ISSN: 2394-4404

Ramanuja's Liberation – A Study

Himadri Sarma

Research Scholar of Sanskrit Dept, Gauhati University

Abstract: When the people of ancient time realized that the world is full of misery, pain, sufferings, sorrow etc, only these pains are permanent and happiness is temporarythen the philosophers, scientists started to think that is there any means which can remove our pain and sufferings etc...? ..is there any supreme goal in human life? To answer these questions many philosophies were originated. They found that liberation is the supreme goal of our life. Every Indian philosophy accepts liberation as the supreme goal of life except Carvaka philosophy. Ramanuja's philosophy also accepts liberation as the summum bonum of life. But his view is little bit different than others. So in my paper I will focus on the view of Ramanuja regarding liberation.

Aims and objectives:

- ✓ What is liberation according to Ramanuja?
- ✓ How it differs from Sankaracharya belonging to the same Vedanta philosophy?

I. INTRODUCTION

The philosophy of $Ved\bar{a}$ nta is enshrined in the Upaniṣads, the $G\bar{i}$ ta and the Brahmas \bar{u} tra, which together constitutes its foundation and supreme authority. 'Ved \bar{a} nta' literally means the end of the vedas. Primarily the word stood for the Upaniṣads though afterwards its denotation widened to include all thoughts developed out of the Upaniṣads.

The Upaniṣads were many in number and developed in the different vedic schools at different times and places. The problems discussed and solutions offered presented differences in spite of a unity of general outlook. Theneed was felt, therefore in course of time for systematising the different teachings so as to bring out the harmony underlying them $B\bar{a}$ dar \bar{a} yaṇa composed 'Brahmas \bar{u} tra'. But his s \bar{u} tras being brief, were liable to different interpretations. Various commentaries thus came to be written to elaborate the doctrines of the vedanta in their own light. Each tried to justify its position as the only one consistent with the revealed texts and the $S\bar{u}$ tras. The author of each of these chief commentaries became the founder of a particular school of Ved \bar{a} nta. Thus we have the schools of Samkara, $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja, Madhva, Vallava, Nimb \bar{a} rka and many others.

The most common question on which the schools of the $ved\bar{a}$ nta are divided is what is the nature of the relation between the self and God (Brahman). In this context $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja hold that these two are related like part and whole, this view may be called qualified monism (Viśis $t\bar{a}$ dvaita). $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja's philosophy is called as Viśis $t\bar{a}$ dvaita philosophy. His commentary on Brahmas \bar{u} tra is known as \bar{s} r \bar{t} bh \bar{a} sva.

The word 'Vi \dot{s} is $t\bar{a}$ dvaita' signifies that the ultimate reality is an integral whole consisting of the cit or the sentient beings and acit or insentient matter, both of which constitute the body of $\bar{I}\dot{s}$ vara.

Like other philosophies $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja also accepts liberation as the summum bonum of our life. But his view point is different. To understand $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja's concept on liberation we must know his concept on other features like God (Brahman), Self ($J\bar{\iota}$ va or Cit), relation between God and Self, Matter (Acit).

II. CONCEPT OF GOD

In $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja's concept of God we may notice three points of importance. First, God is identified with the absolute. He is Brahman and Brahman must be a Savisesa or a qualified unity. When the Upanisads deny the qualities of Brahman, they really mean that God is free from all bad qualities or imperfections. God stands for the whole universe and matter and souls form His body, He being their soul. As the absolute, the ultimate unity -in and trinity the concrete whole, God may be viewed through two stages – as cause and as effect. During the state of dissolution God remains as the cause with subtle matter and unembodied souls forming His body. The whole Universe lies latent in Him. During the state of creation the subtle matter becomes gross and the unembodied souls become embodied according to their karmas. In this effect state the Universe becomes manifested. The former state is called the causal state of Brahman while the later state is the effect state of Brahman.

Secondly God is considered as the immanent inner controller, the qualified substance who is in Himself changeless and is the unmoved mover of this world process. In His essence He does not suffer change which is said to fall to the lot of His attributes or modes only. $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja makes no distinction between an attribute and a mode. Matter and Souls may be called either attributes or modes. They are absolutely dependent on God and are inseperable from Him. God is the unchanging controller of all changes and the limitations of matter as well as the miseries and the imperfections of the finite souls do not affect the essence of God.

Thirdly, God is also transcendent. He is the perfect personality. He has a divine body. Embodiment is not the cause of bondage. It is karma which is the cause of bondage. Hence, God, though embodied is not bound, for He is the Lord of karma. God as the perfect personality is devoid of all demerits and posseses all merits. He has infinite knowledge and bliss. He has a divine body and is the creator, preserver and destroyer of this Universe.

III. CONCEPT OF SELF OR JĪVA OR CIT

 $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja gives a distinctive doctrine of $J\bar{t}va$. Based on the teachings of the Upaniṣads, the $Ved\bar{a}$ nta – Sutras and the Bhagavad Gita he establishes that the $J\bar{t}va$ or the individual Self is an eternal spiritual entity and is distinct from the supreme self or Brahman. It is beyond creation and destruction. In the state of creation, it is embodied according to its karmas, while in the state of dissolution and in the state of liberation, it remains in itself.

Though it is eternal, real, unique, uncreated and imperishable, yet it is finite and individual, being only a part or a mode of God.

It is regarded as atomic in size. Though it is really subjected to earthly existence and to the various imperfections, defects and miseries which the worldly life implies, yet these do not affect its essence. The soul is different from its body, sense-organs, mind, vital breaths and even cognition. The soul

is conceived as a real knower, a real agent and a real enjoyer. The soul is a self-luminous substance as well as self-conscious subject. There innumerable individual souls.

 $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja describes three classes of souls. To the first belong the ever free (Nityamukta) souls which were never bound. They are ever free from karma and prakrti and live in Vaikuntha in constant service of Lord.

To the second belong the released or liberated (Mukta) souls who were once bound but who obtained liberation through their action, knowledge and devotion.

To the third belong the bound (baddha) souls who were wondering in sams \bar{a} ra on account of ignorance and bad karmas. These are further divided into four classes – superhuman, human, animal and immobile.

IV. RELATION BETWEEN SELF AND GOD

 $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja explains the relation of $J\bar{i}va(Self)$ to Brahman (God) by adopting the metaphysical category of substance and attribute and the concept Apṛthaksiddhi. According to $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja substance and attribute are distinct but inseparable. On the basis of this metaphysical concept, $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja asserts that $J\bar{i}va$ is different as well as non different from Brahman. Brahman and $J\bar{i}va$ as $am\acute{s}\bar{i}$ and $am\acute{s}a$ or substance and attribute are distinct, in the same way as the light radiating is different from a luminous thing, spark from fire. It is on the basis of this that the Upaniṣadic texts speak of difference between the two. But at the same time, substance and attribute being inseparable, they constitute one entity as an integral and complex whole. Brahman as integrally related to $J\bar{i}vas$ is $aVi\acute{s}$ iṣta entity and as such it is one. It is in this sense that the texts speak of non-difference between $J\bar{i}va$ and Brahman.

Regarding the relation between $J\bar{\iota}va(Self)$ and Brahman(God), $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja has used many seemingly contradictory expressions. He vehemently criticizes the views of identity (Abheda) of difference (Bheda) and of identity and difference (Bhed \bar{a} bheda) also. Some people believe that $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja in a sense advocates all these relations.

But we can inter-relate these three kinds of contradictory opinions taking the relations of $J\bar{\iota}va$ and Brahman in amsa and amsi. There is difference between $J\bar{\iota}va$ and Brahman. Brahman is complete, endless, but Jiva is incomplete and anu. Again $J\bar{\iota}va$ and Brahman are indifferent, because Brahman is the soul of $J\bar{\iota}va$. $R\bar{\alpha}m\bar{\alpha}nuja$ says that there is bheda and abheda relation between Jiva and Brahman. So it is said that $R\bar{\alpha}m\bar{\alpha}nuja$ is the supporter of Bheda, Abheda, Bhed $\bar{\alpha}$ bheda these three types of relation.

So it may not be wrong in saying that there are these three types of relations between God and Self.

V. CONCEPT OF MATTER OR ACIT

According to $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja Acit or unconscious substance is of three kinds – Prakrti or Mi \dot{s} hrasattva, Nitya -vibh \bar{u} ti or

 \bar{S} huddhasattva and $K\bar{a}$ la or Sattva \bar{s} hunya. Of these prakrtiis ordinary matter which makes saṃs \bar{a} ra. It has three qualities of sattva, rajas and tamas. It forms the body of God and is more completely dependent on God than souls who have freedom of will. At the time of creation, the process of world evolution starts from prakrti. There are some differences between $S\bar{a}$ mkhya conception of prakrti and $R\bar{a}$ m \bar{a} nuja's conception of it. Sattva, rajas and tamas are the constitutive elements of prakriti in $S\bar{a}$ mkhya, but here they are merely qualities of prakriti. In $S\bar{a}$ mkhya, these three elements can never remain separate, but here Nityavibh \bar{u} ti is made up of pure sattva. In $S\bar{a}$ mkhya, is infinite, but here prakrti is limited. In $S\bar{a}$ mkhya prakriti is independent, but here prakrti is absolutely dependent on God and is inseparable from Him. It is also called $L\bar{l}\bar{a}$ vibh \bar{u} ti because creation is His sport.

Nityavibhuti or \tilde{S} huddhasattva is made up of pure sattva and is called ajada or immaterial like Dharmabh \bar{u} taj $\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ na. The ideal world and the bodies of God and eternal and liberated souls are made of this stuff.

Kala or time is another unconscious substance and is given a separate status. It does not possess consciousness and form. It exists in this world as well as in the supreme abode.

These three kinds of matter as well as three kinds of $J\bar{\iota}$ vas constitute the body of God Viṣṇu.

According to $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja the matter is not an appearance like \hat{S} aṃkara, it is real and the elements of this are also real. $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja rejects the Maya of \hat{S} aṃkara So he gave seven an \bar{u} papattis against $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ vada.

VI. CONCEPT OF LIBERATION

Human being has four types of Purusartha simply called as Puruṣ \bar{a} rthasatustaya viz. Dharma, artha, k \bar{a} ma and Moksha. Moksha is the ultimate aim of our life. Every school of Indian philosophy except $C\bar{a}_{rv}\bar{a}_{ka}$ accept liberation. They showed different paths to attain liberation but their aim is same. Liberation is about to get relief from the bondage of the worldly objects. According to $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja Bondage is the embodied state of the soul where it wrongly identifies itself with the body and its different states and organs. Individual souls remain in their essential nature forming the divine body along with unconscious matter at the time of dissolution. But at the time of creation these souls become associated with particular bodies in accordance with merit or demerit acquired through action performed during previous embodied existences. $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja avoids the question as to why pure disembodied souls become embodied in the very first occasion and simply states that the relation between soul and its past deeds is beginingless. Bondage is the state here the soul out of ignorance of its essential pure nature thinks that it is identical with body it is in. The embodied soul reaps the fruits of its past actions and may have to pass through several births to get its entire quota of fruits of actions exhausted.

The souls are bound on account of their ignorance and karma. Due to its karmas, the soul becomes associated with particular body, senses, mind and life. For obtaining release from Saṃs \bar{a} ra the soul has to remove its karmic obstacles. And this can be done by a harmonious combination of action and knowledge. The duties enjoined by Veda, if rightly performed, help the soul in removing its karmic obstacles. But $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja insists that the karmas should be performed in an absolutely disinterested manner simply to please God. As ignorance is the cause of bondage, naturally knowledge will be the means to Liberation. The immediate intuitive knowledge of God, the highest reality is the cause of liberation according to $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja. He advocates the necessity of a harmonious combination of both action (karma) and knowledge (jnana). The karmak $ar{a}$ nda and the J $ar{n}ar{a}$ nak $ar{a}$ nda of the Vedas are to be treated as two interrelated aspects of one method. The vedic actions, if properly performed, help in removing the effects of past actions which stand as obstacles in the path of salvation. The soul with then realise that mere performance of actions enjoined in the Devas is not enough for attaining Liberation. It has to acquire the right knowledge about the nature of god along with the knowledge that matter and souls form the body of God. Such knowledge one can attain by studying the $J\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ nak \bar{a} nda of Ved \bar{a} nta. R \bar{a} m \bar{a} nuja admits that knowledge is the immediate cause of liberation but also reminds that such knowledge is not ordinary knowledge of Vedanta for then anyone who studies $Ved\bar{a}$ nta will attain liberation.

Real knowledge which leads to liberation is identical with highest devotion. Such devotion is attained through self–surrender and constant remembrance of God as the only object of devotion. Performance of actions enjoined in the Vedas and ordinary knowledge lead to realization of ordinary devotion, called prapatti which means flinging oneself the absolute mercy of God. Prapatti is a means to realise devotion of the absolute type which $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja identifies with pure knowledge God. Such immediate and intuitive knowledge is the direct cause of liberation, though it can not be acquired through devotion alone.

 $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuj $\bar{a}c\bar{a}$ rya disagrees to the veiw that on attainment of liberation the individual self becomes merged in God. Souls in their essential nature are identical to the Absolute Substance of which they are simply modes. But they are distinct individuals and their individuality persists even in the liberated state. Liberation means direct intuitive realisation of the selfabout its own essential and pure nature. Such realisation is possible only after all the fruits of its past deeds are exhausted. According to Ramanuja there is no provision of $j\bar{i}$ vanmukti for the embodied soul. It has to be free all types of association to the body so that it can attain liberation.

Divine grace has been conceived as an essential factor for liberation. The liberated soul become similar to God though not identical to him. The released souls dwell in direct communion with God and enjoy infinite consciousness and infinite bliss.

VII. COMPARISON BETWEEN \hat{S} AmKAR \overline{a} C \overline{a} RYA AND R \overline{a} M \overline{a} NUI \overline{a} C \overline{a} RYA

Rāmānujācārya admits the world as real while Śaṃkara says —ब्रह्म सत्यं जगत् मिथ्या। That means Brahman is the ultimate reality. The world is false. To show this falsity of the world he takes help of Maya, which is considered as the magical power of Brahman. But Rāmānuja has raised seven fold objections against the Māyā theory of Śaṃkara's Vedānta. These seven charges are — Āśrayānupapattiḥ, Tirodhānānupapattiḥ, Svarupānupapattiḥ, Pramānānupapattiḥ, Nivartakānupapattiḥ and Nivrtyānupapattih.

According to \vec{S} amkara the Self is the reflection of Brahman, It has no existence. In \vec{S} amkara's philosophy Brahman and $J\vec{\iota}$ va are called indifferent. But accordingly to $R\vec{a}$ m \vec{a} nuja there are differences between Brahman and $J\vec{\iota}$ va.

In \hat{S} amkara's philosophy ultimate reality is Nirguṇa, but in $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja's philosophy it is Saguṇa.

In Samkara's philosophy we have found God as false, it has no existence. Samkara does not admit any distinction in Brahman while $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja admits swagatabheda in Brahman.

According to \hat{S} amkara liberated soul become identical with Brahman but according to $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja the liberated soul does not become identical with Brahman but only similar to Brahman.

I have noticed these differences between $S_{amkar}\bar{a}c\bar{a}_{rya}$ and $R\bar{a}_{m}\bar{a}_{nujac}\bar{a}_{rya}$.

VIII. CONCLUSION

From the above discussion I have found that there is only one reality and that is Brahman which is Saguṇa. And as a part of this reality $J\bar{\iota}va$ and Jagat are also real. I have found one more thing that we are here because of our karma and ignorance. When we will be able in removing the karmic obstacles and ignorance then we will attain liberation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Śrīnivāsachari.N.P, The philosophy of Viśiṣtādvaita, 1943, edn. second.
- [2] Chatterjee. Satischandra and Datta. Dhīrendramohan, An introduction to Indian philosophy, Rupa publications India Pvt. Ltd, 2007, Vol-II.
- [3] \vec{S} harma. Chandradhar, A critical survey of Indian philosophy, Motil \vec{a} l Ban \vec{a} rasid \vec{a} ss publishers private limited, Delhi, edn-First.
- [4] Hiriyanna. M, Outlines of Indian philosophy, Motil \bar{a} l Ban \bar{a} rasid \bar{a} ss publishers.
- [5] Gupta Sen. Anim \bar{a} , A critical study of the philosophy of $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ nuja, The Chowkhamba Sanskrit series office, $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}$ nas \bar{i} ,1967, edn. First
- [6] Baruah. Gir**ī**sh, Bh**ā**ratiya Dar**ś**anat Abhumuki, Ambik**ā**pad Chaudhuri B**ā**ni Prak**āś**.
- [7] Bhattāchārya. Jyotṣña, Bhāratīya Darśan, Dr. Golok Chandra Bhattāchārya.