., Jpn J Clin Oncol 2003;33(10)501-08.
- [14] Perez CA, Devineni VR, Marcial-Vega V, Marks JE, Simpson JR, Kucik N. Carcinoma of the nasopharynx: factors affecting prognosis. Int J RadiatOncol Biol Phys 1992;23:271–80
- [15] Qin et al . NPC TREATED BY RADIATION. CANCER vol 61,.March 15 1988;
- [16] Cheng SH, Yen KL, Jian JJ, Tsai SY, Chu NM, Leu SY, et al. Examining prognostic factors and patterns of failure in nasopharyngeal carcinoma following concomitant radiotherapy and chemotherapy: impact on future clinical trials. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001;50:717–26
- [17] Lederman, M. Cancer of the Nasopharynx: Its National History and Treatment. Springfield: Charles C Thomas, 1961
- [18] Vikram B, Mishra UB, Strong EW, Manolatos S. Patterns of failure in carcinoma of the nasopharynx: I. Failure at the primary site. Int J RadiatOncol Biol Phys 1985;11:1455–9
- [19] Tang SG, Lin FJ, Chen MS, Liaw CC, Leung WM, Hong JH. Prognostic factors of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. A multivariate analysis. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1990;19:1143–9.
- [20] Lee N, Xia P, Quivey JM, Sultanem K, Poon I, Akazawa C, et al. Intensity modulated radiotherapy in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an update of the UCSF experience. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;53:12–22.
- [21] Yan JH, Qin DX, Hu YH, Cai WM, Xu GZ, Wu XL, et al. Management of local residual primary lesion of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC): Are higher doses beneficial? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1989;16:1465–9.
- [22] Scanlon, P. W., Rhodes, R. E., Jr., Woolner, L. N., Devine, K. D., and McBean, J. B.: Cancer of the

nasopharynx, 142 patients treated in the 11 year period 1950-1960. Amer. J. Roentgen. 1967;99:319-25.

- [23] Sanguineti G, Geara FB, Garden AS, Tucker SL, Ang KK, Morrison WH,et al. Carcinoma of the nasopharynx treated by radiotherapy alone: determinants of local and regional control. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1997;37:985–96.
- [24] Lee N, Xia P, Quivey JM, Sultanem K, Poon I, Akazawa C, et al. Intensitymodulated radiotherapy in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an update of the UCSF experience. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;53:12–22.
- [25] Hunt MA, Zelefsky MJ, Wolden S, Chui CS, LoSasso T, Rosenzweig K, et al. Treatment planning and delivery of intensity-modulated radiation therapy for primary nasopharynx cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001;49:623–32
- [26] Nowak P, Dieren E, Koste JS, Est H, Heijmen B, Levendag P. Treatment portals for elective radiotherapy of the neck: an inventory in The Netherlands.Radiother Oncol 1997;43:81–6.
- [27] Geara FB, Glisson BS, Sanguineti G, Tucker SL, Garden AS, Ang KK, et al. Induction chemotherapy followed by radiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone in patients with advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: Results of a matched cohort study. Cancer 1997;79:1279–86.
- [28] Al-Sarraf M, Pajak TF, Cooper JS, Mohiuddin M, Herskovic A, Ager PJ.Chemoradiotherapy in patients with locally advanced naspharyngeal carcinoma: A radiation therapy oncology group study. J Clin Oncol 1990;8:1342-51.

TIRAS