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Abstract: In this paper I want to explore the growth rate and major determinants of child mortality rate in the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled tribe concentrated states in India, namely Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, West Bengal, Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka. According to UNICEF India Report (2015) the risk is even higher for children born in Scheduled Tribe (ST) family as compared to Scheduled Cast (SC). Not only that this risk is higher for SC and ST compared to General Caste family. This is the rationale behind the present study.

The results revealed that in case of India the rate of decline of CMR in ST category of households is less compared to that of General category. For the states Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh and Orissa the rate of decline in CMR of SC – ST household is lower relative to General which is clear sign of the indication of deprivation of oppressed classes. The pooled regression results show that it is the social demographic variables that have a close association with child mortality indicators. Most of our estimates namely, percentage of children age 12-23 who received all vaccination, percentage of delivery assisted by skilled health personnel, Dum1 and constant are found to significant at satisfactory level.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper I want to explore the growth rate and major determinants of child mortality rate in the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled tribe concentrated states in India, namely Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, West Bengal, Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka. According to UNICEF India Report (2015) the risk is even higher for children born in Scheduled Tribe (ST) family as compared to Scheduled Cast (SC). Not only that this risk is higher for SC and ST compared to General Caste family. This is the rationale behind the present study.

India has realised impressive gain in child survival over the last two decades. However, the current pace, the country is unlikely to achieve Millennium Development Goal (MDG) 4 which aims to reduce under-five mortality by two thirds between 1990 to 2015- unless the related socio economic, maternal and demographic, and environmental determinants are urgently addressed. Six states, though, namely Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh and West Bengal are likely to achieve the goal.

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

In keeping with the above considerations the broad study is to highlight nature and extent of access to health of child. Specially, objective of the study may be stated as below.

✓ To examine interstate Growth performance over time of CMR in SC – ST concentrate states in India.
✓ To examine the factors which are responsible of the variation of CMR in respect to the access to the benefit of health care facilities by infant?
III. DATA, METHODOLOGY AND ECONOMETRICS MODEL

The Descriptive Analysis of the Percentage Change in Child Mortality Rate

The data set used in my study is entirely based on secondary sources of data collected through three different rounds of National Family health survey (NFHS) data.

The percentage change or growth rate over two different periods is defined by the following formula.

$$gt= \frac{(Yt-Yt-1)/Yt}{100}$$

Where, $gt = \%$ change in mortality indicator $t$, t-1= current and base period respectively.

Taking child mortality as a dependent variable, pooled regression models have been attempted by utilizing data available through three rounds of National Family Health Survey (VIZ. MFHS-I, NFHS-II and NFHS-III). Since these three rounds refer to three different time points we have a time series at our disposal being whatever small. Further we have considered the first fifteen SCs/STs concentrated states in India out of twenty nine states according to ascending order of magnitudes of such concentration out of which three viz., Tripura, Sikkim and Mizoram have to be dropped from our states?

Therefore, the percentage of illiterate male in households.

Econometric Frame work of Child Mortality Rate

The child mortality rate is defined as the probability of dying of a child between the fourth birth day of his/her life and fifth birthday of his/her life.

It depends on various socio-economic and demographic indicators which can reduce the child mortality rate. The increase in access to health facilities leads to decrease in child mortality. This rate is high for the socio-economically oppressed classes. In our present study we shall develop an analytical / econometric framework of child mortality rate by using pooled regression model.

If we consider a general linear pooled multiple regression models, where child mortality rate (CMR) is determined by a set of quantitative and qualitative variables and disturbance term, we can write it as follows. The Pooled Regression model including only explanatory variables discussed above is known as fixed effects (regression) model (FEM) in econometric literature. The term “fixed effect” is due to the fact that although the intercept may differ across states (here the twelve states), each entry’s intercept does not over time, that is, it is time invariant. Note that if we write the intercept as $\alpha i t$, it would suggest that intercept of each entry of each state is time variant. It may be noted that the FEM assumes that the slope coefficients of the regessor’s do not vary across individuals or time.

CMRit= $\gamma 27+ \gamma 28PCAVit+ \gamma 29PIMHit+ \gamma 30PMCHEit+ \gamma 31PIFHit+ \gamma 32PFCHEit+ \gamma 33PDAHPit+ \gamma 33PHISDWit+ \gamma 35PNSDPit+ \gamma 36Dam1t+ \gamma 37Dum2t+ VIT………………..(3.6.39)

Where, PCAV: Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received all vaccination at any time before the survey

PIMH: Percentage of Illiterate Male in Household

PMCHE: Percentage of Males ages six and above who completed high school education and /or above.

PIFH: Percentage of Illiterate Females of age six years and above in Households

PFCHE: Percentage of Females of ages six years and above who completed High School and above Education.

PDAHP: Percentage of Deliveries Assisted by Health Personnel

PHISDW: Percentage of Households with Improved Sources of Drinking Water

PNSDP: Per Capita Net State Domestic Product at factor cost at constant Prices (1999-2000)

Dum 1 = \{1, if effect of 1992-93 is considered 0, otherwise\}

Dum 2 = \{1, if effect of 1998-99 is considered 0, otherwise\}

Dum 3 = \{1, if effect of 2005-06 is considered 0, otherwise\}

IV. DISTURBANCE TERM

It is important to note that since we have three time points, we have introduced only two Dummy Variables to avoid falling into dummy variable trap i.e. a situation of perfect collinearity. Here we are treating time point 2005-06 as the basic or reference category.

Before proceeding further, it may be useful to visualize the difference between pooled regressions model and LSDV model. If we run a regression of CMR of chosen explanatory variables we can get a biased slope estimate. How do we actually allow for the (fixed effect) intercept to vary among the states? We can easily do that by using dummy variable technique, particularly differential intercept dummy technique.

V. SPECIFICATION OF THE HYPOTHESIS

HYPOTHESIS 1

The percentage of children aged 12-23 months who received all vaccination (PCAV) will have a positive effect on IMR, since it reduces infant mortality rate. We want to test the null hypothesis $H0: \gamma 28 = 0$ against alternative hypothesis $H1: \gamma 28 < 0$.

HYPOTHESIS 2

The male literacy tends to decrease infant mortality as literate males may have some health consciousness. Therefore, the percentage of illiterate male in households (PIMH) has an adverse effect on decease in IMR. We want to test the null hypothesis $H0: \gamma 29 = 0$ against alternative hypothesis $H1: \gamma 29 < 0$. 
HYPOTHESIS 3

The increase in the percentage of males aged six and above who completed high school and above education is expected to reduce infant mortality rate. We want to test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_{30} = 0$ against alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \gamma_{30} < 0$.

HYPOTHESIS 4

The female literacy is expected to reduce infant mortality rate. So, increase in percentage of illiterate female in households (PIFH) tends to decreases in infant mortality rate and vice-versa. We want to test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_{31} = 0$ against alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \gamma_{31} < 0$.

HYPOTHESIS 5

The female education is expected to reduce infant mortality rate. We may think that the educated women in society shall be empowered in the decision making of the family. This will definitely enable the women to exert thing power and opinion that would affect the child healthcare. Therefore, the percentage of female of age six and above who completed high school and above (PFCH) is inversely related to infant mortality rate. We want to test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_{32} = 0$ against alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \gamma_{32} < 0$.

HYPOTHESIS 6

The institutional pregnancy delivery by skilled health personnel may be reducing infant mortality rate. So, percentage of deliveries assisted by health personnel (PDAHP) is inversely related to IMR. We want to test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_{33} = 0$ against alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \gamma_{33} < 0$.

HYPOTHESIS 7

If we increase the coverage of percentage of households with improved sources of drinking water (PHISDW), infant mortality rate should reduce. We want to test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_{34} = 0$ against alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \gamma_{34} < 0$.

HYPOTHESIS 8

It is natural to expect that the increase in PNSDP of a state shall reduce the infant mortality rate. We want to test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_{35} = 0$ against alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \gamma_{35} < 0$.

HYPOTHESIS 9

We cannot predict a priori of the impact of any particular year (1992-93 / 1998-99 / 2005-06) on the infant mortality rate unless the model is completely estimated. We want to test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_{36} = 0$ against alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \gamma_{36} \neq 0$.
matrices which represents the pair wise correlation coefficients between dependent variables CMR and explanatory variables.

Now we turn to our analyse about the nature of degree of association between CMR and all other explanatory variables which we considered in model. The results show that all explanatory variables are correlated with CMR separately all though their magnitudes differ with each other. The correlation between CMR and Dummy 1 and Dummy 2 are positive and found to be very low in terms of their absolute value. Both PIMH and PIFH are found to be negative and their values are respectively 0.5009 and 0.5373 in absolute terms. These two variables are statistically significant at 5 % level. We also found that the CMR and remaining explanatory variables namely, PCAV, PMCHE, PFCHE, PDAHP, PHISWD and PNSDP inversely related and they all are statistically significant at 5% level. The correlation matrix between CMR and all other explanatory variables is depicted below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CMR</th>
<th>PCAV</th>
<th>PMCHE</th>
<th>PFCHE</th>
<th>PDAHP</th>
<th>PHISWD</th>
<th>PNSDP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5009*</td>
<td>0.5373*</td>
<td>-0.8220*</td>
<td>-0.7307*</td>
<td>-0.7510*</td>
<td>-0.7850*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCAV</td>
<td>0.5009*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8220*</td>
<td>-0.5373*</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
<td>-0.5373*</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMCHE</td>
<td>0.5373*</td>
<td>0.8220*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
<td>-0.5373*</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
<td>-0.5373*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFCHE</td>
<td>-0.8220*</td>
<td>-0.5373*</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8220*</td>
<td>0.5373*</td>
<td>0.5009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDAHP</td>
<td>-0.7307*</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
<td>-0.5373*</td>
<td>0.8220*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5009*</td>
<td>0.5373*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHISWD</td>
<td>-0.7510*</td>
<td>-0.5373*</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
<td>0.8220*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5373*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNSDP</td>
<td>-0.7850*</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
<td>-0.5373*</td>
<td>-0.5373*</td>
<td>-0.5009*</td>
<td>0.8220*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5.2: Model 2 (Pooled Regression Model): Determinants of Child Mortality Rate

In terms of coefficient of determination (R-Squared), the overall goodness-of-fit of the chosen model is quite satisfactory as it is observed to be 0.6844. Hence about 68% variation of CMR can be explained in terms of explanatory variables included in our model. The observed F value points to an overall satisfactory performance of our multiple regression models. Further as mean VIF value is 7.26 which falls below 10, the model suffers from less multicollinearity effect. On the basis of the value of VIF of each explanatory variable it is found that Dam 1, PIMF, PIFH, PHISWD, PDAHE, PCAV, Dam 2 has significantly low multicollinearity effect. On the other hand the value of VIF of the explanatory variables PMCHE and PFCHE exceeds 10 which imply the multicollinearity effects of these variables are severe.

On the basis of t statistic and p value the percentage of children aged 12-23 months who received all vaccination at any time before the interview (PVA), PDAHP, Dam 1 and constant are statistically significant at 5%, 5%, 10% and 1% level of respectively. Among all statistically significant explanatory variables PCAV and PDAHP have shown negative signs according to our general assumptions. The coefficient of Dam 1 has negative sign where as it is positive for Dam 2. The inverse relationship between Dam 1 and CMR can be explained by the fact that there are unavoidable factors in 1991-92 which may affect the CMR. The political crisis of introducing new economic policy may affect the CMR.

The significant positive association between CMR and PCAV is explained by the fact that public health intervention through universal immunization programme regarded as a major cause to reducing the child mortality in our country.

The significant inverse relationship between CMR and PDAHP is the result of available pregnancy delivery infrastructure as well as the availability of the skilled health personnel. The estimated coefficient of PMCHE is positive which violates our general assumption whereas we found that the estimated coefficients of PIMH and PIFH are positive which is consistent with our general assumption even if they are insignificant. The estimated coefficients of PMFC, PHISWD and PNSDP are negative according to our general assumption but they are statistically insignificance. Positive relationship between CMR and Dam implies the year 1998-99 has a significant effect on CMR.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The pooled regression results show that it is the social demographic variables that have a close association with child mortality indicators. Most of our estimates namely, percentage of children age 12-23 who received all vaccination, percentage of delivery assisted by skilled health personnel, Dam1 and constant are found to significant at satisfactory level.

However both positive and negative dummy variable impact is observed for respective time reference points. We may infer that while public health of effort has made some positive impact on child health between different rounds of NFHS, its sustainability depends on complementing such measures with increased income entitlements of households.

VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS

The government can play curtail role in the development of health sector. Our results revealed that constant term is statistically significant which implies although there is
existing health care system but is some short of requirement. Hence government sector should increase their role to promote health care system for SC –ST concentrated states in India.
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