Training Characteristics And Its Dimensions In BPO Industry

Dr. Roji George

Dean, Saintgits Eng College

Mrs. P. Priya

Research Scholar, Bharathiar University

Abstract: The paper examines the various training characteristics prevailing in BPO industry in Chennai. A sample of 440 respondents has been taken for the study. Descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, ANOVA, Paired t-test, Correlation and Multiple Regression have been applied to analyse the data. The study has revealed that the firm should concentrate on providing quality inputs through refresher training, so that effectiveness of training will be good and enable the employees to achieve their performance targets. Training characteristics are imperative for employee retention. Effective training communicates the overall strategy so that every person in the organisation understands his role. This enables the employees to have an acquaintance towards the organisation. In turn, the organisation retains the loyal employees and produce maximum results.

Keywords: Training Characteristics

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays organizations realize that if the employees undergo continuous learning and development training, it will help the organization to achieve its goals and lead to success. In the competitive business world, all the companies have to think about training their human resource to survive and to face a healthy competition prevailing in various firms. Training helps to develop knowledge, skills and even change an individual's attitude. Almost all the firms are very much focused in imparting knowledge to their employees, and promoting their internal talent by giving training to them. Training is important as it enables the employees to enhance their abilities, develop skills, knowledge and drive them to success in their process. The idea of personal development leads to beliefs, values, wisdom, compassion, emotional maturity, ethics, integrity – and the most important of all, to help others to identify, aspire to achieve and to fulfill their own unique individual personal potential.

A. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

Employee retention is a volunteer move by organizations to create an environment which engages employees over a period of time. Training characteristics have a great impact on attrition rate as any dissatisfying factors experienced by

employees have a direct impact on attrition and hence, work atmosphere and training plays a vital role in any organisation. Hence this study focuses on Training characteristics prevailing in an organisation.

B. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

Due to the severe competition prevailing in BPO industry, organizations have to concentrate more on productivity. Most of the employees are not completely trained to perform their given task. As a result of this, the employee over a period of time fails to perform, gets de-motivated and ultimately moves out of the organisation. This study focuses on the training characteristics prevailing in BPO industry which make the employees to perform better and the organisation can retain those employees for a long period.

C. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The study has focused on the following objective:

✓ To study the training characteristics and its dimensions.

ISSN: 2394-4404

D. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

a. PERIOD AND AREA OF THE STUDY

The period considered for the study has been 2015-2016. The study is pertaining to Chennai City only.

b. SAMPLE SIZE AND SAMPLING METHOD

For the purpose of determination of sample size, Taro Yamani method has been employed and random sampling method has been adopted for the selection of respondents in this study.

The Sample size arrived at is 440 and the respondents are randomly selected from the popular BPO firms in Chennai city.

c. DATA AND DATA SOURCES

The study is mainly based on primary data. A pilot study has been conducted and the primary data have been collected from 100 respondents. The results of the pilot study have necessitated certain changes for the final structuring of the Questionnaire meant for 440 respondents chosen, from the top BPOs in Chennai city such as Cognizant, Tata Consultancy Services, Accenture PLC and Hindustan Computer technologies limited. Necessary secondary data have been collected from the journals, magazines and websites.

d. STATISTICAL TOOLS USED

- ✓ Descriptive Statistics: Mean and Standard Deviation
- ✓ Statistical tests: ANOVA, Paired t-test, Correlation and Multiple Regression.

E. HYPOTHESIS

For the purpose of study the following null hypothesis has been framed.

✓ Training effectiveness is independent of organizational characteristics, employees' attitude for training and types of training.

F. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The results of this study would be of immense help to identify the dimensions of training characteristics in BPO industry.

The objective of the study deals with different aspects viz.,

G. TRAINING CHARACTERISTICS

Training characteristics have a specific number of statements with five point scale for ratings by the respondents. The ratings have been assigned as 5 for "strongly agree", 4 for "agree", 3 for "neutral", 2 for "disagree", and 1 for "strongly disagree". The minimum score is assigned as 1 and the maximum score is assigned as 5. High score indicates more weightage to the training characteristics. It indicates positive

attitude of the respondents towards the Organization. Thus, overall score for training characteristics is computed and taken for further analysis under various headings namely new hire training, refresher training, and effectiveness of training programme. The training characteristics of BPO organization have been analysed with personal factors, namely, gender, age, educational level, salary and languages known and the job related factors, namely, designation, area of work, shift and duration in present organization.

New Hire Training	N	Min.	Max.	Mean	S.D
Induction training is a well					
planned exercise in the	440	1.00	5.00	3.9250	.80506
organization					
Training environment is	440	1.00	5.00	3.9841	.82513
good	770	1.00	3.00	3.7041	.02313
Training room has been					
equipped with all required	440	1.00	5.00	3.9977	77087
resources required for	440	1.00	3.00	3.9911	.11901
training					
Job aids are available on the					
job to support what	440	1 00	5.00	3.6659	.83474
employees have learnt in	440	1.00	5.00	3.0039	.034/4
training					

Source: Primary Data

Table 1.1: Training Characteristics – New Hire Training-Descriptive Statistics

Refresher Training	N	Min.	Max.	Mean	S.D
Training involves Ice breaker sessions	440	1.00	5.00	3.6000	.85324
Cross training is done efficiently	440	1.00	5.00	3.6386	.81493
Real time scenarios and case studies have been included as a part of training	440	1.00	5.00	3.5523	.93623
On the job training method is more effective	440	1.00	5.00	3.7250	.80109
Off the job training method is more effective	440	1.00	5.00	3.3114	.90348

Source: Primary data

Table 1.2: Refresher Training -Descriptive Statistics

Tuble 1.2. Regression Training Descriptive Statistics						
Impact of Training	N	Min.	Max.	Mean	S.D	
Programmes						
I have gained Confidence building	440	1.00	5.00	3.8477	.73444	
I am able to develop skills	440	1.00	5.00	3.6659	.84018	
I have learnt Excellent Communication Skills	440	1.00	5.00	3.6227	.89465	
There is an opportunity to develop my Marketing Skills	440	1.00	5.00	3.2727	1.02505	
Opportunity for personal growth and development in my job position.	440	1.00	5.00	3.6091	.94105	

Source: Primary data

Table 1.3: Effectiveness of Training Programmes -Descriptive Statistics

The table depicts the mean score to be more than 3.5 revealing the employees' agreeability for the statements which shown in the table. And concluded that to build

confidence level followed to develop skills in an employee, and inturn to achieve the corporate goal, the organization has to conduct various training programmes for their employees.

H. ANOVA AND PAIRED T-TEST

The analysis is preceded with the 3 factors of Training characteristics identified, namely: New Hire Training, Refresher Training and Effectiveness of Training Programmes. ANOVA and t-test have been employed separately to test the difference between personal factors and Training characteristics (3 factors) and between Job factors and the Training characteristics (3 factors)

Ho "The average score of New Hire Training, Refresher Training and Effectiveness of Training Programmes do not vary significantly among the employees for the selected personal factors".

personal	lactors .	1	ı			1	l	
Training	,							
Charact	Personal	Group	Mea	e D	No	T	TC	C:a
eristics	factors	S	n	S.D	No	T	F	Sig.
		Male	52.7	7.68	244			
	Gender	Male	5 52.6	7.08	244	0.1		
		Female		7.42	106	0.1 60		Ma
	Profile	18–20	4	7.43	196	60		Ns
			50	7.00	40			
		years	52	7.02	40	ł		
		21–25	53.0	7.40	272			
		years	7	7.42	272			
	A	Above	50.1				0.84	
	Age	25	52.1	0	120			NY-
	Profile	years Less	4	8	128		7	Ns
		than	48.8					
		10000	7	8.41	31			
		10000	/	0.41	31			
		10000	52.9					
		15000		7.09	156			
		15000	6	7.09	156	ł		
		13001	52.9					
		20000	32.9	6.78	103			
		20001	3	0.70	103			
		20001	53.1					
		25000	4	7.14	73		7	
		Above		,,,,,			2.17	
	Salary	25000	53	9.11	77		4	Ns
New hire	Ť	TOTA						
training		L	52.7	7.56	440			
			45.2					
		Male	9	7.82	244			
	Gender		45.4			0.2		
	Profile	Female	8	7.95	196	55		Ns
		18-20	45.8					
		years	8	8.92	40			
		21-25	45.1					
		years	7	7.38	272			
		Above						
	Age	25	45.6				0.25	
	Profile	years	6	8.55	128		8	Ns
		Less						
		than	4.4	6.07	21			
		10000	44	6.97	31	1		
		10000	43.9					
		15000	43.9	7.22	156			
		15000	/	1.44	130	1		
		- 15001	45.6					
		20000	9	7.76	103			
		20001			- 55			
			45.9					
		25000	6	7.77	73			
		Above	47.7			1	3.51	
Refreshe	Salary	25000	9	9.13	77		7	**
r		TOTA	45.3					
training		L	7	7.87	440			
Effective			42.3					
ness of	Gender	Male	5	7.07	244	0.9		
training	Profile	Female	43.0	7.23	196	97		Ns

program			4					
mes		18-20	42.9					
		years	5	7.48	40			
		21-25	42.1					
		years	5	6.72	272			
		Above						
	Age	25	43.6				1.90	
	Profile	years	3	7.84	128		8	Ns
		Less						
		than	40.5					
		10000	8	7.11	31			
		10000						
		-						
		15000	41	6.41	156			
		15001						
		-	43.2					
		20000	4	7.31	103			
		20001						
		-	43.5					
		25000	9	6.26	73			
		Above	45.1					
	Salary	25000	8	8.2	77		5.89	**
		TOTA	42.6					
		L	6	7.15	440			
S	ource- Comp	outed ** sig	gnificant	at 1% le	vel Ns: N	lot signi	ficant	

Table 1.4

The table 1.4 shows that with respect to the age profile, there is no significant difference in the mean score of New hire training, Refresher training and Effectiveness of training programmes. Hence, the null hypothesis is accepted for the above factors. In respect of salary, there is no significant difference in the mean score of New hire training. Hence, the null hypothesis is accepted for the above factor. However, with regard to salary, there is a significant difference in the score of Referesher training and Effectiveness of training programme at 1 per cent level and thereby, the null hypothesis is rejected. The paired t-test result shows there is no significant difference in the mean score for the above mentioned factors.

For this purpose of analysis, the job related factors, namely, designation, area of work, shift in organization and duration in the present organization are considered and compared with the training characteristics.

Ho "The average score of New Hire Training, Refresher Training and Effectiveness of Training Programmes do not vary significantly among the employees for the selected job factors".

Training	Job							
Character	Factor							
istics	s	Groups	Mean	S.D	No	T	F	Sig.
		Process						
		Analyst	52.92	7.25	252			
		Senior						
		Process						
	Design	Analyst	52.9	7.61	98		0.65	Ns
	ation	Team					6	INS
		Leader	51.76	8.93	46			ĺ
		Superviso						
		r	53.73	8.87	15			
		Manager	51.07	7.15	29			
		Financial						
New hire		Accounti						
training		ng	51.53	7.94	73			
training		Customer						
		Services	53.13	8.03	234			
	Area of	Procurem					1.48	
	work	ent	51.83	5.01	12		8	Ns
	WOIK	Human						
		Resource	55.29	7.01	21			
		Applicati						
		ons						
		Process	52.69	5.92	74	1		
		Others	50.46	7.15	26			
	Duratio	Less than					2.32	
	n in the	6 months	54.17	8.62	95	l	8	Ns

Present 1-2 years 51.25 7.41 76 1-2 years 53.05 6.68 153 99 10.1			C			1		1	
Area of training				51.25	7.41	76			
Nor			_						
More		anon							
Process				32.34	7.13	77			
Process Analyst At At At At At At At					10.1				
Design ation				49 94		17			
Process Senior Process Area of work Process Analyst Af. 26 R.2 98									
Design ation					7100				
Design ation Team Analyst 46.26 8.2 98 9.87 8 Ns				44.77	7.63	252			
Design ation Team Leader 46.24 7.88 46 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8			Senior						
Refresher truining			Process						
Team		Design	Analyst	46.26	8.2	98		0.87	No
Refresher training		ation						8	145
Refresher training				46.24	7.88	46			
Manager 46.03 8.02 29			_						
Financial Accounting 43.79 6.55 73 73 74 74.86									
Accountial				46.03	8.02	29			
Refresher training									
Area of work Area of Training Area of Trainin				13.70	6.55	73			
Area of work training				43.79	0.55	13			
Area of work Procurement 46 7.1 12 1.14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				45 71	8 25	234			
Area of work Human Resource 47.86 6.06 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				10171	0.20	20.			
Human Resource 47.86 6.06 21 Applications Process 45.3 7.09 74 Applications 44.73 10.9 26 Applications 44.81 8.38 95 Applications 44.81 8.38 95 Applications 44.81 44.8				46	7.1	12			Ns
Resource 47.86 6.06 21	D.e1	work			1			1	110
Applications Process 44.73 10.9 26				47.86	6.06	21			
Duratio n in the present organis ation	u anning								
Duratio n in the present organis ation					1				
Duratio n in the present organis ation			Process	45.3	7.09	74			
Duratio ni the present organis ation			Others			26			
Duratio ni the present organis ation									
Duration in the present organis ation									
n in the present organis ation 1-2 years 43.36 9.23 76 6.63 7 1-2 years 44.97 5.77 153 3-5 years 44.45 8.01 99 More than 5 years 44.18 9.05 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1			6 months	48.81	8.38	95			
n in the present organis ation 1-2 years 43.36 9.23 76 6.63 7 1-2 years 44.97 5.77 153 3-5 years 44.45 8.01 99 More than 5 years 44.18 9.05 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1		D							
Present organis ation									
Total Superviso Financial Accounting Program mes Area of Training Program mes Total Accounting Process 44.35 5.18 12 Applications Area of Work Duration in the present organis ation Amount Accounting			1 year	43.36	9.23	76			**
### Accounts of Procurem ent 43.5 5.18 12 44.8			1-2 years	44 97	5 77	153		7	
More than 5 years									
Customer Services 42.36 7.65 234 8.7 2.24 4.8 4.8 7.86 9.5 1.8 2.99 4.8 4.8 6.93 2.99 4.8 6.62 2.9 6.04 7.8 7.8 6.02 7.8			3–5 years	44.45	8.01	99			
Process Proc									
TOTAL 45.37 7.87 440									
Process Analyst 41.8 6.93 252									
Design ation				45.37	7.87	440			
Design ation				/11 Q	6.03	252			
Design ation				41.0	0.55	232			
Design ation Team Leader 43.04 7.77 46 7 7									r
Area of Training Program mes		Design		44.2	7.47	98		2.99	
Leader 43.04 7.77 46 Superviso r 46.07 5.26 15 Manager 42.48 6.62 29				2	,,	,,,			*
Superviso r 46.07 5.26 15				43.04	7.77	46		'	
T									
Manager 42.48 6.62 29			•	46.07	5.26	15			
Accounti ng			Manager	42.48		29	1	1	
Effectiven ess of Training Program mes Area of work Process 42.36 7.65 234 Human Resource 46.62 6.16 21 Applicati on Process 42.99 6.04 74 Others 44.38 8.7 26 Less than 6 months 44.81 7.86 95 Duratio n in the present organis ation More than 5 years 41.94 9.15 17 TOTAL 42.66 7.15 440 Source - Computed ** significant at 1% level, * significant at 5% level Ns: Not	I								
Customer Services 42.36 7.65 234 Procurem ent 43.5 5.18 12 2.24 4 Human Resource 46.62 6.16 21 Applicati ons Process 42.99 6.04 74 Others 44.38 8.7 26			Financial						
Area of Training Program mes									
Area of Training Program mes			Accounti ng	41.37	6.02	73			
Area of work Ent 43.5 5.18 12 2.24 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			Accounti ng Customer						
Program mes			Accounti ng Customer Services						
Resource	ess of	Area of	Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem	42.36	7.65	234		2.24	
Applicati ons	ess of Training		Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem ent	42.36	7.65	234			*
Ons Process 42.99 6.04 74	ess of Training Program		Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem ent Human	42.36 43.5	7.65 5.18	234			*
Process 42.99 6.04 74	ess of Training Program		Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem ent Human Resource	42.36 43.5	7.65 5.18	234			*
Others	ess of Training Program		Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem ent Human Resource Applicati	42.36 43.5	7.65 5.18	234			*
Less than 6 months 44.81 7.86 95	ess of Training Program		Accounting Customer Services Procurement Human Resource Applications	42.36 43.5 46.62	7.65 5.18 6.16	234 12 21			*
Duratio n in the present 1-2 years 42.14 5.87 153 9	ess of Training Program		Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem ent Human Resource Applicati ons Process	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99	7.65 5.18 6.16	234 12 21 74			*
Duratio n in the present 1-2 years 42.14 5.87 153 3.43 9	ess of Training Program		Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem ent Human Resource Applicati ons Process Others	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99	7.65 5.18 6.16	234 12 21 74			*
n in the present organis ation	ess of Training Program		Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem ent Human Resource Applicati ons Process Others Less than	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99 44.38	7.65 5.18 6.16 6.04 8.7	234 12 21 74 26			*
present organis 1-2 years 42.14 5.87 153 9 ** organis ation More than 5 years 41.94 9.15 17 TOTAL 42.66 7.15 440 Source- Computed ** significant at 1% level, * significant at 5% level Ns: Not	ess of Training Program	work	Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem ent Human Resource Applicati ons Process Others Less than 6 months	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99 44.38	7.65 5.18 6.16 6.04 8.7	234 12 21 74 26			*
organis ation	ess of Training Program	work Duratio	Accounti ng Customer Services Procurem ent Human Resource Applicati ons Process Others Less than 6 months 6months-	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99 44.38 44.81 41.05	7.65 5.18 6.16 6.04 8.7 7.86	234 12 21 74 26 95		4	
than 5 years 41.94 9.15 17 TOTAL 42.66 7.15 440 Source- Computed ** significant at 1% level, * significant at 5% level Ns: Not	ess of Training Program	Duratio n in the present	Accounting Oustomer Services Procurement Human Resource Applications Process Others Less than 6 months 6 months 1 year	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99 44.38 44.81 41.05	7.65 5.18 6.16 6.04 8.7 7.86	234 12 21 74 26 95		3.43	
years 41.94 9.15 17 TOTAL 42.66 7.15 440 Source- Computed ** significant at 1% level, * significant at 5% level Ns: Not	ess of Training Program	Duratio n in the present organis	Accounting Customer Services Procurement Human Resource Applications Process Others Less than 6 months 6 months 1 year 1-2 years 3-5 years	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99 44.38 44.81 41.05 42.14	7.65 5.18 6.16 6.04 8.7 7.86 7.76 5.87	234 12 21 74 26 95 76 153		3.43	
TOTAL 42.66 7.15 440 Source- Computed ** significant at 1% level, * significant at 5% level Ns: Not	ess of Training Program	Duratio n in the present organis	Accounting Customer Services Procurem ent Human Resource Applications Process Others Less than 6 months 6 months 1 year 1 – 2 years 3 – 5 years More	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99 44.38 44.81 41.05 42.14	7.65 5.18 6.16 6.04 8.7 7.86 7.76 5.87	234 12 21 74 26 95 76 153		3.43	
Source- Computed ** significant at 1% level, * significant at 5% level Ns: Not	ess of Training Program	Duratio n in the present organis	Accounting Customer Services Procurem ent Human Resource Applications Process Others Less than 6 months 6 months 1 year 1-2 years 3-5 years More than 5	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99 44.38 44.81 41.05 42.14 42.75	7.65 5.18 6.16 6.04 8.7 7.86 7.76 5.87 7.01	234 12 21 74 26 95 76 153 99		3.43	
	ess of Training Program	Duratio n in the present organis	Accounting Customer Services Procurement Human Resource Applications Process Others Less than 6 months 6 months 1 year 1-2 years 3-5 years More than 5 years	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99 44.38 44.81 41.05 42.14 42.75	7.65 5.18 6.16 6.04 8.7 7.86 7.76 5.87 7.01	234 12 21 74 26 95 76 153 99		3.43	
	ess of Training Program mes	Duratio n in the present organis ation	Accounting Customer Services Procurement Human Resource Applications Process Others Less than 6 months 6 months 1 year 1-2 years 3-5 years More than 5 years TOTAL	42.36 43.5 46.62 42.99 44.38 44.81 41.05 42.14 42.75 41.94 42.66	7.65 5.18 6.16 6.04 8.7 7.86 7.76 5.87 7.01	234 12 21 74 26 95 76 153 99 17 440		3.43	**

significant

Table 1.5: Job Factors – Training characteristics

The table 1.5 reveals that with respect to the designation, area of work and duration in the present organisation there is no significant difference in the mean score of new hire training. Hence, the null hypothesis is accepted for the above factors. In respect of designation and area of work, there is no significant difference in the mean score of Refresher training. Hence, the null hypothesis is accepted for the above factors. But with respect to duration in the present organisation there is a significant difference in the mean score of Refresher training and Effectiveness of training programmes at 5 per cent level. Hence, the null hypothesis is rejected. However, with regard to designation and area of work there is a significant difference in the mean score of Effectiveness of training programme at 1 per cent level and thereby, the null hypothesis is rejected.

I. CORRELATION

The analysis is preceded with the Nine factors of organizational characteristics identified, namely: Monetary benefits, conducive working atmosphere, distribution of work, personal growth opportunities, and job expectation, participative management, unfair working conditions and recognition of work.

Correlation has been employed between organisational characteristics, namely, monetary benefits, conducive working environment, distribution of work, personal growth opportunities, job expectation, participative management, unfair working conditions, recognition of work, peer relationship and training characteristics namely, new hire training, refresher training and effectiveness of training score. Correlation has been applied to find the degree of relationship between organisational characteristic factors and the training characteristic factors.

Training Characteristics Organisatonal Characteristics	New Hire Training Score	Refresher Training Score	Impact (Effectivness) of training score
Monetary benefits	0.518**	0.444**	0.455**
Conducive working atmosphere	0.434**	0.590**	0.627**
Distribution of work	0.437**	0.630**	0.628**
Personal Growth Opportunities	0.263**	0.467**	0.546**
Job expectation	0.405**	0.470**	0.554**
Participative Management	0.443**	0.354**	0.454**
Unfair working conditions	-0.097*	-0.124**	-0.142**
Recognition of work	0.257**	0.401**	0.457**
Peer relationship	0.309**	0.313**	0.345**

^{**}Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level,*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

Table 1.6: Correlation – Organisational Characteristics and Training Characteristics

It is seen from the table that all the factors of organisational characteristics except unfair working conditions, are positively correlated with all the factors of training characteristics.

The correlation results show that when the perception on organisational characteristics and its factors improves, the perception of the respondents regarding training characteristic factors also improves. The results further show that if unfair working conditions increase, then, the training characteristic scores will decrease to a certain extent, leading to unsatisfactory work, with its own serious consequences.

J. EFFECTIVENESS OF TRAINING: REGRESSION ANALYSIS

The effectiveness of training influenced by several predictor (independent) variables, namely, age, gender, salary of personal factors and monetary benefits, conducive working atomosphere, personal growth opportunities of organisational characteristics and different perception scores about new hire training, refresher training and training methodology have been studied using multiple regression analysis. Out of the variables have been identified which have to be included in the model. Stepwise multiple regression analysis has been used to select the appropriate variables to be included in the model.

Effectiveness of training score has been taken as the dependent variable representing the effectiveness of training.

Multiple Regression is mainly building an equation wherein the predictor variables' coefficients are found out. The general Multiple Regression equation is of the form,

Y=a0+a1X1+a2X2+.....anXn where Y, the dependent variable

a0, constant

a1, a2,.....an are the regression coefficients for the independent variables X1, X2,.....Xn respectively.

II. REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Independent variables	Regression Coefficients (B)	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	-3.443	1.570			2
Refresher training	.448	.034	.494	13.015	**
Job expectation	.280	.126	.075	2.212	*
Personal Growth Opportunities	.267	.073	.120	3.673	**
New Hire Training	.128	.034	.136	3.750	**
Recognition of work	.514	.153	.097	3.362	**
Distribution of work	.212	.075	.099	2.841	**
Duration in the present organization	.359	.158	.058	2.275	*

Source: Computed * significant at 5% level ** significant at 1% level

Table 1.7: Dependent Variable: Effectiveness of Training
Score

R	R Square	F	Sig.
.860	.740	153.265	**

Source: Computed ** significant at 1% level

Multiple R is the multiple correlation coefficient of dependent variable with the group of independent variables included in the analysis. The R value indicates that a very good correlation (0.860) exists between the dependent variable (Effectiveness of Training Score) and the set of independent variables. R square which, when expressed in percentage, explains that 74% of the variation in the effectiveness of training score is due to the 8 predictor variables in the equation. The F-value is 153.265. The F-statistic, calculated for R, used to find whether R value is significant or not. The

associated significance level (P<0.01) tells us that R is fairly significant at 1% level.

From the regression table, it is seen that all the 7 predictor variables have significant effect on training effectiveness score either at 5% or 1 % level. Individually, among the nine organisational characteristics, 4 factors namely, job expectation, personal growth opportunities, recognition of work and distribution of work have positive influence on the training effectiveness score. That is, those who have increased perception level of these factor scores have also scored higher on training effectiveness score.

Among the training characteristics factors, 2 factors, namely, refresher training and new hire training have been included in the model as the significant predictors of training effectiveness score. These factors also have positive effect on the dependent variable.

Among the personal variables, only one variable namely, experience in this company has been found to have significant positive effect on dependent variable.

It is seen that, refresher training is more influential on the training effectiveness score compared to other variables. The next most contributing variable is new hire training, followed by personal growth opportunities score. Experience in this company is the least contributing variable to effectiveness of training score.

CONCLUSION

To conclude it is found that among the various training characteristics, refresher training has influenced the training effectiveness followed by new hire training and personal growth opportunities. Personal profile and job profile have no impact towards effectiveness of training. The firm should concentrate on providing quality inputs through refresher training, so that effectiveness of training will be good and will enable the employees to achieve their performance targets.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdus Sattar Niazi (2011), *Training and development strategy and its role in Organizational performance*, Journal of Public Administration and Governance, Vol 1, Issue No 2.
- [2] Abeeha Batool (2012), Effects of employees training on the organizational Competitive advantage, Far East Journal of Psychology and Business, Vol 6, No 1.
- [3] Afshan Sultana (2012), *Impact of Training on Employee* performance, Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business, Vol 4, No 6.
- [4] Amir Elnaga (2013), Effect of training on employee performance, European Journal of Business and Management, Vol 5, No 4.
- [5] Amir Elnaga (2013), The effect of training on employee performance, Business Economics and Tourism, ISSN# 2222-1905, Vol 5, No 4.
- [6] Anam Amin (2013), The Impact of Employees training on Job performance in Education sector of Pakistan, Middle- East Journal of Scientific Research, ISSN 1990 9233 Vol.17, Issue 9.

- [7] Anderson T. (2001), *Try measuring the real value of training*, Business Journal, Vol 15, No.19.
- [8] Anirudh Kumar (2012), Business Opportunities: Special Reference to Business Process Outsourcing Sector in India, Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing & Management Review, ISSN# 2319-2836 Vol.1 (4).
- [9] Ankita Srivatsava (2011), Attrition and Retention of Employees in BPO Sector, International Journal in Computer Technology Application, Vol 2, No 6.
- [10] Ankita Srivatsava (2011), Attrition and retention of employees in BPO sector, Int.J. Comp. Tech.Appl, ISSN# 2229-6093, Vol 2, No 6.
- [11] Aravinda Tulasi (2014), Challenges for HR managers to retain employees working In BPO industry, International Journal of Commerce, Business and Management, ISSN# 2319-2828 Vol 3 Issue 2.

